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Undergraduate teaching and learning in physics
Theoretical constructs from 15 years of research
Overview

What is social semiotics for us?

Constructs we have introduced

- Critical constellations
- Fluency
- Discourse imitation

More recent constructs

- Disciplinary affordance
- Unpacking
What is social semiotics for us?

The study of the development and reproduction of specialized systems of meaning making in particular sections of society.

Airey & Linder (in press)

(See also descriptions in Halliday 1978; Hodge & Kress 1988; Thibault 1991; van Leeuwen 2005)

Use as a lens to understand teaching and learning in undergraduate physics.
Theoretical constructs

Created a number of theoretical constructs

Two observations:

Physics is multimodal—meaning is distributed across a range of semiotic resources.

Meaning is relatively fixed and agreed.
Critical constellations

Airey & Linder (2009) suggested that there is a critical constellation of semiotic resources needed for appropriate disciplinary meaning making.

A particular multimodal ensemble that affords access to a particular piece of disciplinary knowledge.
Scientific Concept
Scientific Concept
Scientific Concept

Mathematics

Design

Experimental Work

?
Fluency

Students need to become fluent in each of the semiotic resources that together create the critical constellation before they can appropriately experience disciplinary meaning (Airey & Linder 2009; Airey 2009)

Fluency is about learning to use the various semiotic resources in an appropriate, disciplinary way.
What happens when students are not fluent?

Claim: They imitate disciplinary discourse
Discourse imitation is when students use semiotic resources appropriately without the associated disciplinary understanding.

Discourse imitation occurs because students can’t become fluent in everything at once.

Airey (2009); Airey & Linder (2009)
Illustrating discourse imitation
Illustrating discourse imitation

Interviewer: This is him starting this thing about transformers—what did you think about this particular part?

Student: Ummmh. Yeah, I don’t know what this is. I didn’t know what he was writing…

Interviewer: Okay, he’s drawing some kind of diagram, but you don’t really know what that is that he’s drawing?

Student: No.

Interviewer: Okay, so…

Student: And I think it’s quite often like that in the lectures he’s drawing something on the whiteboard and he assumes that we know this from before.

Interviewer: You’ve got no idea what this transformer thing is?

Student: [laughing] No.
Illustrating discourse imitation

Clearly this student has not experienced the meaning of this semiotic resource—the student is imitating the discourse.
Illustrating discourse imitation

\[ \nabla \times E = 0 \]

Equation written by the lecturer on the whiteboard

Interviewer: You’ve seen these equations before..?
Student: Yeah I’ve seen them before er… but I really don’t know exactly what they mean [laughs].
Interviewer: Can you tell me what this means to you? [pointing to the equation \( \nabla \times E = 0 \)]
Student: Um, I think the \( E \) is er the intensity of er an electric field. And then the curl of \( E \)… [quietly to herself] mmh equals zero… Erm, I think this is erm a conservative vector field—and I know how to calculate it but I don’t know what it means.
Again the student has not experienced the meaning of this semiotic resource

The student can "read" the resource and use it to calculate but the meaning is still hidden.

Both the term "conservative vector field" and the student’s calculations are correct, but the student is nevertheless only *imitating the discourse* (Airey, 2009)
Disciplinary affordance

Fredlund et al. (2012) suggest the term disciplinary affordance for semiotic resources.

Definition:
The agreed meaning making functions that a semiotic resource fulfils for a particular disciplinary community.

Airey (2014)
Unpacking disciplinary affordance

Learning can be problematized in terms of coming to understand and use disciplinary affordances.

Lecturers need to **unpack** these disciplinary affordances for their students.
Unpacking disciplinary affordance
Unpacking disciplinary affordance
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Unpacking

Unpacking a semiotic resource increases its pedagogical affordance but decreases its disciplinary affordance

Airey (2015)
Pedagogical vs disciplinary affordance

Disciplinary affordance

Pedagogical affordance

Airey (2015)
Summary

Social semiotics
Critical constellations
Fluency
Discourse imitation
Disciplinary Affordance
Unpacking
Conclusions for teachers

Disciplinary learning is multimodal

Lecturers should think about the critical constellation of semiotic resources that makes disciplinary knowledge available.

Lecturers should expect discourse imitation.

Students need time to become fluent.

Lecturers need to unpack the disciplinary affordances of semiotic resources.
Thanks for listening!
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