An assessment of nitrogen concentrations from spectroscopic measurements in the JET and ASDEX upgrade divertor
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1. Introduction

Substantial seeding of impurities, e.g. with N, to reduce the plasma power exhaust impacting on plasma facing components has been used for a long time in tokamak research [1–4]. Currently, measurements of the seeded impurity concentration in the divertor are limited [5,6] and the amount required to facilitate detachment is currently not well understood. Goldston et al. [7] have recently developed a scaling law to predict the impurity concentration required to attain detachment, in terms of scrape-off layer plasma parameters such as the upstream density, separatrix power and poloidal magnetic field. To validate this model, the experimental impurity concentration is required, which has previously been estimated on ASDEX Upgrade (AUG) from the ratio of the main ion and seeding gas valve flux rates [8]. However, the accuracy of these N concentration estimates depends strongly on the amount of vessel wall pumping and release of the impurity and fuel species [9,10].

To assess and compare with the gas valve flux rate measurements, this paper exploits a recently developed spectroscopic N II line ratio technique for measuring the N concentration in the divertor [11]. Since...
this new measurement technique is compatible with the spectrometer settings routinely used to measure Stark broadening [12,13], there are a range of pulses from AUG and JET with suitable viewing geometries and diagnostic setup. The main aim of this paper is to demonstrate the robustness of the measurement in a wide range of discharges, recover the expected trends with divertor temperature (i.e. higher concentration leads to lower divertor temperature plasma for similar separatrix power and density), and to provide a basis for future studies examining the parameter dependencies of the impurity concentration required to facilitate detachment.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 details the experiment setup and the range of conditions from AUG and JET plasma. Section 3 outlines the model for calculating the nitrogen concentration. The measurements of the divertor nitrogen concentrations from AUG and JET are analysed in Section 4. Lastly, the conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. Experiment setup

For AUG, a total of 26 N-seeded, H-mode pulses are analysed with N II radiance measurements averaged over steady-state time-windows of at least 200 ms, where ELM periods are removed. The database includes a range of power crossing the separatrix ($P_{sep}$ = 2–10 MW) and divertor temperatures $T_{div}$ = 3–50 eV, at various fuelling and $N_0$ = 0.22–0.42; the possibility is the fractionation abundance of the $N$ II, which normalised is used instead to provide a basis for future studies examining the parameter dependencies of the impurity concentration required to facilitate detachment.

3. Concentration model

The N II radiance measurements from the divertor plasma are used to calculate the line-averaged nitrogen concentration, $c_N$, using

\[
C_N = \frac{1}{4\pi n_{II}} \left( \frac{f_{PEC_{exc}} + f_{PEC_{rec}}}{f_{PEC_{exc}, rec}} \right) \frac{dL_n}{dN_{II}}.
\]

where $n_{II}$ is the N II radiance in [W/m²/steradian], $\Delta L$ is the length of the N II emitting region through the line-of-sight (LOS), $PEC_{exc}$, rec are excitation and recombination photon emissivity coefficients [11] in [m³/s], $f_{PEC}$ is the fractional ion abundance of the Z charged ion, and $n_e$, $n_{II}$ is the average electron density associated with $\Delta L$. The $f_{PEC}$ is calculated using a zero-transport ionisation balance.

The average electron temperature of the N II emitting region, $T_{e, NII}$, must also be calculated to interpolate the temperature and density dependent $PEC_{exc}$, rec and $f_{PEC}$. Comparison of the measured N II multiplet line ratios at 399.5 nm, 402.6 nm, and 404.1 nm, shown in Fig. 1a and b, with the equivalent $PEC_{exc}$, rec ratios can provide a unique solution of $T_{e, NII}$ and $n_e$, $n_{II}$ [11]. However, to avoid the difficulties of measuring the weak, blended line at $\lambda$ = 402.6 nm over a range of pulses, only the strongest N II lines at 399.5 nm and 404.1 nm are used in this work. It was shown previously that the N II emission tends to occur between $T_{e, NII}$ = 3.5–4.0 eV [11], consistent with the assumption of zero-transport, and therefore these limits are used to interpolate the minimum and maximum $n_e$, $n_{II}$ using the line ratio model shown in Fig. 1c. Due to the weak dependence of the line ratio below $\approx 5 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$, this concentration model is only valid for line ratios greater than $\approx 0.15$ (the typical range measured in this analysis is $\geq 0.2$ as shown in Fig. 1c).

3.1. Emission zones

To calculate $\Delta L$, the line-integrated spectrometer measurements must be assessed in combination with 2D N II emission profiles in the divertor. For AUG, an inversion of the spectrometer sightlines viewing the outer divertor to obtain a rough local emissivity has been carried out for one pulse (#34971) to characterize $\Delta L$ at different $T_{div}$. The geometry and 2D N II emission profiles are shown in Fig. 2a-c for three time-windows: $t = 3 – 3.3$ s, $t = 3.5 – 4$ s, and $t = 4 – 4.5$ s corresponding to $T_{div} \approx 15$ eV, $T_{div} = 10$ eV, and $T_{div} \leq 5$ eV, respectively. The $\Delta L$ is interpolated from the inverted data at these three temperatures, as shown in Fig. 2d, where each length is estimated from the length of the grid cells. The maximum geometrical limit of this sightline is $\Delta L = 11$ cm. Note the top row of (partially) unconstrained grid points which have been used to account for any additional emission emanating from the far/upstream scrape-off layer (SOL). When $T_{div}$ is high, the fully constrained grid points are sufficient to account for the majority of emission measured by the spectrometer sightlines. However, as the temperature falls below 15 eV, the emission from the unconstrained
grid points becomes more significant which is consistent with an emission front moving from the strike-point towards the X-point as divertor detachment occurs.

The ROV-11 horizontal sightline, as shown by the bold line in Fig. 2a–c, is used to evaluate $c_N$ in this analysis. It is noted that the emission immediately below this sightline is unexpectedly low; however post-campaign calibrations of the sightline window transmission have been performed and included in this analysis to rule out calibration issues. Furthermore, an inversion has been carried out for a plasma with a lower strike point which consistently showed more emission in this lower region of plasma. Considering that the strike point location is not fixed in this analysis, this will yield moderately different values of $\Delta L$ to those derived from the inversion shown in Fig. 2a–c. This uncertainty, along with the uncertainty from the coarse grid size, is thought to lie within the two curves of $\Delta L$ for ROV-11 shown in Fig. 2d as a function of $T_{div}$. On JET, the 2D emission profiles are determined by inverting camera images filtered for the N II emission near 500 nm, as demonstrated in Fig. 3a and b. The strong N II lines near 500 nm correspond to $3d - 3p$ and $3p - 3s$ orbital transitions which have similar temperature and density dependences to the $3p - 3s$ N II transition line at 399.5 nm used in this analysis. Therefore, any differences in $\Delta L$ between the N II transition lines measured by the camera and the spectrometer should be negligible. Due to the vertical spectrometer line-of-sight (LOS) geometry in JET, only the sightlines which avoid significant line-integration through the detachment front region in the far SOL are considered for the $c_N$ analysis shown in the next section because of the difficulties in estimating the $\Delta L$ through the emission front. On the other hand, the sightlines measured upstream of this front region sample only a small, localised region ($\Delta L \approx 2.5-3.5$ cm) near the separatrix.

To determine the radial location (along the divertor tile) of the detachment front, $R_{det}$, at each time slice, the $c_N$ measurements without the factor $1/\Delta L$ (i.e. $\Delta L_{det}$ in units [m]) are assessed. This quantity allows for a qualitative analysis of the spectroscopic measurement without requiring a quantitative definition of $\Delta L$. Moving from left to right sightlines, the first $\Delta L_{det}$ measurement to rise above the standard deviation is defined as $R_{det}$. The radial location of the strike point, $R_{sp}$, is fixed at $R_{sp} = 2.7$ m, providing a gauge of the detachment front movement ($\Delta R_{det} = R_{det} - R_{sp}$). An example of the $\Delta L_{det}$ profile and $\Delta R_{det}$ evaluation at a single time slice is shown in Fig. 3c and d, while the $\Delta R_{det}$ results for both discharges are shown in full in the next section (see Fig. 6b).

4. Results and analysis

The measured $n_{e, NB}$ averaged over the lower and upper values obtained from using $T_{NB} = 3.5 - 4.0$ eV, is shown in Fig. 4a, while Fig. 4b shows the electron density obtained from Stark broadening measurements, $n_{e, NS}$. Typically, $n_{e, NS}$ is systematically higher than $n_{e, NB}$ (also shown and explained in [11]), however both measurements show relatively higher densities at low power (black circles) and a sharp increase in density near $T_{div} = 20$ eV. The spectroscopic $c_N$ results from the H-mode AUG pulses are shown in Fig. 4c. Higher power measurements (red circles) show that a higher $c_N$ leads to a lower $T_{div}$, as expected. The $c_N$ measurements in low power plasma (black circles) are significantly lower than the equivalent $c_N$ measurements at higher power.

To further investigate the parameter dependencies of $c_N$ in partially/fully detached plasma ($T_{div} \leq 5$ eV), the measurements are compared with scaling law predictions from Goldston et al. [7]. in Fig. 4d. The $c_N$ required to reach detachment can be predicted from Goldston et al. using

$$c_N^{\text{scaling}} = \frac{1}{18.3} \left( \frac{B_p}{T(1 + x^2)^{3/2} \Gamma_{GW, sep}} \right) \times 4.0\%$$

(2)

where the value of 4% is from a gas valve flux ratio estimate from Kallenbach et al. [8] in a plasma with $\Gamma_{GW} = 10.7$ MW, $<B_p> = 0.34$, $k = 1.63$, and $\Gamma_{GW, sep} = 0.496$ (thus giving the factor 18.3). From the data shown, the $c_N$ trend in partially/fully detached plasma agrees within error bars with $c_N^{\text{scaling}}$. Note that the error for $c_N^{\text{scaling}}$ is set by allowing a factor of 1.2 and 0.8 variation on $\Gamma_{GW, sep}$.

The equivalent N concentration measurement from the gas valve flux ratio is given by

$$c_N^{\text{flux}} = \frac{\Gamma_{N}/\gamma}{\Gamma_0 + \Gamma_N/\gamma}$$

(3)

where $\Gamma_N$ is defined in electrons/s, and the numerator and denominator factors of seven are for counting N ions and electrons, respectively, assuming that N only contributes one electron in the divertor plasma region where N II is measured. A comparison of $c_N$ and $c_N^{\text{flux}}$ is shown in Fig. 5 for four consecutive, identically setup pulses on AUG which have
the same, constant N₂ seeding flux (see [10]). In the first pulse, the outer divertor remains attached and the two measurements differ significantly. However, in the following pulses there is a gradual convergence of the two measurements as $T_{\text{div}}$ falls. This convergence is thought to be strongly correlated with the vessel wall conditions. When the vessel walls are fully-loaded, and the release of N occurs at a similar rate to the pumping of N, there is agreement between $c_N$ and $c_{\text{flux}}$ within the error bars of $c_N$. These particular series of pulses were carried out with moderate $P_{\text{sep}} \approx 5$ MW which could explain the relatively long time (4 pulses) to reach agreement. At higher power, the two measurements may reach agreement in a shorter timescale; additionally, the timing of the pulse relative to the last boronisation could also affect the measurement.

Lastly, the spectroscopic $c_N$ measurements from the two L-mode JET pulses are shown in Fig. 6a as a function of $T_{\text{e}}$ (recall now the use of the Langmuir probe temperature, $T_e$, rather than $T_{\text{div}}$). Like AUG, the

![Fig. 3. Inverted camera data with a narrow filter measuring the N II emission near 500 nm is shown for JET pulse numbers (a) #90419 and (b) #90423. An example of the radial $c_N\Delta L$ profile measured by spectroscopy is shown for two different times in (c) and (d).](image)

![Fig. 4. The electron density calculated using the N II line ratio (averaged over results with $T_{\text{e},\text{avg}}=3.5-4.0$ eV) and using Stark broadening is shown in (a) and (b), respectively, as a function of $T_{\text{e}}$. Corresponding measurements of $c_N$ from AUG H-mode plasma are shown in (c). The $c_N$ measurements in AUG plasma with $T_{\text{e}} \leq 5$ eV are compared with scaling law predictions for detachment from Goldston et al. in (d). The data from JET at $T_{\text{e}} > 4$ eV is shown by the blue symbol. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image)
cN is higher for lower \( T_{e\text{tgt}} \). The dashed lines indicate the scaling calculated at two estimates of \( f_{GW, \text{sep}} = 0.3 \) and \( f_{GW, \text{sep}} = 0.5 \). In addition, the averaged data point for \( T_{e\text{tgt}} < 4 \) eV in Fig. 6 is shown by the blue point in Fig. 4d for comparison. The approximate agreement with the scaling law in both machines suggests the lack of any intrinsic machine-size scaling, however more data is needed to verify this. It is also noted that the \( c_N \) prediction for L-mode plasma will likely be lower than the results shown due to the larger scrape-off layer width compared to H-mode. Fig. 6b shows the \( \Delta R_{FL} \) as a function of \( T_{e\text{tgt}} \) to demonstrate the algorithm for detecting \( R_{FL} \). This shows a clear movement of the front away from the strike point as divertor plasma cools.

5. Conclusions

This first assessment of spectroscopic N concentration measurements in both AUG and JET divertor plasma demonstrates the application of a new measurement technique over a wide range of plasma conditions. For plasma with similar power crossing the separatrix, the measurements show that higher N concentrations lead to lower divertor temperatures, as expected given the higher power losses. Furthermore, for plasma with partially or fully detached outer divertor plasma, the N concentration required to reach the same target temperature will likely be lower than the predictions shown due to the larger scrape-off layer width compared to H-mode. Recovering these expected trends builds confidence in the measurement technique and lays the foundations for further parameter dependency studies. The uncertainties of the spectroscopic measurement are mainly driven by the temperature and density predictions of the emission region, since the intensity of emission varies logarithmically with temperature while the concentration varies inversely with the density squared. Including additional N II spectral lines to constrain the predictions could reduce the uncertainty, however these predictions would be more robust combined with other measurements of the temperature and density, for example a divertor Thomson scattering diagnostic. The effect of plasma transport, electron excitation driven by molecular dissociation, the length of the emitting region, and the atomic structure accuracy also play a role in defining the total uncertainty, however these contribute less significantly in comparison to the temperature and density predictions.

In general, the absolute magnitudes of the N concentrations from spectroscopy agree with estimates derived from the N and D gas valve flux rates if there has been significant N\(_2\) seeding beforehand and the vessel surfaces are fully-loaded. Scenarios with a low background N concentration before seeding can result in deviations of up to an order magnitude between the two measurements. These initial results therefore suggest that the vessel wall conditions, specifically the amount of N released from the wall, must be considered if using gas valve flux rates in a ‘feed-forward’ (non-feedback) mode to control the divertor state with respect to detachment. A benefit of the spectroscopic measurement, in addition to the fact that it is spatially resolved in (at-least) 1D, is that the vessel wall conditions do not appear to affect the measurement.

Lastly, spectroscopic measurements of the N concentration may help guide expectations for ITER and DEMO, while the comparison of measurements from different devices with relatively similar scrape-off layer plasma conditions could provide an insight into the complicated divertor geometry effects which can significantly impact the plasma conditions required for detachment. However, examination of specific parameter dependencies and trends within a single device and configuration, such as the separatrix power and poloidal magnetic field, may offer a more reliable understanding for optimizing future configurations.
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