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1. Introduction

An interesting morphosyntactic feature that has been observed in e.g. New Persian¹ (JAHANI 2000) and Balochi (BARANZEHI 2003:102, AXENOV 2006:250-251) is that the same suffix² that marks indefinite restrictive selection out of a generic unit or a plurality (the so-called yā-ye vahdat) is also attached to head nouns³ of restrictive relative clauses.

A restrictive relative clause is one that "determines and restricts the extension of the head", as opposed to a non-restrictive (or descriptive) relative clause, which "merely gives some additional explanation of it" (GREN-EKLUND 1978:53), e.g. "the families that have a car could offer other families a ride to the outing" as opposed to "my father, who has a car, offered another family a ride to the outing".⁴ A restrictive relative

¹ The term "New Persian" is used here to denote the Persian language after the conquest of Islam, or rather after A.D. 850, written mainly in Arabic script. Below only "Persian" will be used in this sense. "Classical Persian" denotes the language down to the end of the 15th century, and "Modern Persian" the language in the 20th century. The time between 1500 and 1900 is described as the "transitional period" between the Classical and the Modern stages of the Persian language.

² This is the opinion of Rubinčik (see WINDFUHR 1979:35-36), HINCHA (1961:173) and LAZARD (1966:263). My own investigation of New Persian (JAHANI 2000) indicates that this is indeed the case, since the suffix -ī marking the antecedent of restrictive relative clauses is attached mainly to singular nouns denoting an open (indefinite) restriction in early Classical Persian, a natural place to find a yā-ye vahdat also on nouns that are not antecedents of restrictive relative clauses. This suffix has then been grammaticalised as a marker of both singular and plural antecedents with either open or closed restriction in Modern Persian. The findings in Balochi presented in this article strengthen the argument that marking the antecedent with the suffix is an extended use of the yā-ye vahdat. A purely synchronic description of, e.g., Modern Persian may, however, label them as two different suffixes, because in this variant of Persian they are used in two very different ways: as a marker of indefinite selection and as a marker of antecedents of restrictive relative clauses.

³ The term "antecedent" is also used in this article to denote the head noun of relative clauses.

⁴ COMRIE (1989:143) regards restrictive relative clauses "more central to the notion of relative clause" than non-restrictive relative clauses, and FABB (1994:3520), following this argument, argues that non-restrictive relative clauses are a structural imitation of restrictive relative clauses.
clause thus restricts, or selects, one or several objects from among a generic unit or a plurality at hand by first specifying a larger set, the domain of relativisation ("families" in the example above), and then restricting that domain "to some subset of which a certain proposition is true" (i.e. "having a car") (FABB 1994:3520).

Head nouns of restrictive relative clauses can either be generic or definite. In the first case the restriction is open (indefinite, non-specific). The subset for which the relative clause is true is thus an open class, which means that it can also be empty. In the sentence "a book that makes you understand yourself better is definitely worth reading" the domain of relativisation is "a book", i.e. a generic head noun, and the restriction is open, which means that the subset of which the proposition "makes you understand yourself better" holds true is not delimitated. It could hold true of many books, or even of no book at all, in which case the subset would be an empty class.

In the second case the restriction is closed (specific) which means that the subset selected from the domain of relativisation is a closed class and delimited by means of the restrictive relative clause, e.g "the book that you bought yesterday is really worth reading". In this case the domain of relativisation, i.e. the head noun "the book", is definite and the subset of which the proposition "you bought yesterday" holds true is a closed class, here containing only one object. If the noun is in the plural, "the books that you bought yesterday are really worth reading", the subset will contain more than one object (see also LEHMANN 1984:261). The distinction between open and closed restriction (discussed in brief by GRENEKLUND 1978:52), is particularly relevant to the marking of the antecedent in Iranian languages.

A previous study of relative clauses in Classical and Modern Persian (JAHANI 2000) shows that the grammaticalisation of the yā-ye vaḥdat, which in Modern Persian is pronounced -ī, as a marker of antecedents of restrictive relative clauses had already started when early Persian prose was written (from the end of the 9th century A.D.), but it was not completed until the Modern Persian language emerged in the 20th century. This process has thus taken about 1000 years or more. Persian is the New Iranian language that has the longest literary tradition and it therefore leaves a particularly good written material for diachronic studies of grammaticalisation processes like the one described above.

Quite on the contrary, Balochi has a very short written literary tradition but a long oral literary tradition. We can assume that the language of epic poetry and tales transmitted
orally from generation to generation is somewhat normalised and conventionalised for mnemonic purposes, just like the language of written literature (see UTAS 2005:65 and 2006:209-210). Even so, a certain amount of structural change can be expected over time in oral literature. Oral texts have in the case of Balochi been recorded in written form only from around 1900 onwards (e.g. in DAMES 1891) but it is reasonable to assume that they reflect different layers of language structure including that of the time when they were recorded. Thus, unless these different layers can be discerned in the texts, they provide material that allows us to make diachronic studies reaching back over a period of only slightly more than 100 years.\(^5\) When it comes to non-literary, spoken Balochi, and the spoken variant of most other Iranian languages (possibly excluding Persian, where observations about the spoken language were made rather early by European travellers, see PERRY 1996), it is even harder to make diachronic studies due to the fact that very few descriptions of spoken language and hardly any recordings are available to us from a date earlier than the mid-20th century.

In Balochi we find the same suffix as in Persian marking indefinite restrictive selection out of a generic unit or a plurality. However, due to the retention of the mid-open so-called \textit{ma}\textsuperscript{1}h\textsuperscript{1}h\textit{ul} vowels /\textbar e/ and /\textbar o/ in the Balochi phonemic system, the pronunciation of this suffix is -\textbar e\textbar in Balochi, which was also the pronunciation in Classical Persian.\(^6\)

The purpose of the present article is to investigate whether an extension of the use of the suffix denoting indefinite selection to mark antecedents of restrictive relative clauses similar to the one observed in Persian has also taken place in Balochi. If there is such a marking, in what variants of Balochi does it occur? In these cases, should it be seen as an internal development in Balochi parallel to that of Persian or can it be attributed to linguistic influence from Persian?

\(^5\) The earliest written texts in Balochi that we know of are three manuscripts in the British Library, dating from the 19th century (ELFENBEIN 1983:1-2).

\(^6\) Some modifications of transcription have been carried out in the example sentences. Stress marking has been removed if the original source has it. The vowels are consistently represented by the symbols \textit{a}, \textit{i}, \textit{u} (short vowels) and \textit{a}, \textit{i}, \textit{u}, \textit{e}, \textit{o} (long vowels) for other dialects than those spoken in Iran, and with the same symbols for the long vowels but \textit{a}, \textit{e}, \textit{o} for the short vowels in Iranian Balochi. Also some other modifications have been carried out, such as the replacement of \textit{c} and \textit{j} with \textit{\c{c}} and \textit{\c{j}}, respectively (both used in the sources for the postalveolar affricates), as well as insertion of a glide even if a \textit{hamza} is used in the text. There is no marking of different letters in the Arabic script representing the same phoneme in Balochi. Dames’ transcription has also been modified to a certain extent; vowel length is marked as described above and digraphs are replaced by a single sign, e.g. \textit{\dot{s}} for \textit{sh} and \textit{\c{c}} for \textit{ch}. The superscript \textsuperscript{h} denotes aspiration in Eastern Balochi.
To answer these questions a number of texts and recordings of written and spoken Balochi from different parts of Balochistan will be investigated. Different dialects of the three main groups – Eastern, Southern and Western Balochi – will be represented. Most written texts belong to the oral literature genre, but some are fiction and factual prose. Since writing fiction and factual prose in Balochi dates mainly from the 1950s onwards, it is assumed that texts belonging to these genres represent more or less the same grammatical structures as the spoken language. The oral literature may represent considerably older grammatical structures (see above). However, the Balochi language data at hand do not allow any diachronic conclusions, nor do they permit a study of diverging sociolects, genderlects or generatiolects (see JAHANI 2003:130). Variants of Balochi will therefore be analysed with a focus on geolects (geographical variation). Dialects of Balochi that stand in a continuous close contact with Persian will be compared with dialects spoken in areas where Persian is not a dominant language (see below).

It may also be possible to find traces of historical contacts between Balochi and Persian by comparing the marking of the antecedent in dialects that today are spoken far from Persian-speaking areas, and thus not now under direct Persian influence, with the way the antecedent was marked in older stages of Persian.

As for glossing, the singular case ending -ā is glossed OBJ or OBL depending on its function. The ending -ān or -ā is glossed PL.OBL for all dialects except those spoken in Iran, where it is glossed PL, since it has spread also to the direct case in these dialects. There is also a plural ending -ānā (PL.OBJ) that is used for direct and indirect objects in most dialects of Western Balochi. In Iranian Balochi a merger of the direct and oblique cases is underway (JAHANI 2003:121-125). Therefore forms glossed as OBL may occur as the subject (in the non-ergative domain) in Iranian Balochi. Verb stems are marked as either present (PRS) or past (PST). Only grammatical morphemic analysis is carried out and word formation (of compound words, causative verbs etc.) is ignored.

2. Marking of the head noun in Persian

In Classical Persian we find head nouns of restrictive relative clauses both with and
without the suffix -\(i\). As mentioned, antecedents with this suffix are already found in the earliest Classical Persian texts, but antecedents without -\(i\) are equally common. However, antecedents without -\(i\) are normally qualified by a demonstrative or indefinite pronoun (used as attributive adjectives), e.g. \(\ddot{a}n\) "that", \(\ddot{i}n\) "this" or \(\ddot{h}ar\) "every". My investigation of Persian (JAHANI 2000) clearly shows that the suffix -\(i\) in early Classical Persian was more common on head nouns of an open restriction than on head nouns of a closed restriction. Since there are certain constraints as to what pronouns in Persian can take the suffix -\(i\), only the statistics dealing with nouns as heads are presented here.

In 62 percent of all cases, a head noun of an open restriction is marked with the suffix -\(i\), whereas in the case of a closed restriction only 32 percent of the head nouns take this suffix. This could indicate that the -\(i\) "was initially used on indefinite antecedents in accordance with its use on any noun to mark indefiniteness" (JAHANI 2000:52), and that from there it spread also to marking head nouns of a closed restriction. If only nouns are counted we get the percentages shown in Table 1 for antecedents with or without -\(i\) in Classical and Modern Persian. 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>10th-12th cent.</th>
<th>13th-15th cent.</th>
<th>16th-19th cent.</th>
<th>20th cent.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Noun phrase + ke</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Noun phrase + -(i) + ke</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Modern Persian it is thus the marking with the suffix -\(i\) that is totally predominant. It is very rare to find a demonstrative pronoun co-occurring with this suffix in the literary style, but in dialogue parts of texts, as well as in spoken Modern Persian, a double marking on the antecedent, i.e. both a demonstrative pronoun and the suffix -\(i\), has been noted (JAHANI 2000:49-51). The most likely explanation is that this is a retention of the pattern with a demonstrative pronoun from early Classical Persian with the addition of the -\(i\). This makes the spoken language more explicit than the written language in this grammatical structure, signalling the head noun both with the demonstrative pronoun and the -\(i\).

9 See also JAHANI (2000:49), where the figures include both noun and pronoun heads.

10 The noun phrase may also contain a demonstrative pronoun \(\ddot{m}\ddot{a}n\). This is very common in pattern A, but rare in pattern B in written Persian (see JAHANI 2000:47-48).
3. Linguistic contact between different dialects of Balochi and Persian

Balochi has probably been in contact with and influenced by spoken Persian (Old, Middle and New Persian) from early historical times, possibly as long as 2000 years or more. This can be seen by the fact that Balochi, a north-western Iranian language, shares phonological innovations with both the old stage (from about the 6th century B.C. onwards) and the middle stage (from about the 3rd century B.C. onwards) of the south-western Iranian language Persian (KORN 2003:58-59). There are also several layers of loanwords from Old, Middle and New Persian found in all dialects of Balochi (KORN 2005:49, 330).

At the court in Kalat, where the administrative language was Persian, Balochi was also in contact with written Classical Persian, and in traditional religious education Persian literature was taught in addition to Arabic. We therefore assume that in historical times Balochi, at least to some degree, was in touch with and under influence of literary Persian. Even so, Balochi is likely to have been primarily in contact with the spoken form of the Persian language. However, Southern and Eastern Balochi, are spoken far from Persian speaking areas, so contact with Persian seems to have been less intense in these dialects than in Western Balochi. There is both phonological and morphosyntactic evidence of deeper influence from Persian in different dialects of Western Balochi than in Eastern and Southern Balochi. For example, we find more frequent use of prepositions and a more profound breakdown of the ergative construction in Western than in Southern and Eastern Balochi.

Nowadays there is heavy influence both from spoken and written Persian on those dialects of Balochi (both Western and Southern) that are spoken in Iran (see also MAHMOODZAIH 2003:147-152 and JAHANI 2005:158-160). This influence is, of course, but a natural result of modernisation, which involves more and more intense contacts with the state language through such dynamics as education, mass media, government employment and increased travelling.

Though to a lesser degree, the same is true of the dialect (Western Balochi) spoken in Afghanistan where modernisation has been slower than in Balochi speaking areas of

11 In later times the administrative language was changed to English, see JAHANI (2005:153).
12 The fricatives /ʃ/, /χ/ and /ɬ/ are found in Eastern and Western, but not normally in Southern Balochi. They are part of the Eastern Balochi sound system whereas in Western Balochi they occur only in loanwords (see DAMES 1891: Balochi Grammar: 2, 4, and JAHANI 1989:82-84).
Iran. Here it is the Afghani (Dari) variant of Persian that is predominant, but in recent years also the Iranian variant (Farsi) reaches Balochi speaking areas in south-western Afghanistan through radio and TV broadcasts from Iran.

Groups of Baloch started to migrate mainly from Afghanistan northwards into Turkmenistan in search of better pastures and more political freedom from about 1900 until 1934, when the Soviet border was closed (MOSHKALO 2000:97-99). Those Baloch who settled in Turkmenistan speak Western Balochi, but there contact with Persian has been replaced by contact with and influence from Russian and Turkmen.

4. Marking of the head noun in different Balochi dialects

The head noun of a restrictive relative clause in the Balochi texts analysed in this study either takes the suffix -ē (which in dialects heavily influenced by Persian is sometimes even pronounced -ī) or is preceded by a demonstrative or an indefinite modifier (a pronoun or an adjective), or takes a double marking, i.e. both a preceding demonstrative / indefinite modifier and the suffix. The emphatic particle (h)am is frequently added to a demonstrative pronoun preceding the head noun. The five patterns found are demonstrated in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Patterns for marking the head noun in Balochi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Without the suffix -ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Demonstrative pronoun (DEM) + Head Noun + ∅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Indefinite modifier (IND.MOD) + Head Noun + ∅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. With the suffix -ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Demonstrative pronoun (DEM) + Head Noun + -ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. ∅ + Head Noun + -ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Indefinite modifier (IND.MOD) + Head Noun + -ē</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all these patterns both open and closed restriction is possible, although the presence of an indefinite pronoun almost invariably denotes an open restriction and a demonstrative pronoun very often implies a closed restriction.

The suffix -ē can co-occur with the case endings -rā and -ā, in which case the -ē

13 For the area where the different dialects are spoken, see map xy.
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precedes these endings. In Iranian Balochi a head noun with the plural suffix (cf. p. 4) can take the suffix -ē, which is added after -ān.

Conjunctual use of head nouns is not described in this study, e.g. wahd-ē ki "when, lit.: at the time that", har zamān-ē ki "whenever, lit.: every time that", pa xāṭir-ē ki "because", amā rang ki "just like, lit.: in the same way that". Likewise, examples whose head noun has an adverbial function in the main clause are omitted from the study.\footnote{An example of this type is ˙gullu ˙gdār yak rōčē ki sawdāgir bi diga jāē šutat, watī xizmatkārērā dēm dāt ki sawdāgiray jīnēnā āṭā byāṛīt "one day when the merchant had gone to another place, the employee sent a servant of his to bring the merchant’s wife home to himself" (BT).}

4.1 Balochi from Afghanistan\footnote{For descriptions of this dialect, see NAWATA (1981) and BUDDRUSS (1988).}

Balochi of Afghanistan is here represented by an autobiographical account in oral form written down and published by BUDDRUSS (1988) as well as by 34 transcribed pages of tales and a conversational text (an interview) collected by Behrooz Barjasteh Delforooz between 2000 and 2006.\footnote{Sincere thanks to Behrooz Barjasteh Delforooz for putting this material at my disposal.}

A. Without the suffix -ē

i. DEM + head noun (altogether 15 examples):

1. ē čā-nā wa ē nāštāyī ki ā āwurt-at
   DEM tea-PL.OBJ and DEM breakfast SUB DEM bring.PST-COP.PST.3SG
   man wa ā wāṛt-an
   PERS.1SG and DEM eat.PST-1PL
   "She and I drank the tea and ate the breakfast that she had brought." (BUDDRUSS 1988:32)

2. e̱ jīnīnzāg am-ā čādir-ā ki man wat-rā bir
   DEM woman EMPH-DEM blanket-OBJ SUB PERS.1SG REFL-OBJ on
   dāt-ag-un am-eš-i-rā kaš-a kan-t
   give.PST-PP-COP.PRS.1SG EMPH-DEM-OBL-OBJ pulling-V.EL do.PRS-3SG
   "This woman is pulling this cover with which I have covered myself." (BUDDRUSS 1988:30, 32)
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ii. IND.MOD + head noun (altogether 2 examples):

3  
\( \text{ar cå} \text{inka sål ki} \text{ta gõ man gwazënt-ay} \)  
\( \text{every how many year SUB PERS.2SG with PERS.1SG pass.PST-2SG} \)  
\( så sål-ay dëmå tî tanxå da-ya-în \)  
\( \text{from year-GEN in front of PERS.2SG wages give.PRS-GL-1SG} \)  
"I will give your wages on a yearly basis for as many years as you (will) have passed with me (when you leave)." (Buddrus 1988:26)

B. With the suffix -\( -ë \)

i. DEM + head noun + -\( -ë \) (altogether 16 examples):

4  
\( \text{å malang-ë ki} \text{ta gušt-ay ammå kušt-an-ë} \)  
\( \text{DEM dervish-IND SUB PERS.2SG say.PST-2SG PERS.1PL.EXCL kill.PRS-1PL-ENCL.3SG} \)  
"We killed that dervish whom you talked about." (Barjasteh Delforooz)

ii. Head noun + -\( -ë \) (altogether 9 examples):

5  
\( \text{ammå bi Zubän-å balööyi-ay-å} (y)äsiåwän äë-ë-rå \)  
\( \text{PERS.1PL.EXCL to language-OBL Balochi-GL-GEN-OBL miller DEM-OBL-OBJ} \)  
\( \text{gušt-án} \text{ki bîst-u-çår sahat bi yäsiåb-ay sarå ništ-a} \)  
\( \text{say.PRS-1PL SUB twenty-four hour to sit.PST-PP and person-IND} \)  
\( \text{ki šå watan-ay tå galla kår-it pa ärt-å} \)  
\( \text{SUB from homeland-GEN in sit.PST-PP and person-IND} \)  
\( \text{ki w-âñi muz-å zår-it wå å-w-âñå ärt-a kan-t} \)  
\( \text{DEM-GL-PL.GEN wages-OBJ take.PRS-3SG and DEM-GL-PL.OBJ flour-V.EL do.PRS-3SG} \)  
"In the Balochi language we call that one a miller who sits at the mill 24 hours per day and who takes payment from anyone who brings wheat to grind from his native land and grinds it into flour." (Buddrus 1988:24)

6  
\( \text{gåbål kurt-ag-ant} \text{ki çëz-ë-rå} \text{ki dîñ gušt-å} \)  
\( \text{accepting do.PST-PP-COP.PRS.3PL SUB thing-IND-OBJ SUB religion say.PRS-3SG} \)  
\( \text{wågiyåt dår-it} \)  
\( \text{truth have.PRS-3SG} \)  
"They have accepted that what religion says holds true." (Barjasteh Delforooz)

17 In the TAM-form perfect, the third person singular appears without the copula. The form ništ-a is thus a finite verb form, namely perfect, 3SG.
iii. IND.MOD + head noun + -ē (altogether 6 examples):

7 tī nān na tī puč wa digar masrap-ē ki
PERS.2SG.GEN bread and PERS.2SG.GEN clothing and other consumption-IND SUB
dār-ay muč-ā man-a da-(y)-īn
have.PRS-2SG all-OBJ PERS.1SG-V.EL give.PRS-(GL)-1SG
"I will give [you] everything, your food and your clothes and the other needs of consumption that you have." (BUDDRUSS 1988:26)

Summary

There are 31 cases of a head noun marked with -ē and 17 cases without the suffix. It is interesting that the predominant constructions are the ones with a demonstrative pronoun before the head noun (with or without the suffix -ē), a construction also common in spoken Persian. In this dialect, the suffix -ē can combine with the case marking suffix -rā (ex. 6, where the head noun is the direct object of the relative clause), but it is more common for the case marking of the head noun to be omitted when it is marked with -ē (ex. 4, where the head noun is the direct object of the main clause).

4.2 Balochi from Turkmenistan

Balochi of Turkmenistan is here represented by 35 pages from Zarubin’s tales (ZARUBIN 1932:1-35) as well as by 35 pages of oral texts (folktales and ethnographic texts) recorded and transcribed by Serge Axenov between 1989 and 2000.19

A. Without the suffix -ē

i. DEM + head noun (altogether 6 examples):

8 ta pē kār-ān ki man-a kan-īn baxt
PERS.2SG for-DEM work-PL.OBL SUB PERS.1SG-V.EL do.PRS-1SG luck
na-dār-ay
NEG-have.PRS-2SG
"You don’t have any success in the things that I am doing." (Axenov)

18 This dialect has been described by, among others, SOKOLOVA (1953), SOKOLOV (1956) and AXENOV (2006). ZARUBIN (1932 and 1949) has published two volumes of folktales in this dialect with Russian translations and ELFENBEIN (1963) is a glossary for these.
19 Sincere thanks to Serge Axenov for putting this material at my disposal.
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9 am-ē mardum ki asan-ī gis-ā šut-at pa pādištā
EMPH-DEM person SUB NP-GEN house-OBJ go.PST-COP.PST.3SG for king
bāz u bāz tārīp-ī kurt asan-ī ūanēn-ā
much and much laudation-ENCL.3SG do.PST.3SG NP-GEN wife-OBJ
"The person who had gone to Asan’s house praised Asan’s wife highly before the king.”
(ZARUBIN 1932:15)

ii. IND.MOD + head noun (altogether 5 examples):

10 ša muštā ar kass ki tārī pādištā-ā dēmā dīst
from PERS.1PL.INCL every person SUB morning king-OBJ first see.PST.3SG
b-guš-īt ay mihrābān-ēn pādištā ...
SBJ-say.PRS-3SG oh merciful-ATTR king
"The one of us who meets the king first in the morning should say: Oh merciful king...” (Axenov)

B. With the suffix -ē

i. DEM + head noun + -ē (altogether 16 examples):

11 am-ē čız-ē ki man trā guš-īn ta
EMPH-DEM thing-IND SUB PERS.1SG PERS.2SG.OBJ say.PRS-1SG PERS.2SG
am-ā mnī abar-ā b-zūr-ay
EMPH-DEM PERS.1SG.GEN word-OBJ SBJ-take.PRS-2SG
"You should accept my word, that thing which I tell you.” (ZARUBIN 1932:18)

ii. Head noun + -ē (altogether 4 examples):

12 ūnēnāzā gītā-ē ki bi ā-ī tā zīnākārī u gandakārī-ay
woman book-IND SUB to DEM-OBL in adultery and evildoing (= adultery)-GEN
gunū u jīzā nimist-ā kār-īt u dant
sin and retribution write.PST-PP bring.PRS-3SG and give.PRS.3SG
bi pādištā-ay dast-ā
to king-GEN hand-OBL
"The woman brings the book in which it is written about the sin of adultery and its punishment
and gives it to (lit.: in the hand of) the king.” (Axenov)

The oblique case ending in -ā (SG) and -ān (PL) is used only after a preposition in this dialect
and the object case ending in -ā (SG) and -ānā (PL) is used not only for direct and indirect objects,
but also for place and time adverbials, e.g. gādmā balōč gōkay šanulaakkānā lišiš-ā muštānt "in
the old days the Baloch used to rub dung onto the cow’s hoofs”.
iii. IND.MOD + head noun + -ē (altogether 7 examples):

13 ar čič-ē-rā ki mnē dil b-kašš-ēt wadē-a kan-ēn
   every thing-IND-OBJ SUB PERS.1SG.GEN heart SBJ-pull.PRS-3SG found-V.EL do.PRS
   "I find whatever my heart longs for." (Axenov) -1SG

14 uštūr ar sūn-ē ki dēmay-ē kap-ēt raw-t
   camel every direction-IND SUB in front of.ENCL.3SG-V.EL fall.PRS-3SG go.PRS-3SG
   "The camel goes in whatever direction it pleases (lit.: whatever direction shows up before the camel it keeps going)." (Axenov)

Summary

There are 27 cases of a head noun marked with -ē and there are 11 without the suffix.
The predominant construction is the one with a demonstrative pronoun before the head noun, which takes the suffix -ē. It is not unexpected that this dialect shows profound similarities with the Balochi of Afghanistan, from which it branched off about 100 years ago. In this dialect, the suffix -ē can combine with the case suffix -rā (ex. 13, where the head noun is the direct object both of the relative clause and the main clause) but more often the case marking of the head noun is omitted when it is marked with -ē (ex. 11, where the head noun is the direct object both of the relative clause and the main clause, and ex. 12, where the head noun is the direct object of the main clause).

4.3 Western Balochi from Pakistan – dialect of Noshke (Nushki)

The town of Noshke in Western Pakistan is the birthplace of two famous contemporary Baloch poets, Gul Khan Nasir and Azat Jamaldini (see JAHANI 1996). Other persons who have supported and developed the Balochi language and its literature also originate from Noshke, notably Abdullah Jan Jamaldini (Azat’s brother) and Aqil Khan Mengal. The Noshke dialect is the basis of the most comprehensive course book in Balochi produced to date, A Course in Baluchi (1969) by Muhammad Abd-al-Rahman BARKER and the above mentioned Aqil Khan MENGAL.

The dialect is represented here by ABDULLAH JAN JAMALDINI’s text (1957:8-12) about Balochi embroidery that appeared in the magazine Māhtāk Balōčī21 and a biographic text (about his brother) by the same author published in Azat Jamaldini’s collection of

---

21 This text was published with English translation in ELFENBEIN 1990/I:410-419.
poems *Ružn* (AZAT JAMALDINI 1985:6-42), as well as by Gul Khan Nasir’s preface to *Grand*, one of his collections of poems (GUL KHAND NASIR 1971:10-27). In addition to these texts, four folktales collected in Noshke by AQIL KHAN MENGAL (1973:10-14, 35-43, 81-93, 102-112), conversational texts (BARKER/MENGAL 1969/I:425-456, 499-526), ethnographic texts (BARKER/MENGAL 1969/II:95-99, 115-119) and the story "Wāja panč-kuš" (BARKER/MENGAL 1969/II:172-181) have been included.22

A. Without the suffix -ē

i. DEM + head noun (altogether 15 examples):23

15 malang ā-ī hamrāh būt am-ā malang ki
dervish DEM-OBL company become.PST.3SG EMPH-DEM dervish SUB
gōristān-ā-at
graveyard-OBL-COP.PST.3SG
"The dervish joined him on the road, the very dervish who had been at the graveyard." (AQIL KHAN MENGAL 1973:91)

16 arabī-ay ham-ā harf-ā ki ā-wānī tawār-ā
Arabic-GEN EMPH-DEM letter-PL.OBL SUB DEM-GL-PL.GEN sound-OBJ
mā ča wat-ī gaṛt-ā dar kurt na-kan-ū ...
PRON.1PL from REFL-GEN throat-OBL out do.PST NEG-do.PRS.1PL
ča baločī ahjaad-ay paṭṭī-ā dar kan-ag bi-bant
from Balochi alphabet-GEN embroidered headband-OBL out do-INF SBJ-be.PRS.3PL
"Those letters from Arabic whose sound we cannot produce (lit.: get out from our throat) should be removed from the Balochi alphabet (lit.: embroidered headband of the Balochi alphabet)." (GUL KHAN NASIR 1971:23)

ii. IND.MOD + head noun (altogether 2 examples):

17 har kass-ā ki ... maśhūr-ē rāsī rakāsa tamārā xānīm-ā
every person-OBL SUB famous-ATTR Russian female dancer NP lady-OBJ
dist-ag-at āy-rā ma’līm-int ki
see.PST-PP-COP.PST.3SG DEM.OBL-OBJ evident-COP.PRS.3SG SUB

---

22 The genitive ending of nouns for this dialect is transcribed -ay (see BARKER/MENGAL 1969/I:15) regardless of orthographic conventions used in particular texts.

23 Also counted here is one example with an ordinal (*dōmī* "the second") instead of a demonstrative pronoun.

24 In Balochi dialects (Western, Southern and Eastern) spoken in Pakistan, there is no distinction between the first person plural inclusive and exclusive pronoun.
"Whoever has seen the famous Russian dancer Mrs. Tamara knows (lit.: it is evident to him/her) how similar the Tajik and Uzbek women’s dress and embroidery is to the Balochi (one)." (ABDULLAH JAN JAMALDINI 1957:10)

"All the money that Azat got (lit.: that reached Azat) from his own work, from my salary and from friends as support or loan he spent on Monthly Balochi." (AZAT JAMALDINI 1985:19-20)

"A man who is not afraid of (lit.: does not bend from) killing five tigers, to kill this one tiger cannot be any problem (lit.: thing) for him." (BARKER/MENGAL 1969/II:174-175)

"Another thing that appears to you in the writings of (the book) Grand is the use of (the letters) hamza and he." (GUL KHAN NASIR 1971:24)
Summary

First, it is interesting to note that there are notably few restrictive relative clauses to be found in the texts selected for this dialect (see also Table 3 below). It will require further studies to determine whether this is representative of the dialect. When this dialect is compared with the dialects described above (in 4.1 and 4.2) we note that a different construction predominates, namely the one without the suffix -ē. There are 17 examples of this pattern, and only five of the pattern with the suffix -ē, four of which are in combination with an indefinite pronoun. There are no co-occurrences of the demonstrative pronoun and the -ē, neither are there any examples where -ē occurs together with a case ending. It is thus interesting to note that in this dialect, which is not deeply influenced by Persian today, the structure of relative clauses is more similar to early Classical Persian than to spoken or written Modern Persian.

4.4 Southern Balochi from Pakistan

Since the mid-20th century a significant number of Baloch in Karachi and other urban centres in the south of Pakistani Baluchistan such as Turbat, Mand and Habb have engaged in literary and cultural activities. Most of the new generation of writers, in fact, use the southern dialect. It is here represented by seven articles on the Balochi language from SABA DASHTYARI’s two-volume work Balochī zubānī ākubat (BZA). These selections are by Saba Dashtyari himself (BZA II:13-28), Sayad Hashmi (BZA I:309-333), Muhammad Beg Begal (BZA II:105-128), Badal Khan Baloch (BZA II:129-146), Siddiq Azat (BZA II:182-187), G. R. Mulla (BZA II: 597-603) and Karim Dashti (BZA II:604-611). Also included are two short stories written by Ghaws Bahar (GHAWS BAHAR 2003:5-22) and a part of a novel by Ghani Parwaz (GHANI PARWAZ 2000:5-25).

A. Without the suffix -ē

i. DEM + head noun (altogether 25 examples):

\[ likwār \text{ say bundarī rahband-ā bahr kan-ag bant } \]
\[ \text{writing system three fundamental system-PL.OBL division do.PRS-INF be.PRS.3PL} \]

25 Southern Balochi dialects in Pakistan have been described by e.g. PIERCE (1874), MARSTON (1877), GRIERSON (1921) and FARRELL (1990).

26 The genitive ending is for Southern Balochi here invariably transcribed -ē.
"Writing systems can be divided into three main groups: the first (one is) those languages that are written from left to right." (Muhammad Beg Begal in BZA II:117)

"Everybody who has read (about) the history of the Turkish language is well aware of (the fact that) even before Kemal Atatürk there had been an ongoing discussion about Arabic alphabets (i.e. alphabets employing the Arabic script)." (Badal Khan Baloch in BZA II:136)

"It is difficult to say whether all these languages are languages in their own right (lit.: total and complete languages) or if there are some "languages" of such a kind that they are only dialects of these other languages." (Saba Dashtyari in BZA II:21)
Restrictive Relative Clauses in Balochi and the Marking of the Antecedent

iii. IND.MOD + head noun + -ē (altogether 5 examples):

24 har kas-ē ki ēa angrēžī zubān-ā zāntkār-int
   every person-IND SUB from English language-OBL knowledgeable-COP.PRS.3SG
ā ēa angrēžī zubān-ē bērahbandī-ān ham įwān zāntkār-int
   DEM from English language-GEN irregularity-PL.OBL also good knowledgeable-
   COP.PRS.3SG

"Anyone who knows English is also well aware of the irregularities of the English language.”
(Sayad Hashmi in BZA I:327)

Summary

Very few restrictive relative clauses are present in the fiction texts (the text by Ghani Parwaz contains none), though there are more in the factual prose texts. As the data show, also in this dialect the construction without the suffix -ē predominates. There are 27 examples of this pattern, and only 5 of the pattern with the suffix -ē, all in combination with an indefinite pronoun in open restriction and with a head noun in the singular. The -ē does not combine with the case ending -ā (OBL and OBJ SG) in the data examined for this dialect.27 When the construction without -ē occurs with an indefinite pronoun in open restriction the head noun has a plural reference. There are no co-occurrences of the demonstrative pronoun and the suffix -ē in the texts investigated here.

4.5 Eastern Balochi28

Eastern Balochi is the dialect that has been used the least as a literary medium among the Baloch themselves. On the other hand, it has been better described (by British officials) than the other Balochi dialects (see e.g. KORN 2005:33-34). Eastern Balochi is here represented by texts from DAMES’ A Text Book of the Balochi Language, Part I "Miscellaneous stories" (1891:1-30), as well as by two articles from Balōčī zubānē ākubat written by speakers of Eastern Balochi; Aziz Muhammad Bugtī (BZA I:389-395) and Surat Khan Marri (BZA II:223-230). These two persons, however, live in Quetta and their written language is characterised by a considerable amount of dialect mixture, possibly intentionally so to make it easier for non-Eastern speakers to read their texts (see also JAHANI 1989:108). Since the patterns found in these texts conform to those

27 In the data from this dialect there are no examples of head nouns marked with -ē functioning as agents in ergative constructions or as direct objects, cf. ex. 28.
28 This dialect has been described by, among others, DAMES (1891), GRIERSON (1921) and GILBERTSON (1923). See also Bashir in this volume.
found in Dames’ texts, we can assume that they can serve as examples of Eastern Balochi patterns for relative clauses.

A. Without the suffix -ê

i. DEM + head noun (altogether 25 examples):

25 mā haw-ā mard gir-ā ki mā waḏ k’uš k’an-ā
PERS.1SG EMPH-DEM man take.PRS-1SG SUB PERS.1SG REFL good do.PRS-1SG
"I will marry a man whom I myself select." (DAMES 1891:Part I:5)

26 ma ḍārūmēg-ē šaf-ā haw-ā mardum-ā ki gīnd-ā roš-ā gudā
in dark-ATTR night-OBL EMPH-DEM person-OBJ SUB see.PRS-1SG day-OBL then
saḏ mar nyāwā bīḏ-ī p’ājāyā k’ār-ān-ī
a hundred man among be.PRS.3SG-ENCL.3SG recognition bring.PRS-1SG-ENCL.3SG
"Whoever I see in the darkness of the night (lit.: in the dark night), I will recognise in daylight, even if he be among a hundred men." (DAMES 1891:Part I:17)

ii. IND.MOD + head noun (altogether 2 examples):

27 bāz kam čus-ē mardum bant ki ā bungēįt zuwān u
very few such-ATTR person be.PRS.3PL SUB DEM basic language and
ham-ā zuwān ki tarrēn-ag-ēn-ī
EMPH-DEM language SUB translate.PRS-INF-COP.PRS.3SG-ENCL.3SG
yak dawl-ā b-zān-ī
one manner-OBL SBJ-know.PRS-3SG
"There are very few such people who know the source language and the language into which they translate equally well." (Surat Khan Marri in BZA II:224) 29

B. With the suffix -ê

i. DEM + head noun + -ê

There are no examples of this construction in the texts investigated for this dialect.

ii. Head noun + -ê (altogether 1 example):

28 k’as-ē-ā ki ya rūpiā-ē saudā k’uḏ-ē-ā čyār rūpiā
person-IND-OBL SUB one rupee-IND business do.PST-PP four rupee

29 Note the change from plural (bant) to singular (tarrēn-ag-ēn, bzānt) in the sentence.
saudāgar-ā  dāb-a-iš
businessman-OBJ  give.PST-PP-ENCL.3SG

"A person who did business worth one rupee, to him the businessman gave four rupees." (DAMES 1891:Part I:12)

iii. IND.MOD + head noun + -ē (altogether 2 examples):

29  čōš-ē  mard-ē  bī  ki  gunāh  čī  na-kʰaθ-a
such-ATTR  man-IND  be.PRS.3SG  SUB  sin  any  NEG-do.PST-PP

"It should be such a man who has not committed any sin.” (DAMES 1891:Part I:5)

Summary

In the Eastern dialect also the construction without the suffix -ē predominates. Again, there are 27 examples of this pattern. Only three fit the pattern with the suffix -ē, all of them in open restriction and with a head noun in the singular. Note, however, that although all occurrences with -ē are in an open restriction, not all open restrictions have this suffix (see ex. 27). When the construction without the suffix -ē occurs with an indefinite modifier in open restriction the head noun has a plural reference. In the data for Eastern Balochi the suffix -ē is found in combination with the case marker -ā (ex. 28, where the head noun is the agent in an ergative construction in the relative clause). As in all the other variants of Balochi spoken in Pakistan, there are no co-occurrences of the demonstrative pronoun and the suffix -ē in Eastern Balochi.

4.6 Balochi from Iran

The only data available in writing for Iranian Balochi are a few stories published in ELFENBEIN (1966) and some articles written by Iranian Baloch and published in books and journals edited in Pakistan. The language of the latter is to a certain degree influenced by Pakistani dialects, where the writers lived when they wrote these articles, and also by the "semi-standard" literary language used in Pakistan. Therefore only oral data have been analysed here, namely 4.5 hours of recordings in the Western and Southern Balochi dialects as well as in the Central Sarawani dialect. The recordings

---

30 There is no clear distinction upheld between the 3SG and 3PL enclitic pronouns in Eastern Balochi (cf. ex. 26 and DAMES 1891:Balochi Grammar:17).
31 Descriptions of Balochi dialects spoken in Iran include BARANZEHI (2003), who describes Central Sarawani, and YUSEFIAN (2004), who describes Lashari. See also JAHANI (2003) for a description of the nominal system in Iranian dialects of Balochi.
consist of tales, anecdotes, monologues and conversations on various topics and also of a certain amount of elicited data. About 40 Baloch from 15 to 80 years old acted as language consultants, and they all agreed to have their speech recorded. Most of them are educated, but about one hour consists of recordings of illiterate elderly Baloch. Both genders are well represented.32

On the whole, it is notable that the number of relative clauses in these data is very low and particularly so in the recordings from non-educated speakers. Only a limited number of the speakers use more than the odd one relative clause in their speech, and then mostly when they talk about a more formal subject, such as literature, history or contemporary society. For Central Sarawani only one relative clause was found in more than one hour of recordings of 16 different persons talking about various subjects. There are no observable differences in my data between patterns of restrictive relative clauses in the two main dialect groups represented in Iran, Western and Southern Balochi. Therefore all the Iranian dialects will be dealt with as one unit for this particular grammatical construction. Further investigations may reveal dialect differences when it comes to marking the antecedent between different variants of Iranian Balochi, possibly geolectal (based on geographical distribution) or, maybe more likely, sociolectal (based on education, occupation and other social factors).

A. Without the suffix -ē/-ī

i. DEM + head noun (altogether 5 examples, 2 in elicited data)

30 ä mardençaḥ ke ēdā yaḥt mahmūd-ī pes-at
   DEM man SUB here come.PST.3SG NP-GEN father-COP.PST.3SG
   "The man who came here was Mahmud’s father." (Elicited) (W.Bal.)

31 ä ketāb ke ta man-a nešān dā
   DEM book SUB PERS.2SG PERS.2SG-OBJ sign give.PST.3SG
   xeylī xūb-ēn-ē-at
   very good-ATTR-IND-COP.PST.3SG
   "That book which you showed me was a very good one." (Elicited) (W.Bal.)33

32 I am grateful to Adam Nader Baranzehi, who has put all his recordings and transcriptions of Central Sarawani language data at my disposal. Many thanks also to Erik Olafsen, Göl Mohammad Arbabi, and the late Abdolhossein Yadegari for making recordings among people of different educational backgrounds and occupations in several places of Iranian Balochistan.

33 Cf. the parallel ex. 36.
ii. IND.MOD + head noun:

There are no examples of this construction in the texts investigated for this dialect.

B. With the suffix -ǝ/-ǝʃ

i. DEM + head noun + -ǝ/-ǝʃ (altogether 8 examples):

33 ham-ǝ mardom ke mǝ dehǝ-t-ǝn jǝmnend-ǝ ǝy-ǝn
EMPH-DEM people SUB in village-PL living-PRT.3PL DEM.OBL-PL
waš-ǝn o davǝlǝr-ǝn balǝcī kan-ǝnt
good-ATTR and beautiful-ATTR Balochi do.PRT.3PL
"Those people who live in villages, they speak good and beautiful Balochi." (S.Bal.)

34 ā čeq-ǝn-ǝ ke ... lazem-o b-zǝr-ǝn-ǝʃ
DEM thing-PL-IND SUB necessary-PRT.3SG SBJ-take.PRT.1PL-ENCL.3PL
"Let’s take (with us) the things that we will need (lit.: are necessary)." (Ce.Sar.)

ii. Head noun + -ǝ/-ǝʃ (altogether 10 examples, 1 in elicited data):

35 lǝį-ǝn darbǝre-ye mǝmǝn wa ezzat-ǝ ke mǝmǝn dǝr-ǝn
want.PRT.1SG about-IZ guest and honour-IND SUB guest hold.PRT.3SG
kessa-ǝ bo-goš-ǝn
tale-IND SBJ-say.PRT.1SG
"I want to tell a story about guests and the honour which a guest should be paid." (W.Bal.)

36 ketǝb-ǝ ke ta-rǝ naǝsn dǝt-ǝn bǝz jwǝn-ǝn-ǝn
book-IND SUB PERS.2SG-OBJ sign give.PST.1SG very good-ATTR-IND
"The book that I showed you is a very good one." (Elicited) (W.Bal.)

37 balǝcǝstǝn-ǝ tǝkǝ kas-ǝ nǝ ke ǝ-ǝ nam-ǝ
Balochistan-GEN in person-IND NEG.COP.PRT.3SG SUB DEM-GEN name-OBL
gǝ ašnǝ ma-bǝt
with acquainted PROH-be.PRT.3SG
"In Balochistan there is nobody who is not acquainted with his name." (S.Bal.)
"The things I say are about the Baloch of 200 years ago." (S.Bal.)

iii. IND.MOD + head noun + -∅/∅ (altogether 3 examples)

"If there is such a person (in the group) whose clothes are torn and who has foam around his mouth like a lunatic, then bring this very one to me." (W.Bal.)

"Any person who instead of one language knows four is like four persons." (S.Bal.)

Summary

In Iranian Balochi the construction with -∅/∅ is predominant. There are 21 examples of this pattern, but only five of the pattern without the -∅/∅. Perhaps the construction without -∅/∅ is regarded as "more correct", since one informant used it in elicited data (exs. 30, 31), in spite of the fact that the sentence to be translated into Balochi was given in Persian, i.e. with the head noun marked with the suffix -∅. Iranian Balochi dialects are the only ones that add the suffix -∅/∅ after the plural suffix (exs. 34, 38). There are no co-occurrences in the data of an indefinite modifier and a head noun without the suffix -∅/∅. Furthermore, in these data no case endings (-∅ or -∅∅) are found on any antecedents, neither those marked with -∅/∅, nor those without this suffix.

35 The plural suffix -∅ is a borrowing from colloquial Persian.

36 There are no examples in the data where the head noun is the direct object both in the relative clause and in the main clause. However, in the following sentences, found in a translation of stories from the Old Testament from English into Iranian Balochi, a definite head noun which is the direct object both of the main clause and the relative clause is in one instance found without case marking and in another instance with the case marker -∅∅:
5. Conclusions

This investigation shows that the Balochi dialects are neatly divided into three groups when it comes to the marking of the head noun of restrictive relative clauses with or without the suffix -ē/-ī (see Tables 3 and 4). The three dialects where the pattern without the -ē is totally predominant, and where this marker is found only if the restriction is open (indefinite, non-specific) and the noun occurs in the singular are those spoken in Pakistan. These dialects have had only limited influence from Persian, and the influence has been mainly from Classical Persian. It is interesting to note that all these dialects show a lower percentage of the suffix -ē than even early Classical Persian does. The fact that -ē only occurs in open restriction further strengthens the argument that this ending is historically the same as the marker of indefinite singularity (see section 1.).

Table 3: Marking of the head noun in different Balochi dialects (occurrences in the data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dialect</th>
<th>A. Without the suffix -ē/-ī</th>
<th>B. With the suffix -ē/-ī</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>i. DEM + head</td>
<td>ii. IND.MOD + head</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.Bal.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Bal.Pak.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.Bal.Pak.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

41 lāt-o-dār-ē ke pa wat-ī korbāntī-ā tayār-ī
stick-and-wood-IND SUB for REFL-GEN sacrifice-OBL ready-ENCL.3SG
kot-ag-at ishāk-ī sarā ēr kot
do.PST-PP-COP.PST.3SG NP-GEN on down do.PST.3SG
"He put the wood that he had prepared for his sacrifice on Isac(‘s shoulders)." (Genesis 22:6)

42 pakhēn jārr-o-dār-ē-ā ke trongol na-kōst-ag-at
all shrub-and-wood-IND-OBJ SUB hail NEG-kill.PST-PP-COP.PST.3SG
madag wārt-ant
grasshopper eat.PST.3PL
"The grasshoppers ate all the vegetation that the hailstorm had not killed." (Exodus 10:15)
Table 4: Marking of the head noun with or without the suffix -ē/-ī in different Balochi dialects in comparison with Classical and Modern Persian (in percentages)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language variant</th>
<th>A. Without the suffix -ē/-ī</th>
<th>B. With the suffix -ē/-ī</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balochi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.Bal.</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Bal.Pak.</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.Bal.Pak.</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th-12th cent. texts (early Classical)</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th-15th cent. texts (late Classical)</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th-19th cent. texts (Transitional)</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th cent. texts (Modern)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contemporary spoken⁴⁷</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>92%⁴⁸</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second group are those dialects that have historically been in closer contact with Persian and have been strongly influenced by it (mainly in the Afghani variant Dari). These are the dialects spoken in Afghanistan and Turkmenistan, which show a picture similar to that of Persian from the 16th to 19th centuries. In this context it would also be interesting to investigate spoken Persian of Iran and Afghanistan more closely, but that investigation is outside the scope of this article. It seems, though, that spoken Persian has been the main source of influence on these dialects, since the double marking of the head noun (both with the demonstrative pronoun and the suffix -ē) that is common here is also more common in spoken than in written Persian.

---

⁴⁷ This is a limited investigation based on interviews and two hours of recorded language data. See JAHANI 2000:49-51.

⁴⁸ Here the two patterns found are DEM + head noun + -ī (67%) and head noun + -ī (33%). The predominant pattern found in spoken Modern Persian is thus DEM + head noun + -ī. This result agrees with my own participant observation during more than 20 years of friendship with Persian speakers.
The remaining Balochi dialects, i.e. those spoken in Iran, are nowadays under particularly heavy influence from Persian, both in its written and spoken form. Virtually the whole province of Sistan and Balochistan has electricity and TV is common even in remote areas. Furthermore, most Baloch children nowadays attend at least primary school. The two predominant structures are those with the same structure as the most common construction in spoken Modern Persian (DEM + head noun + -e/-ı) and in written Modern Persian (head noun + -e/-ı). The percentage of occurrences with the suffix -e/-ı is almost as high in Balochi dialects of Iran as in Modern Persian.

Subordinate constructions are rather rare in Balochi in general, relative clauses occur more frequently in written than in spoken styles, and written styles are a comparatively new phenomenon in Balochi. Therefore, one might wonder whether the whole structure of relative clauses has been copied from Persian. If so, it probably took place very early, i.e. before the 10th century, since the demonstrative pronoun and the suffix -e do not co-occur in those dialects of Balochi that have not been under continuous strong influence from Persian, whereas they do already in early Classical Persian.

In this context it is also interesting to note that relative clauses seem to be more common in formal language than in spoken language or tales in oral literary style. This study has not attempted to quantify how frequently relative clauses occur in different types of Balochi texts, nor has it made any comparison with Persian regarding the frequency of relative clauses. The main reason for this is that the material for the different dialects is somewhat divergent, e.g. orally recorded data for one dialect and written texts for another, or mainly folktales for one dialect and factual prose for another. A comparison of different types of text in Balochi and/or Persian texts will make an interesting study and may reveal considerable intralinguistic stylistic variation as well as differences between the two languages when it comes to using restrictive relative clauses.

Abbreviations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td>1st, 2nd, 3rd person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTR</td>
<td>attributive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Balochi from Afghanistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>Balochi from Iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>Balochi from Turkmenistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>izafa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEG</td>
<td>negation particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>proper noun (nomen proprium)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBJ</td>
<td>object (direct and indirect objects)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBL</td>
<td>oblique (agent, locative and prepositional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pak.</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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