Impact of Thrombus Aspiration on Mortality, Stent Thrombosis, and Stroke in Patients With ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Report From the Swedish Coronary Angiography and Angioplasty Registry
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Background—Thrombus aspiration is still being used in a substantial number of patients despite 2 large randomized clinical trials showing no favorable effect of routine thrombus aspiration during primary percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction. The aim of this observational study was to evaluate the impact of thrombus aspiration on mortality, stent thrombosis, and stroke using all available data from the Swedish Coronary Angiography and Angioplasty Registry (SCAAR).

Methods and Results—We identified 42,829 consecutive patients registered in SCAAR between January 2005 and September 2014 who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction. Thrombus aspiration was used in 25% of the procedures. We used instrumental variable analysis with administrative healthcare region as the treatment-preference instrumental variable to evaluate the effect of thrombus aspiration on mortality, stent thrombosis, and stroke. Thrombus aspiration was not associated with mortality at 30 days (risk reduction: −1.2; 95% confidence interval [CI], −5.4 to 3.0; P=0.57) and 1 year (risk reduction: −2.4; 95% CI, −7.6 to 3.0; P=0.37). Thrombus aspiration was associated with a lower risk of stent thrombosis both at 30 days (risk reduction: −2.7; 95% CI, −4.1 to −1.4; P<0.001) and 1 year (risk reduction: −3.5; 95% CI, −5.3 to −1.7; P<0.001). In-hospital stroke and neurologic complications did not differ between groups (risk reduction: 0.1; 95% CI, −0.8 to 1.1; P=0.76).

Conclusions—Mortality was not different between the groups. Thrombus aspiration was associated with decreased risk of stent thrombosis. Our study provides important evidence for the external validity of previous randomized studies regarding mortality. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7:e007680. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.117.007680.)
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What Is New?

- This study is the largest prospective study of thrombus aspiration in primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).
- Thrombus aspiration was not associated with a reduction in mortality, confirming the results from the large randomized clinical trials TASTE (Thrombus Aspiration in ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction in Scandinavia) and TOTAL (Trial of Routine Aspiration Thrombectomy With PCI versus PCI Alone in Patients with ST-Segment–Elevation Myocardial Infarction Undergoing Primary PCI).
- Thrombus aspiration was not associated with increased risk of in-hospital stroke.
- Thrombus aspiration was associated with decreased risk of stent thrombosis.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Thrombus aspiration during primary PCI may reduce the risk of stent thrombosis even in the absence of reduction in mortality.
- Our study does not show the increased risk of stroke reported in the TOTAL trial.
- Future studies should investigate whether reduction in stent thrombosis after thrombus aspiration might be cost-effective in primary PCI.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of thrombus aspiration on mortality, stent thrombosis, and stroke or neurologic complications in a substantial number of STEMI patients, using information available in the Swedish Coronary Angiography and Angioplasty Registry (SCAAR).

Methods

Databases and Patient Selection

The data, analytic methods, and study materials will not be made available to other researchers for purposes of reproducing the results or replicating the procedure because of the legal regulations about access to patient-level data. We used data from the prospective SCAAR database. Established in 1992, SCAAR is a national registry that contains data about all coronary angiographies and PCIs performed in Sweden. According to the Swedish regulations, written informed consent from patients is not mandatory for registration of data. Each catheterization procedure is described with ≈50 angiographic and 200 PCI variables that include both demographic and procedure-related data. The registry is sponsored by the Swedish Health Authorities and does not receive any funding from commercial interests. We included all consecutive patients who underwent PCI due to STEMI in Sweden between January 2005 and September 2014. We included all patients who were randomized in TASTE. More detailed information about the SCAAR organization and database has been published previously. The study was approved by the institutional review board at Gothenburg University.

Definitions and Outcomes

The patients were considered to have diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, previous myocardial infarction, or previous stroke if they had a diagnosis for the particular condition according to International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes. Information about previous PCI, previous coronary artery bypass grafting, cardiogenic shock, and procedural details are entered directly into the database by interventional cardiologists.

End points

The primary end point was mortality at 30 days. Vital status and date of death were obtained from the Swedish National Population Registry through October 13, 2014. SCAAR was merged with the Swedish National Population Registry by the Epidemiologic Center of the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare using Swedish personal identification numbers. Because use of personal identification numbers is mandatory, the death registry in Sweden has a high degree
of completeness but is not reviewed or adjudicated to establish cardiac versus noncardiac causes of death. The secondary end points were mortality at 1 year, stent thrombosis at 30 days and 1 year, and reported in-hospital neurological complications. Stent thrombosis was defined as an acute stent occlusion verified by coronary angiography. Neurological complication was defined as a new neurological deficit during PCI or during in-hospital stay after index PCI. Neurological deficit was defined as receiving treatment for any of ICD codes 160 to 164.

Statistical Analyses
Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD, and categorical variables are reported as frequencies. Normal distribution of variables was assessed by inspecting the distribution of values on histograms and by the Shapiro–Wilk test. Differences between the groups in continuous variables were tested with linear regression. Differences in categorical variables were tested by logistic regression.

Imputation protocol
We imputed missing data using the multiple imputation chain–equation method with 20 data sets. Calendar year, an indicator of missingness, and an event indicator were included and used as regular variables. Continuous variables were imputed by ordinary least squares multiple regression, binary variables were imputed using logistic regression, and categorical variables were imputed by multinomial logistic regression. The imputation procedure and subsequent analyses were performed according to the Rubin protocol under the assumption that missing data are missing at random. More information about the imputation protocol is provided in Data S1.

Statistical models
We used statistical modeling based on the instrumental variable to reduce bias due to unmeasured confounders. This method is a post hoc analytic technique based on statistical principles similar to those used in the analysis of randomized trials. To use instrumental variable analysis, one must identify a naturally varying phenomenon in the observed data that, like the act of randomization in an RCT, predicts the treatment that will be assigned to the individual patient. To become a valid instrument, a variable has to fulfill some necessary criteria. First, it has to be strongly associated with the received treatment. Second, it must not be associated either directly or indirectly with the outcome, except through the effect of the treatment itself. The variable with these statistical qualities is the instrumental variable, or instrument.

We used 6 administrative healthcare regions in Sweden based on geographic location as the treatment-preference instruments. Geographic or administrative regions are frequently used as instruments because this type of variable usually fulfills the theoretical criteria for a valid instrument. In addition, we used a different instrument for sensitivity analysis based on of the “preference” for use of thrombus aspiration during primary PCI at the level of individual hospitals. To create this treatment-preference instrument, we divided hospitals into quintiles based on the total number of procedures during the study period in which thrombus aspiration was used. Our primary model was based on instrumental variable 2-stage least squares (2SLS) regression. The outcome (dependent) variables in the 2SLS regressions were mortality at 30 days and 1 year, stent thrombosis at 30 days and 1 year, and in-hospital stroke or neurologic complications (Table 1). Our secondary models were based on unadjusted and propensity score–adjusted multilevel logistic regression. Because SCAAR is a hierarchical database with clustering of patients within hospitals and regions, we entered administrative healthcare regions and individual hospitals into the regression model as random-effects variables. We used logistic regression to calculate the likelihood of undergoing thrombus aspiration and PCI or PCI alone to generate the propensity score for each patient. All variables in Table 2 except for frequency of direct stenting

Table 1. Outcomes and Statistical Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Primary Model</th>
<th>Secondary Models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death within 30 d</td>
<td>IV-2SLS</td>
<td>PS-adjusted logistic regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death within 1 y</td>
<td>IV-2SLS</td>
<td>PS-adjusted logistic regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke or neurologic complications in hospital</td>
<td>IV-2SLS</td>
<td>PS-adjusted logistic regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent thrombosis within 30 d</td>
<td>IV-2SLS</td>
<td>PS-adjusted logistic regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent thrombosis within 1 y</td>
<td>IV-2SLS</td>
<td>PS-adjusted logistic regression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables included in propensity score: age, sex, diabetes mellitus, smoking status, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, previous myocardial infarction, previous percutaneous coronary intervention, previous coronary artery bypass grafting, severity of coronary artery disease, completeness of revascularization, treatment with bivalirudin, treatment with unfractionated heparin/low molecular weight heparin, treatment with glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitor, treatment with aspirin, treatment with clopidogrel, treatment with ticagrelor, treatment with prasugrel, treatment with warfarin, treatment with thrombolysis and procedural success. IV-2SLS indicates instrumental variable 2-step least squares regression; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PS, propensity score.
were entered into the logistic regression model. The calculated propensity score was entered into the model as quintiles of propensity score values based on complete case data and imputed data after multiple imputations of the missing data. We used the Durbin–Wu–Hausman specification test to evaluate the presence of residual confounding (endogeneity).

The validity of the instrumental variable was tested with the Sargan test. To test for the strength of the instruments, we examined the partial $F$ test from the first-stage regression, which predicts treatment as a function of instrument and covariates. The partial $F$ test has the null hypothesis that the coefficient for the effect of the instrument in the first-stage

### Table 2. Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PCI+TA (n=10 660)</th>
<th>Missing (%)</th>
<th>PCI Only (n=32 169)</th>
<th>Missing (%)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>P Value PS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, mean±SD</td>
<td>66±12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67±12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, %</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, %</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, %</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia, %</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status, %</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous smoker</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous MI, %</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous PCI, %</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous CABG, %</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of CAD, %</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 vessel</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 vessels</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 vessels</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left main</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puncture site, %</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug-eluting stent, %</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASA indicates acetylsalicylic acid; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CAD, coronary artery disease; GP2b3a, glycoprotein IIb/IIIa; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; PS, propensity score; TA, thrombus aspiration; UH/LMWH, unfractionated heparin/low molecular weight heparin.
An F statistic >10 indicates that the instrument is not weak. Reported standard errors from instrumental variable 2SLS regression are robust and account for clustering of patients within hospitals using the sandwich estimator. We assessed trends in 30-day and 1-year mortality over the study period by including the calendar year in the logistic regression as a continuous variable in addition to age and sex.

**Postestimation diagnostics**

Goodness of fit (calibration) for the models was assessed with the Hosmer–Lemeshow test. Multicollinearity between the variables in the model was assessed by calculation of the variance inflation factor. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata software (version 14.1; StataCorp). Instrumental variable 2SLS regression models were completed using the IVREG2 module. All tests were 2-tailed, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Because of multiple analyses, P<0.05 was expected to occur accidentally in 1 of 20 analyses. The validity of instrumental variables was examined by calculation of the standardized difference of variables that reflects known patient characteristics and procedural details in treated and untreated patients stratified by administrative healthcare region (primary instrument) and quintiles of hospitals’ total usage of thrombus aspiration during the study period (secondary instrument). We used logistic regression to evaluate the predictive power of instruments for treatment with thrombus aspiration and for primary and secondary outcomes.

**Results**

**Patient Characteristics and Treatments**

During the study period between January 2005 and September 2014, we identified 45 151 patients who underwent primary PCI (Figure 1). We excluded patients without Swedish personal identification numbers (n=2242), patients with...
missing follow-up times for any of the primary or secondary end points (n=2,159), and patients with missing information about treatment with thrombus aspiration (n=163). After exclusion of these patients, 42,829 patients (29% female) were included in the study, of which 10,660 (26% female) were treated with thrombus aspiration. There were 3,804 primary PCIs in Sweden in 2005, after which the number increased and remained steady at ~4,500 procedures per year between 2006 and 2014. The proportion of female patients who were treated with primary PCI during the study period remained constant at 29%. General patient characteristics are presented in Table 2. Patient characteristics stratified by region are presented in Table 3. Patients treated with thrombus aspiration were on average younger; more likely to be male; and less likely to have treatment for hypertension, hyperlipidemia, or diabetes mellitus. They were more likely to be active smokers and were more often treated with ticagrelor, prasugrel, and bivalirudin but were less likely to be treated with GP2b/3a (glycoprotein IIb/IIIa) receptor inhibitor or thrombolysis before or during PCI. Patients who were not treated with thrombus aspiration were less likely to have a radial access site or to have 1-vessel disease. They were more often completely revascularized at index PCI but were less likely to receive a drug-eluting stent. Stents were more often placed without prior balloon dilatation of the lesion (direct stenting) in patients treated with thrombus aspiration. The frequency of thrombus aspiration varied among administrative healthcare regions from 18% to 35% (Table 3).

Primary Outcome

Mortality at 30 days

During the study period, age- and sex-adjusted mortality decreased significantly at 30 days (odds ratio: 0.97; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.96–0.98; \( P < 0.001 \)) and 1 year (odds ratio: 0.98; 95% CI, 0.96–0.99; \( P < 0.001 \)). This positive trend was similar for men and women. Mortality at 30 days (6.1% versus 6.3%) was similar in both groups (Figure 2A). The primary analysis—2SLS regression with administrative healthcare region as the treatment preference instrumental variable—showed no difference in 30-day mortality between groups (risk reduction: \(-1.2\); 95% CI, \(-5.4\) to 3.0; \( P = 0.57 \)). Sensitivity analysis based on the alternative instrumental variable (ie, hospital preference for thrombus aspiration) showed similar results (risk reduction: 3.8; 95% CI, \(-4.1\) to 4.8; \( P = 0.86 \)). The secondary analysis based on unadjusted multilevel logistic regression showed no difference in 30-day mortality; however, when we adjusted for quintiles of propensity score, we found an association between thrombus aspiration and increased risk of mortality. This was true both for the models based on the complete-case analyses and the analyses after multiple imputation of missing data (Table 4).

Secondary Outcomes

Mortality at 1 year

The majority of patients (90%) were alive after \( \geq 1 \) year of follow-up. The probability of death at 1 year was 9.8% in patients treated with PCI alone and 9.2% in patients treated with thrombus aspiration (Figure 2B). The primary model based on instrumental variable analysis showed no difference in mortality at 1 year between groups (risk reduction: \(-2.4\); 95% CI, \(-7.6\) to 3.0; \( P = 0.37 \)). The secondary analysis based on unadjusted multilevel logistic regression with administrative region treated as random effect showed a trend for higher mortality at 30 days and became significant after propensity score adjustment. This was true both for the models based on the complete-case analyses and the analyses with imputed data (Table 4). Sensitivity analysis based on the alternative instrumental variable showed similar results (risk reduction: 1.1; 95% CI, \(-4.3\) to 6.5; \( P = 0.69 \)).

Stent thrombosis

The incidence of stent thrombosis at 30 days after primary PCI in all patients was 0.6% (n=255). At 30 days, stent thrombosis developed in 49 patients (0.47%) in the thrombus aspiration group and 206 patients (0.67%) in the PCI-alone group (Figure 3A). The unadjusted risk estimate was higher in the PCI-alone group (\( P = 0.037 \)). The primary model with instrumental variable analysis showed a significant association between thrombus aspiration and reduced risk of stent thrombosis both at 30 days (risk reduction: \(-2.7\); 95% CI, \(-4.1\) to 1.4; \( P < 0.001 \)) and 1 year (risk reduction: \(-3.5\); 95% CI, \(-5.3\) to 0.3; \( P = 0.001 \); Figure 3B). However, landmark analysis after 30 days showed no difference between groups (risk reduction: \(-3.3\); 95% CI, \(-1.3\) to 0.7; \( P = 0.54 \); Figure 3C). We found no evidence of treatment heterogeneity. The interaction test was not significant for patients aged <65 years (\( P = 0.95 \)) or with female sex (\( P = 0.39 \)), diabetes mellitus (0.29), treated vessel disease (\( P = 0.29 \)), or cardiogenic shock (\( P = 0.39 \)). The frequency of thrombus aspiration varied annually. There was a negative correlation between the annual number of reported cases of stent thrombosis and the annual number of thrombus aspirations performed during primary PCI. The frequency of thrombus aspiration at 30 days was highest in 2010 (37.3%), 2011 (40.6%) and 2012 (38.6%) and lowest in 2005 (17.5), 2006 (9.8), 2007 (10.1%) and 2008 (21.2%) (Fig. 4a). The frequency of stent thrombosis at 30 days was lowest in 2010 (0.42%), 2011 (0.48%) and 2012 (0.34%) and highest in 2007 (0.74%), 2008 (0.89%) and 2009 (0.95%) (Fig. 4B).
Table 3. Utilization of Thrombus Aspiration, Outcomes, and Patient Characteristics by Administrative Region

| Region  | Patients, n | Thrombus aspiration, % | Died within 30 d, % | Died within 1 y, % | Stent thrombosis at 30 d, % | Stent thrombosis at 1 y, % | In-hospital stroke or neurologic complication, % | Age, y, mean±SD | Sex male, % | Diabetes mellitus, % | Hypertension, % | Hyperlipidemia, % | Smoking status, % | Previous smoker | Previous MI, % | Previous PCI, % | Previous CABG, % | Extent of CAD, % | Puncture site, % | Radial | Femoral | Drug-eluting stent, % | Antithrombotic treatment, % |
|---------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1M      | 6623        | 35                     | 6.5                 | 8.7               | 0.5                    | 0.8                    | 0.3                          | 65±12        | 74        | 14                 | 41              | 20             | 32              | 23              | 13     | 8        | 3               | ASA 98 Clodigrel 86 Ticagrelor 24 Prasugrel 0.3 Warfarin 2.1 GP2b3a 60 UH/LMWH 91 Bivalirudin 32 Thrombolysis 2.0 Cardiogenic shock 4.5 |
| 2M      | 11 115      | 25                     | 6.1                 | 8.9               | 0.6                    | 0.8                    | 0.3                          | 68±12        | 70        | 3.0                | 5.5             | 7.7            | 19              | 29              | 4.3    | 0.2      | 1               | 86 Clodigrel 59 Ticagrelor 24 Prasugrel 0.3 Warfarin 2.1 GP2b3a 60 UH/LMWH 91 Bivalirudin 32 Thrombolysis 2.0 Cardiogenic shock 4.5 |
| 3M      | 5654        | 20                     | 6.5                 | 9.6               | 1.0                    | 1.2                    | 0.4                          | 68±12        | 69        | 1.6                | 4.4             | 4.6            | 30              | 30              | 15     | 0.1      | 2               | 53 Clodigrel 54 Ticagrelor 26 Prasugrel 0.2 Warfarin 2.9 GP2b3a 43 UH/LMWH 44 Bivalirudin 14 Thrombolysis 0.3 Cardiogenic shock 3.9 |
| 4M      | 8835        | 28                     | 6.0                 | 9.0               | 0.7                    | 1.0                    | 0.3                          | 67±12        | 70        | 1.7                | 2.7             | 4.2            | 21              | 28              | 14     | 0.2      | 3               | 27 Clodigrel 22 Ticagrelor 26 Prasugrel 0.3 Warfarin 2.2 GP2b3a 49 UH/LMWH 45 Bivalirudin 14 Thrombolysis 0.3 Cardiogenic shock 3.9 |
| 5M      | 7715        | 18                     | 6.5                 | 8.9               | 1.1                    | 1.4                    | 0.2                          | 67±12        | 72        | 1.4                | 3.7             | 4.0            | 4.9              | 4.3             | 13     | 0.1      | 4               | 15 Clodigrel 28 Ticagrelor 28 Prasugrel 0.3 Warfarin 2.2 GP2b3a 54 UH/LMWH 51 Bivalirudin 28 Thrombolysis 0.3 Cardiogenic shock 3.9 |
| 6M      | 2885        | 23                     | 6.5                 | 8.6               | 0.5                    | 0.7                    | 0.3                          | 0.9          | 73        | 2.0                | 4.8             | 5.1            | 6.1              | 6.1             | 14     | 0.6      | 7              | 0.3 Clodigrel 29 Ticagrelor 28 Prasugrel 0.3 Warfarin 2.2 GP2b3a 64 UH/LMWH 62 Bivalirudin 28 Thrombolysis 0.3 Cardiogenic shock 3.9 |
| Stand. Diff. |            |                        |                    |                   |                        |                        |                              |              |           |                   |                 |                |                  |                 |         |          | 0.01           |                  |

Continued
In-hospital neurologic complication

There were 122 (0.3%) in-hospital neurologic complications, of which 90 occurred in the PCI-only group (0.3%) and 32 in the thrombus aspiration group (0.3%). Unadjusted and adjusted analyses showed no differences in risk of stroke or neurologic complications between groups (Table 4).

Data Analysis and Postestimation Diagnostics

Most variables had missing information; however, information was missing for >5% patients in only 4 variables: smoking status, hyperlipidemia, treatment with ticagrelor, and treatment with prasugrel (Table 2). Postestimation analysis for the logistic regression models, including propensity score estimation, by the Hosmer–Lemeshow test showed the adequate goodness of fit for the models ($P>0.05$). Squared covariate terms had no explanatory power in any models (link test, $P>0.05$). Balancing properties of the calculated propensity scores were evaluated using multivariate linear and logistic regression. After adjustment with quintiles of propensity score as a covariate in the regression model, there was no statistical difference in the baseline characteristics between groups except for treatment with GP2b/3a receptor inhibitors (Table 2). Average variance inflation factor was <5.0 for all models, indicating a lack of multicollinearity between variables. Comparison of patient characteristics after stratification based on administrative healthcare region supported the assumption that this instrumental variable fulfills the criteria for the valid instrument (Table 3). After stratification, all variables had a standardized difference of the mean below or close to 0.25, which is an accepted cutoff level for balance in patient characteristics$^{23,24}$ (Table 3). The Durbin–Wu–Hausman test for endogeneity was statistically significant at $P<0.001$ for all dependent variables modeled with 2SLS regression.

Discussion

We investigated the effect of thrombus aspiration on mortality, stent thrombosis, and stroke or neurologic complications in 45 151 patients with STEMI in the prospective SCAAR database, of whom 10 660 were treated with thrombus aspiration. We found that treatment with thrombus aspiration was not associated with risk of mortality and in-hospital stroke or neurologic complications and that thrombus aspiration was associated with decreased risk of stent thrombosis.

The large number of prospectively followed STEMI patients in SCAAR provided a unique opportunity to evaluate the association between thrombus aspiration and important clinical outcomes in unselected patients from everyday clinical practice. Before TASTE and TOTAL, meta-analyses of smaller studies showed diverging results. Although some
studies reported improved survival with thrombus aspiration, others did not.4,25–27 Our data are in agreement with the results from TASTE and TOTAL, which showed that routine thrombus aspiration does not decrease mortality. The present study thus constitutes important evidence for the external validity of these 2 trials regarding mortality. The STEMI cohort from SCAAR is by far the largest cohort of consecutive STEMI patients in whom thrombus aspiration has been evaluated; the cohort consists of more patients than those in all other RCTs combined to date. An important criticism of TASTE regards the presence of selection bias. Although ≈60% of all patients with STEMI were included in the study—this is the largest percentage of patients with STEMI included in a single RCT within the field of interventional cardiology—the number of excluded patients was still high (≈40%). This may have caused limited external validity. Indeed, the excluded patients were substantially different from the patients included in the study, as shown by almost 3 times higher mortality in the nonrandomized patients. Similar criticism has been forwarded against TOTAL, in which mortality at 180 days was ≈3.5%—fairly low compared with that observed in an unselected STEMI population. Given these circumstances, the strength and importance of our study are grounded on the fact that our data are derived from all consecutive STEMI patients and all PCI centers in Sweden over a period of 9 years. We used a statistical method based on treatment-preference instrumental variable analysis with 2SLS regression.15,17,28 This method allows adjustment for measured and unmeasured confounders. If the central methodological assumptions are fulfilled (ie, a valid instrument that reflects a naturally occurring randomization process), observational studies based on the instrumental variable method mimic the act of randomization in an RCT. Compared with the results based on the propensity score–adjusted logistic regression, the results from the instrumental variable–based statistical modeling have shown risk estimates more in line with those from TASTE and TOTAL.

Stent thrombosis is a feared complication during and after primary PCI because it is associated with high mortality.23 Any treatment strategy that decreases this complication holds high value for clinical practice. In TASTE, thrombus aspiration decreased the risk of stent thrombosis by more than half at 30 days5 but not at 1 year.6 Because our study mirrors the results from TASTE regarding the short- and long-term risk of stent thrombosis, it strengthens the evidence for the protective role of thrombus aspiration against acute and subacute stent thrombosis. More indirect evidence in this study supports the association between thrombus aspiration and decreased risk of stent thrombosis. Routine thrombus aspiration in STEMI was introduced on a larger scale in Sweden after the report from TAPAS (Thrombus Aspiration during Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Acute Myocardial Infarction Study), which showed increased survival in the thrombus aspiration group.1 In subsequent years, the number of procedures with thrombus aspiration increased steadily in our country. But after the publication of TASTE, the use of thrombus aspiration in Sweden decreased substantially. During this period of varying practice, we observed a negative correlation between the yearly incidence of stent thrombosis, on one side, and the use of thrombus aspiration, on the other side. The incidence of stent thrombosis was higher in Sweden during the years when treatment with thrombus aspiration was lower.
The possibility that thrombus aspiration increases the risk of stroke is an important consideration that needs to be studied in detail to properly evaluate the clinical importance and cost-effectiveness of this treatment strategy. Similar to TASTE, our study did not show an increase risk of stroke or neurologic complication, as was reported in TOTAL. The reason for the discrepancy may be explained by the play of chance given the low number of events in TOTAL. With only 49 stroke events, the statistical power in this study was limited. Furthermore, the latest meta-analyses in which data from TASTE and TOTAL were included have not shown a statistically significantly increased risk of stroke within 30 days. Current European Society of Cardiology guidelines for primary PCI do not recommend routine thrombus aspiration. However, in cases of large residual thrombus burden after opening the vessel, the guidelines suggests that thrombus aspiration may be considered. Our study supports this recommendation.

Several limitations need to be discussed. First, this study is observational, and as such, it provides only associative evidence, not causative, because we cannot exclude selection bias and residual confounding. In contrast, the observational nature of our study provides real-world data from a large cohort of patients. Second, we do not have data on cause-specific mortality. Third, a proportion of patients had missing data. Exclusion of patients from the analysis who had missing data might have produced biased results; however, results from the multiple imputation models were congruent with the data from the complete-case analysis. Last, we performed many analyses using several different statistical models. This increases the risk of associations occurring by chance.
In conclusion, our study provides important evidence of the external validity of TASTE and TOTAL regarding mortality. Thrombus aspiration during primary PCI may decrease the risk of stent thrombosis, and future studies should determine whether this treatment may be cost-effective for prevention of stent thrombosis even in the absence of a survival benefit.
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Danderyd University Hospital, Sweden.
Eskilstuna Hospital, Sweden.
Falun Hospital, Sweden.
Gävle Hospital, Sweden.
Halmstad Hospital, Sweden.
Helsingborg Hospital, Sweden.
Jönköping Hospital, Sweden.
Kalmar Hospital, Sweden.
Karlskrona Hospital, Sweden.
Karlstad Hospital, Sweden.
Karolinska Solna and Huddinge University Hospitals, Sweden.
Kristianstad Hospital, Sweden.
Linköping University Hospital, Sweden.
Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Sweden.
Skövde Hospital, Sweden.
Skåne University Hospital, Sweden.
Sunderby Hospital, Sweden. Sundsvall Hospital, Sweden.
Södersjukhuset (Stockholm South General Hospital), Sweden.
Trollhättan Hospital (NÄL), Sweden.
Umeå University Hospital, Sweden.
Uppsala University Hospital, Sweden.
Västerås Hospital, Sweden.
Örebro University Hospital, Sweden.
DESCRIPTION OF THE SCAAR/SWEDEHEART REGISTRIES

The SWEDEHEART registry

The Swedish Web-system for Enhancement and Development of Evidence-based care in Heart disease Evaluated According to Recommended Therapies (SWEDEHEART) was established in December 2009 after merging of the national registry of acute cardiac care (RIKS-HIA), the Swedish coronary angiography and angioplasty registry (SCAAR), the Swedish heart surgery registry and the national registry of secondary prevention (SEPHIA). RIKS-HIA was developed in 1990 and was established as a National quality registry in 1995. SEPHIA was added to RIKS-HIA in 2005 to register effects of secondary prevention efforts in patients with acute myocardial infarction. SCAAR was established in 1998 after a merge of a Swedish national angioplasty registry and Swedish national coronary angiography registry that were both initiated in the early 1990s by hospitals which at that time performed coronary angiographies and PCIs. The Swedish heart surgery registry was formed in 1992.

Organization and funding

SWEDEHEART is managed by a steering group, consisting of the chairmen of the working groups of the individual registries and representatives from the Swedish Heart Association and the Swedish Society of Cardiac Nursing. Uppsala Clinical Research Center (UCR) has developed the web based version of the registry and is responsible for project management, administration, monitoring, quality controls, and statistical reports. The registry is financed by the Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions (the public health care provider), and is supported by the Swedish Heart Association, the National Board of Health and Welfare and the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation. Participating hospitals are not reimbursed by SWEDEHEART and costs of local data entry are covered by internal budgets.

Data

SWEDEHEART includes patients admitted to hospital because of symptoms suggestive of an acute coronary syndrome (ACS), and patients who undergo coronary angiography/angioplasty or heart surgery. The registry enrolls approximately 80,000 cases each year: 30,000 with ACS, 40,000
undergoing coronary angiography or angioplasty, and 7,000 undergoing heart surgery. The registry is web-based with all data registered on-line directly by the caregiver and transferred in an encrypted format to a central server. During registration the whole process of care is kept together in one record even if the patient is transferred between different units and hospitals. The technical platform, OpenQreg, is published as open source software that can receive data via the Internet or from other databases and electronic patient journals. The platform is in direct contact with the Swedish National Population Registry for immediate access to personal data and deaths.

For patients admitted to hospital because of symptoms suggestive of an ACS information is collected prospectively for 106 variables and include patient demographics, admission logistics, risk factors, past medical history, medical treatment prior to admission, electrocardiographic changes, biochemical markers, other clinical features and investigations, medical treatment in hospital, interventions, hospital outcome, discharge diagnoses and discharge-medications. The registry also includes a detailed description of angiographic findings, procedures, type of stenosis, type of stent, antithrombotic treatment, and complications. The system has an interactive method for registration of restenosis and stent thrombosis. Detailed information about every previously implanted stent anywhere in the country is presented and a mandatory question about existence of any form of restenosis or stent thrombosis has to be answered. Every hospital in Sweden providing the relevant services participates in the SWEDEHEART registry.

**Patient identification**

Every Swedish citizen has a unique personal identification number which together with name, address and hospital identity is included in the registry. The use of personal identification number enables merging of the SWEDEHEART database with the National Cause of Death Register, which includes information about the vital status of all Swedish citizens, and the National Patient Registry, which includes diagnoses at discharge for all hospital stays in Sweden. All patients are informed about their participation in the registry and the follow-up, and have the right to decline participation. Every merge of registries is approved by the National Board of Health and Welfare, the Swedish Data Inspection
Board and the ethical committee at Uppsala University. After merging of the registries, researchers have access to hospital identity but not to patient identity.

**Data quality**

Uppsala Clinical Research Center provides manuals, education and technical advice, including a telephone help desk for all users of the registry. The system has error checking routines for range and consistency. Definitions are easily available when data are entered. To ensure the correctness of the data entered a monitor annually visits about 20 hospitals and compares data entered into the SWEDHEART with the information in the patients’ records from 30–40 randomly chosen patients in each hospital. When 637 randomly chosen computer forms from 21 hospitals containing 38 121 variables were reviewed in 2007, there was a 96.1% (range: 92.6%–97.4%) agreement. To reach a high degree of completeness a majority of variables are mandatory and each hospital can monitor data completeness. The system provides all users with an array of on-line interactive reports regarding changes of processes of care and outcome in direct comparison with other hospitals. The SCAAR registry also works as a clinical tool as it displays detailed information about any previously performed intervention. Following a coronary procedure two reports summarizing the findings and intervention performed are printed; one for the patient and one for the patient’s clinical files. The second part includes a request to the ward to report potential complications post-PCI. The registry captures 100% of the patients undergoing angiography, angioplasty or heart surgery.

**Use of SWEDHEART data**

The main purpose of the registry is to support the improvement of care and evidence based development of therapy of coronary artery disease by providing continuous information on care needs, therapy and results of therapy and changes within a hospital as well as in comparison to other hospitals. The long-term goals are to contribute to decreased mortality and morbidity among the patients and to increase the cost effectiveness in coronary care. A national, regional and county based report is presented on a yearly basis showing all these levels openly concerning a large number of variables. The registry compares performance of participating hospitals and different treatment
modalities and medical devices. The results, especially regarding differences between different hospitals and the adherence to national guidelines, have also been associated with attention and discussion in different media and authorities, which have further contributed to the improvements in care. In addition, many hospitals are engaged in collaborations on quality development projects, which are supported by the on-line interactive reporting system as a continuous quality control instrument. By giving each hospital an opportunity to compare its treatments and results over time and with other hospitals, the registry has proven to be a powerful tool for improvements both locally and nationally. SWEDEHEART and its originally four registries have, so far, been the source of more than 100 original scientific papers of which several have been in high-ranking journals.

**Randomized Registry Clinical Trials (RRCT) in SWEDEHEART**

Several large multi-center randomized clinical trials have been fully conducted within the SWEDEHEART registry. In the TASTE trial (Thrombus Aspiration in ST-elevation in Scandinavia), 7200 patients were included to test the effect of thrombus aspiration on mortality in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. Other RRCTs conducted within the SWEDHEART registry include DETOX (DETermination of the role of OXygen in suspected Acute Myocardial Infarction trial; N=6629), VALIDATE (Bivalirudin versus heparin in non-ST and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction-a registry-based randomized clinical trial in the registry; N=6,606), and iFR (Instantaneous Wave-free Ratio versus Fractional Flow Reserve to Guide PCI; N=2,037).
MULTIPLE IMPUTATION OF MISSING DATA

Missing data are frequent in observational studies, and in the presence of missing data statistical modeling using only subjects with complete data for all variables (“complete-case” analyses) may be biased and inefficient. In accordance with the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) consensus document we imputed missing data using multiple imputation, under the assumption that data were missing at random. The imputation protocol consisted of the chain-equation method with 20 data sets and a predictive-mean matching algorithm. We used the same covariates in the imputation protocol as in the main analysis with addition of an event indicator. We imputed 20 data sets with 10, 50 and 100 cycles between the outputs. We tested the adequacy of the iterations (convergence) by visual inspection of trace plots for different chains which showed no apparent trends for the imputed values between the imputation models. We then decided to use 100 cycles in the final model. Continuous variables were imputed by ordinary least squares regression whereas binary variables were imputed using logistic regression and categorical variables by multinomial logistic regression. The following variables had missing data and were imputed: diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, smoking status, previous myocardial infarction, previous PCI, previous CABG, extent of coronary artery disease, ASA, clopidogrel, ticagrelor, prasugrel, glycoprotein inhibitors, unfractionated heparin, bivalirudin, thrombolysis, warfarin, cardiogenic shock, complete revascularization. The following variables were included in the imputation model as regular variables: age, gender, year of intervention, hospital, previous myocardial infarction, treated vessel, arterial access site, indicator of missing data, vital status. The imputation procedure and the subsequent analyses were performed according to the Rubin’s protocol using Stata software (version 15.0, StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Propensity score

We used a propensity score model to adjust for differences in patient characteristics. The significant predictors of thrombus aspiration and PCI for each patient were identified by fitting a logistic regression model with (1) a binary dependent variable representing thrombus aspiration and PCI and
(2) with candidate variables consisting of the patient-related predictors of the type of therapy used. The following variables were used for estimation of propensity score: age, gender, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, smoking status, previous PCI, previous CABG, previous myocardial infarction, extent of CAD, complete revascularization, treatment with aspirin, treatment with clopidogrel, treatment with ticagrelor, treatment with prasugrel, treatment with GP2b3a-blocker, treatment with unfractionated heparin/LMWH, treatment with bivalirudin, treatment with thrombolysis, treatment with warfarin, procedural success, puncture site, treatment with drug eluting stent, cardiogenic shock.
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