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Corrections:

1. Contribution of authors: Due to different requirements among publishers, not every paper included in the thesis directly mentioned the contribution of authors. A brief overview is provided as follows:

   - The linguistic analysis was planned by both authors. Data was provided by Eliasson. The linguistic analysis was carried out by both authors. The first draft was written by Tang. Both authors were responsible for correcting the draft.

   - The linguistic analysis was planned by both authors. Data was provided by Saikia. The linguistic analysis was carried out by both authors. The first draft was written by Tang. Both authors were responsible for correcting the draft.

   - The linguistic analysis was planned by both authors. Data was provided by Tang. The linguistic analysis was carried out by both authors. The first draft was written by Tang. Both authors were responsible for correcting the draft.

   - The hypothesis was developed by Her. The experiment was planned by both authors. Data was provided by Her’s research team, in which Tang and Li were also members. The quantitative analysis was carried out by Li. The first draft was written by Tang. Both authors were responsible for correcting the draft.

   - The hypothesis was developed by both authors. The experiment was planned by both authors. Data was provided by Her’s research team, in which Tang and Li were also members. The quantitative analysis was carried out by Tang. The first draft was written by Tang. Both authors were responsible for correcting the draft.

VI. Her, One-Soon, Marc Tang and Bing-Tsiong Li. (in press). Word order of numeral classifiers and numeral bases: Harmonization by multiplication. Language Typology and Universals.
   - The hypothesis was developed by Her. The experiment was planned by the three authors. Data was provided by the Her’s research team, in which Tang and Li were also members. The linguistic analysis was carried out by Li. The quantitative analysis was carried out by Tang. The first draft was written by Tang. All three authors were responsible for correcting the draft.

• The hypothesis was developed by both authors. The experiment was planned by Tang. Data was provided by Her’s research team, in which Tang was also a member. The quantitative analysis was carried out by Tang. The first draft was written by Tang. Both authors were responsible for correcting the draft.


• The hypothesis was developed by both authors. The experiment was planned by both authors. Data was provided by Tang. The quantitative analysis was carried out by Tang. Both authors participated in correcting parameters of the quantitative analysis. The first draft was written by Tang. Both authors were responsible for correcting the draft.


• The hypothesis was developed by both authors. The experiment was planned by both authors. The experiments were carried out by Basirat. Both authors participated in correcting parameters of the experiment. The quantitative analysis was carried out by both authors. The first draft was written by Tang. Both authors were responsible for correcting the draft.


• The hypothesis was developed by both authors. The experiment was planned by both authors. The experiments were carried out by Basirat. Both authors participated in correcting parameters of the experiment. The quantitative analysis was carried out by both authors. The first draft was written by Tang. Both authors were responsible for correcting the draft.

2. Clarification on paper 9 and paper 10: These two papers originated from the same study. Paper 10 was presented at ICAART 2018 (Special Session NLPinAI) with the title “Lexical and Morpho-syntactic Features in Word Embeddings - A Case Study of Nouns in Swedish”. Paper 10 was then selected by the organizing committee to be extended and included in the series "Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence" (LNAI) published by Springer. In order to have the extended version of the paper (i.e., Paper 9) published in the Springer book, the publishers and the organizing committee required that the following requirements were met during the reviewing process for the book chapter: 1) at least 30% of novel contents, such as more experiments, more datasets, comparisons with the literature, proofs of theorems, and so on. 2) A different title from that of the paper published in the conference proceedings and no large blocks of text verbatim the same in the extended paper and in the conference paper. 3) Proper citations to the paper published in the conference proceedings, and clear description on the differences between the two papers. 4) any table, figure or equation that was used in the conference paper needed to be referred to where it was published. Finally, Paper 9 underwent a second review, which considered the technical soundness and readability of the paper along with the four points previously explained. Paper 9 was evaluated positively according to the criteria mentioned above and was thus published as a different paper from Paper 10.
