Errata list for dissertation:

*Insurances against job loss and disability* by Josefine Andersson

*Corrected figure 2 of Essay III, page 120*

*Panel A Case example for a fulltime recipient*
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*Panel B Case example for a part-time recipient*
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Legend:
- Continuous deduction
- Resting benefits
- Type 0
- Type 1
- Type 2
- Type 3
- Type 4
The third paragraph on page 122 should read:
If benefits and earnings together exceed the cap level, benefits will be phased out one-to-one with additional income, further lowering the price of leisure. Within such a segment, an even more negative substitution effect would supplement the negative income effect, and decrease labor supply. This would be the case in segment CD in panel A in Figure 2. The case recipient in panel B does not have an income path high enough to ever hit the cap since benefits are fully phased out before the cap level.