Validation of the diagnosis of necrotising enterocolitis in a Swedish population-based observational study
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ABSTRACT

Aim: The definition of necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) is based on clinical and radiological signs that can be difficult to interpret. The aim of the present study was to validate the incidence of NEC in the Extremely Preterm Infants in Sweden Study (EXPRESS)

Methods: The EXPRESS study consisted of all 707 infants born before 27 + 0 gestational weeks during the years 2004–2007 in Sweden. Of these infants, 38 were recorded as having NEC of Bell stage II or higher. Hospital records were obtained for these infants. Furthermore, to identify missed cases, all infants with a sudden reduction of enteral nutrition, in the EXPRESS study were identified (n = 71). Hospital records for these infants were obtained. Thus, 108 hospital records were obtained and scored independently by two neonatologists for NEC.

Results: Of 38 NEC cases in the EXPRESS study, 26 were classified as NEC after validation. Four cases not recorded in the EXPRESS study were found. The incidence of NEC decreased from 6.3% to 4.3%.

Conclusion: Validation of the incidence of NEC revealed over- and underestimation of NEC in the EXPRESS study despite carefully collected data. Similar problems may occur in other national data sets or quality registers.

INTRODUCTION

Necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) is a devastating disease that still, 100 years after the first case was described in the medical literature, leaves many questions unanswered regarding pathophysiology, diagnostic criteria and the best choice of treatment (1). NEC is difficult to define since it is a diagnosis based on clinical symptoms and signs with variations in the clinical presentation. It is of importance that quality registers and databases of NEC cases are validated and that mimicking diseases are excluded; otherwise, this data cannot be used reliably for research or quality improvement purposes (2). A recent Danish study of 714 premature infants born less than 50 gestational weeks diagnosed with NEC at discharge highlighted the problem with incorrect diagnoses and showed a significantly lower incidence of NEC when each NEC case underwent a rigid validation (3).

National and regional neonatal quality registers are increasingly used on an international level. There are several publications comparing outcomes within, and between quality registers (4). These comparisons have many advantages and may lead to quality improvement; however, there is a risk of differences in classification of the disease that may be important to take into consideration (5).

Bell’s score was presented in 1978 and modified by Kliegman and Walsh nine years later (6,7). Today, almost 40 years later, the survival rate among infants born before 28 gestational weeks has dramatically improved leading to a different panorama of acquired neonatal intestinal diseases (8). To diagnose NEC in extremely preterm infants is difficult, diseases like spontaneous intestinal perforation

Key notes
- This study was a systematic validation of necrotising enterocolitis (NEC) in a Swedish cohort of extremely premature infants.
- The incidence of NEC after validation was lower than previously reported with a decrease from 6.3% to 4.3%.
- The study revealed over- and underestimation of the number of NEC cases in extremely preterm infants. Similar problems may occur in other population-based data sets.
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(SIP) is often misinterpreted as NEC which can affect the reported incidence of NEC. There is a risk of both over- and underestimation of the incidence among extremely preterm infants as they have been described to present with less specific clinical and radiological signs of NEC compared to more mature infants (9).

The Swedish national collaborative project Extremely Preterm Infants in Sweden Study (EXPRESS) is a prospective national study collecting data on preterm infants born before 27 gestational weeks during 2004–2007, including all obstetric and neonatal units in Sweden (10,11). In the EXPRESS study, NEC was defined according to Bell’s Modified staging criteria as Bell stage II or higher (7).

The objective of this study was to identify any possible over- or underestimation of the NEC incidence in the Swedish EXPRESS cohort.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Study population and Setting

The EXPRESS study consisted of 707 live-born infants of whom 638 were admitted for intensive care (10). Of 707 live-born infants, 602 survived to 24 hours and 497 to one year of life. The design of the EXPRESS study is described in previous publications (10,11). A majority of included infants were born and treated at a level three neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). During the three-year study period, perinatal and neonatal information including NEC was prospectively collected. Missing information was retrieved when possible. Data were also entered in the Swedish Neonatal Quality Registry (SNQ) that includes perinatal background data and extensive health-related data from the NICU stay (12). A modified version of the data entry form is still used in the SNQ (12) (MedSciNet AB, Stockholm, Sweden) for prospective data collection from all infants admitted to a neonatal ward in Sweden within 28 days of life.

At the time of the EXPRESS study, coordinators at each of the seven health regions established a diagnosis of NEC based on the Bell stage II criteria according to a standard operating procedure. SIP was recognised as a different entity.

The incidence of NEC was reported as 29/497 (5.8%) children surviving one year of age (10). This number is consistent with other Swedish findings (13) but in the lower range comparing to international reports (14). According to the EXPRESS database, among infants who were alive at 24 hours but died within one year of age, 38/602 infants (6.3%) were diagnosed with NEC.

This current study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Helsinki declaration. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee at Lund University, Sweden (Dnr 138/2008).

Data collection

For all infants diagnosed with NEC in the EXPRESS database, hospital charts from the first admission were collected (10,11). For each infant, the following information was requested: written abdominal X-ray results, ultrasound results, surgery reports, intestinal biopsy and autopsy protocols (Fig. 1). For four infants, abdominal X-ray images were collected. For infants who were diagnosed with NEC after transportation to a lower level NICU, hospital records from the second caregiver were also requested.

To identify missed NEC cases in the EXPRESS study, previously collected data used for a nutritional study were used (15). The nutritional data were obtained from hospital records from birth to 70 days of post-natal age and covered detailed information on enteral and parenteral intakes. Discharge summaries were collected for all infants who fulfilled one of two criteria: The first criterion was that enteral feeds never exceeded 10% of total fluids within the first 10 days of life. The second criterion was a reduction of enteral feeds to 10% of total fluids or below. For the first 28 days of life, the reduction had to be for two or more days, and enteral feeds prior to the reduction had to be 50% or more of total fluids. After 28 days of life, only one day with a reduction of enteral nutrition was required to fulfil the criterion.

If the discharge summary from the cases with reduced enteral nutrition raised suspicion of any gastrointestinal pathologic event, the same information regarding nutritional intakes was collected as described for infants with a diagnosis of NEC.

All collected data were read and interpreted independently by two neonatologists. Differences in categorisation (n = 4) were settled by consensus. Both the NEC cases in the EXPRESS study and the new cases detected by findings of reduced enteral intake were classified into ten different subgroups according to intervention and Bell’s modified staging criteria (7). The subgroups were collapsed into two groups: NEC and No NEC; as shown in Table 1. For four infants, abdominal X-ray images had to be collected to enable a final classification.

Descriptive data were collected from hospital records, SNQ register and the EXPRESS database. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistical software (Version 23.0 for Mac, SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Study population

In the present study, infants from the EXPRESS study who survived 24 hours or more were included (n = 602).

Hospital records for 37 of 38 infants with NEC diagnosis in the original EXPRESS cohort were collected and validated, but the hospital records for one infant were unobtainable. For this infant, the NEC diagnosis was left unchanged. For three infants out of 71 (4%) identified with the criterion for reduced enteral intake, patient records were unobtainable. These three infants were classified as no NEC. Altogether, 56 infants were subjected for a complete review of hospital records. Despite persistent procurement, patient records remained incomplete for 11 of these 56 infants (19%).
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Figure 1 Data collection.
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Classification of NEC

According to the EXPRESS database, 38/602 infants who survived more than 24 hours were diagnosed with NEC out of which 37 were validated independently by two neonatologists. Nine of these 38 infants died before the age of one year. After validation, 21 of these 38 infants were classified as NEC, one missing case was classified as NEC, and 16 were classified as no NEC.

Among the group of infants with reduced enteral intake (n = 74), four additional cases were classified as NEC (Table 1). Of the 74 infants with reduced enteral feeding, 70 infants including three infants with missing information were classified as no NEC.

Characteristics of the 26 infants with validated NEC are presented in Table 2. No significant differences in background characteristics were observed between patients with and without NEC (Table 2). NEC patients had a significantly higher mortality before discharge (Table 2).

Characteristics of misclassified cases

Sixteen of total 38 NEC cases in the EXPRESS study, were classified as no NEC cases (Table 1). Five infants with NEC diagnosis in the EXPRESS study who underwent surgery were classified as no NEC by the validation. Of those, three were recognised as SIP, one as volvulus and one as a meconium ileus (Table 3). Two of the NEC cases in the EXPRESS study were classified as no clinical suspicion of NEC and nine cases as Bell stage I. Three of these nine infants showed clinical symptoms of Bell stage II and six clinical symptoms of Bell stage I. None of them had unambiguous radiological findings of ileus, pneumatosis intestinalis, portal venous gas, ascites or pneumoperitoneum and were therefore classified as Bell stage I.

In the group of infants detected by reduced enteral feeding (N = 74), four infants were classified as NEC after validation. Two of the NEC cases showed macroscopic findings of NEC during surgery, one showed macroscopic findings of NEC on autopsy and one had explicit radiological findings. Seven infants were classified as Bell stage I of them, three had clinical symptoms of Bell stage II but none had radiological findings of Bell stage II (Table 3). These seven infants were classified as no NEC.

Impact on incidence and mortality

The incidence of NEC before validation was 38/602 children (6.3%), and after validation, the incidence was 26/602 children (4.3%). Mortality before discharge among NEC cases in the original EXPRESS study database was

### Table 1 NEC Classification according to validation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEC diagnosis in express cohort N (%)</th>
<th>Infants with reduced enteral feedings N (%)</th>
<th>Classified as NEC after validation N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total 38</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing hospital records for validation 1*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEC classification after validation No NEC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No clinical suspicion of NEC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell stage I, no conclusive X-ray (no surgery)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery showed other intestinal conditions</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum 16 (42.1)</td>
<td>70 (94.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraperitoneal or portal gas and clinical signs (no surgery)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileus, ascites or free gas on X-ray and clinical signs (no surgery)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroscopic NEC on surgery with confirming biopsy or without biopsy</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autopsy with macroscopic and biopsy findings of NEC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum 22 (57.9)</td>
<td>4 (5.6)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Missing case classified as NEC.

### Table 2 Study Population, children with and without NEC after validation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>No NEC*</th>
<th>NEC†</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (days)</td>
<td>177 (7.7)</td>
<td>176 (7.0)</td>
<td>0.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthweight (gram)</td>
<td>766 (170)</td>
<td>748 (170)</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthweight (z-score)</td>
<td>-0.79 (1.22)</td>
<td>-0.79 (1.31)</td>
<td>0.640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male N (%)</td>
<td>316 (55)</td>
<td>16 (62)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead before discharge home N (%)</td>
<td>120 (21)</td>
<td>9 (5)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEC diagnosis in hospital records N (%)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>19 (73)‡</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No NEC = EXPRESS infants alive at 24 hours and no NEC diagnosis after validation.
†NEC = EXPRESS infants alive at 24 hours with NEC diagnosis after validation.
‡One child missing.
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9/38 children (23.7%) and after validation 9/26 children (34.6%).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study showed a lower incidence of NEC after validation of the NEC cases in the EXPRESS study, a population-based prospective observational study of extremely preterm infants. This lower NEC incidence is interesting since the NEC incidence in the EXPRESS study was already low, suggesting a very low NEC incidence in Sweden, possibly due to the widespread use of breast milk and the availability of donor human milk banks (16).

Importantly, the study also shows a risk of overestimating as well as underestimating the number of NEC cases in extremely preterm infants. This risk underlines the need for validation of the NEC diagnosis in clinical research as well as in neonatal quality improvement (QI) registries. QI registries are essential tools for clinical evaluation, benchmarking and research. To be able to compare national and international data there is a need for robust diagnostic criteria.

Eleven of the 38 NEC diagnosed infants in the EXPRESS study did not undergo surgery and had no signs of NEC on ultrasound or X-ray (Table 1). In the EXPRESS study, NEC was defined as Bell stage II or above, which requires radiological findings with ileus, pneumatisis intestinalis or portal venous gas (6,7). In preterm infants, it is difficult to differentiate between NEC and other diagnoses such as SIP and even harder to validate the diagnosis retrospectively. A septic episode may result in intestinal paralysis and dilatation that may clinically mimic NEC without having a true intestinal inflammation or necrosis. In several of the infants originally defined as NEC, the diagnosis could not be confirmed in this validation. This validation pinpoints that early stages of NEC are challenging to diagnose in extremely preterm infants. This challenge is further complicated by the fact that the modified Bell’s criteria are not validated for this group of patients. It has been suggested by Gordon et al. (17) that the criteria should be replaced in favour of a more clinical approach focused on the pathophysiological genesis. This strategy suggests a classification of NEC into five different subgroups based on risk factors and triggers: Term-Ischaemic, red blood cell transfusions, cow milk intolerance, contagion and extreme prematurity (18). However, there are currently no validated criteria for this type of subclassification of NEC.

Recently, Battersby et al. (19) suggested a new definition of NEC based on weighted scores depending on clinical and radiological findings and a cut-off depending on gestational age. By using gestational age-specific definitions in four categories, they showed a sensitivity of 76.5% and a specificity of 74.4% for predicting infants who underwent laparotomy. This definition deals with the fact that NEC has a different clinical panorama depending on gestational age but does not prevent the erroneous inclusion of other diseases. As a recent Danish validation of NEC diagnoses at discharge showed, there is a high risk to misdiagnose SIP as NEC when the Bell’s criteria are used (3). The strict definition with mandatory surgical and autopsy findings of a necrotic intestine, as proposed in the studies referred to, may result in a loss of sensitivity.

In recent years, abdominal ultrasound has been shown to be a useful tool in the diagnosis of NEC in extremely preterm infants (20), but ultrasound criteria are not included in the Bell classification.

Among the cases that underwent surgery, five cases did not have NEC at validation; three were categorised as SIP, which mimics NEC in many ways but has different pathogenesis and a more favourable outcome (21). If surgical reports had been taken into account in the EXPRESS study, these cases could have been separated as well as the other two cases: one volvulus and one meconium ileus.

It has been shown that just by removing SIP cases from cases diagnosed as NEC, the time distribution of the remaining NEC cases complies with Sartwell’s model of incubation time (22,23). This finding shows that a definition of NEC by removing SIP cases could be useful for better understanding the triggers of NEC.

Our results show that using Bell’s criteria, at least four infants with a diagnosis of NEC were not identified in the EXPRESS study (Table 1). Two of these cases underwent surgery with clear NEC findings; one had pneumatosis intestinalis and one had explicit findings of NEC on autopsy.

The data in the EXPRESS study became part of the SNQ register that collects peri- and neonatal data on all infants in Sweden admitted for neonatal care. This register is only one of 96 quality registers within the Swedish healthcare sector in 2017 (24). It is resource efficient to use data from
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The NEC incidence in the EXPRESS study was lower after validation of the reported NEC cases. When excluding the suspected cases, the NEC incidence was 4.3% among infants born before gestational week 27 + 0 completed weeks. A correct diagnosis of NEC Bell stage II in extremely preterm infants is difficult leading to a risk of both over- and underestimation of the incidence. Improved classification of NEC is of great importance for quality improvement in the future.
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