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N₂ adsorption on high-entropy alloy surfaces: unveiling the role of local environments†

Rafael B. Araujo* and Tomas Edvinsson

Developing highly active catalysts to electrochemically reduce N₂ to NH₃ under ambient conditions is challenging but bears the promise of using ammonia as a potential energy vector in sustainable energy technology. One of the scientific challenges concerns the inertness of N₂ emanating from the highly stable triple bonds and the lack of dipole moments, making N₂ fixation on catalytic surfaces difficult. Another critical challenge is that electrons are more prone to reduce hydrogen than N₂ at the surface, forming a scaling relationship where the reduction ability of the catalyst most often benefits hydrogen reduction instead of nitrogen reduction. Here we show that high-entropy alloys (HEA) – a new class of catalysts with vast compositional and structural possibilities, can enhance N₂ fixation. More specifically, we investigate the role of the local environment in the first and second solvation shell of the adsorbing elements in the bond strength between the dinitrogen molecules and the HEA surfaces. Density functional theory using a Bayesian error estimation functional and vdW interactions is employed to clarify the properties dictating the local bonding. The results show that although the main property calibrating the N₂ bond strength is the d-band centers of the adsorbing elements, the value of the d-band centers of the adsorbing elements is further regulated by their local environment, mainly from the elements in the first solvation shell due to electron donor–acceptor interactions. Therefore, there exists a first solvation shell effect of the adsorbing elements on the bond strength between N₂ molecules and the surface of HEAs. The results show that apart from the direct active site, the indirect relation adds further modulation abilities where the local interactions with a breath of metallic elements could be used in HEAs to engineer specific surface environments. This is utilized here to form a strategy for delivering higher bond strength with the N₂ molecules, mitigating the fixation issue. The analysis is corroborated by correlation analysis of the properties affecting the interaction, thus forming a solid framework of the model, easily extendable to other chemical reactions and surface interaction problems.

10th anniversary statement

We would like to extend our heartfelt congratulations to J. Materials Chemistry A on the occasion of the 10th anniversary, for its high quality editorial and peer-review work making it a key journal in the materials science community. We have been privileged to publish work within diverse disciplines that include optical quantum confinement, tuning of optoelectronic properties in mixed lead halide perovskites, fundamental properties of lanthanide perovskites, highlighting a new carbon allotrope, up-scaling of water splitting modules using earth-abundant catalysts, purely theoretical studies related to electronic structure, Rashba spin–orbit split in 2D perovskites, and machine learning approaches to materials science. These examples reflect the width and breadth of the editorial board and assigned expert peer-reviewing scientists associated with the journal. The ability to assess and critically judge both chemical and physical aspects of materials chemistry, experimentally and theoretically, are in our opinion important factors for the rise in achievements and high impact of the journal in the last 10 years. We are glad to have been a small part of the contributions to the journal output and believe that J. Materials Chemistry A will have many years of impactful publications for the coming next 10 years and beyond.

Introduction

NH₃ is essential to the global economy as a fertilizer and feedstock chemical for industry.¹ Moreover, ammonia is a potential energy vector stemming from its high hydrogen content and simple liquefaction, where liquid ammonia is a route to store and transport hydrogen. Currently, ammonia is industrially produced via the Haber–Bosch process, where a large amount of energy is required to break the N₂ triple bonds and the process releases CO₂ into the atmosphere, thus, aggravating the greenhouse effect.² One strategy to circumvent these issues and to produce more eco-friendly NH₃, is to use sustainable electricity with an electrochemical process to reduce N₂ into NH₃.
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The main bottleneck for present-day electrolysis is the low faradaic efficiency at appreciable rates that do not reach industrial requirements. Amongst other things, this is caused by the competition between nitrogen reduction reaction (NRR) and hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and also related to the N2 fixation issue that is somehow aggravated by the poor accessibility of N2 molecules to the catalytic surfaces due to, for instance, the poor N2 solubility.

We believe that a more detailed understanding of how interactions between N2 molecules and catalytic surfaces occur may provide progress in promoting an improved ammonia production rate with the possibility of mitigating the N2 fixation issue. As the solution would depend on a detailed balance between the improved fixation of an inert molecule with the simultaneous repulsion and surface state control, of another species, a surface system that contains many degrees of freedom would be beneficial. The repulsion of protons and proton control systems can be achieved by choice of solvent and/or surface hydrophobicity tuning, while a critical challenge in all situations is to adhere N2 on the surface. Here, we present a detailed mechanistic study of how the interactions between the N2 molecules depend on the local composition of the surfaces of a new class of catalysts named high-entropy alloys (HEAs).

The concept of HEAs with entropy stabilization came out around 2004 when two independent research groups showed that multiple-element materials containing at least five different species could be formed into a homogeneous phase. Since then, HEAs have emerged as a material to be used in harsh chemical conditions and an alternative to the currently used electrocatalysts in different applications. This class of materials offers the advantages of high physicochemical stability – of interest to industrial applications – and a compositional diversity that provides possibilities to deliver high activity and selectivity. The nature of these catalytic surfaces, formed by at least five different species, leads to a compositional and structural diversity that permits a continuous tuning for selectivity and activity of the electrochemical transformations. Moreover, the complexity of the adsorbate–surface interactions opens the possibility of breaking scaling relations, surpassing the Sabatier principle guideline for catalytic activity.

Pedersen et al. have recently shown that species on threefold hollow sites of HEAs could partially circumvent scaling relations with the adsorption of species on top sites due to the different coordination of threefold sites compared to on top sites. In this context, HEA surfaces would offer the possibility of species/concentration optimization to achieve greater N2 coverages (N2 adsorbs on the top position in the distal pathway), while still maintaining the adsorption strength of other intermediates, like NH+ that adsorbs in the threefold hollow sites, in the range where activity is effective.

We explore how N2 molecules in the on-top sites (distal N2 molecule) interact with the catalytic surface of high-entropy alloys (HEA). More specifically, we have investigated the role of the local environment quantified as the structure and elemental compositing of the solvation shells around the adsorbing elements, and how this affects the bond strength between the dinitrogen molecules and the HEA surfaces. Density functional theory (DFT) was employed using the framework of a Bayesian error estimation functional and vdW interaction to investigate the N2 adsorption on HEA’s microstructures composed of five elements chosen among Mo–Cr–Mn–Fe–Co–Ni–Cu. We have also estimated the relations between the N2 adsorption energies and properties of the HEAs like d band centers (BCs) and Bader charges of adsorbing elements.

Several strategies have been reported in the literature to enhance nitrogen reduction reaction (NRR), where they all more or less are related to nitrogen fixing surfaces with either proton deficiency or electron starving (and thus low rate). For instance, Yang et al. have reviewed approaches related to the defect engineering strategies for NRR like vacancy, doping, single atoms, amorphization, and high-index facet since these can regulate the local coordination environment, hence, affecting the intrinsic activity with respect to hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) versus NRR. Amongst metallic catalysts, Ru, Pd, Mo, and Au have shown significant activity for ammonia production at low rates that, in most cases, are subscribed to stepped facets that enable N2 fixation and sluggish HER. Suppressing the highly competitive hydrogen evaluation reaction (HER) normally requires suppression of proton and electron accessibility, shifting the HER chemical equilibrium, designing catalysts, tuning electrolytes, and increasing N2 mass transport. The work of Kani et al. have, for instance, evaluated such modifications to induce higher activities and selectivity using a Cu catalyst. A strategy incorporating effects also from the electrolyte by using a bismuth nanocrystal catalyst and adding potassium cations in the electrolyte was recently employed by Hao et al. The reported high Faradaic efficiency (FE) of 66% was assigned to: (i) bismuth 6p band and the N 2p orbitals interact strongly, and with that a higher N2 fixation than to more commonly applied transition metals, and (ii) nitrogen-reduction intermediates that are stabilized by the addition of potassium in the electrolyte – increased selectivity. Zhang et al. was one of the first groups reporting that HEAs could be used to reduce nitrogen, where 38.5% FE was achieved using HEA RuFeCoNiCu nanoparticles with a small size of 16 nm and signifies the promises of the approach, although remaining challenge is to perform the reaction with NRR selectivity versus HER also at high rates.

Despite recent development in electrochemical ammonia production, the low selectivity at high rates has hampered its application on an industrial scale. In addition, traditional trial-error approaches to optimize catalysts can be very slow and expansive due to the number of experiments needed to be performed, especially if the catalyst has a complex and multi-component composition. Computational modeling based on quantum mechanics, however, can uncover reaction mechanisms and molecular interactions more effectively than the mostly applied experimental “trial-error” methods, therefore, offering a rational designing tool for novel catalysts. So far, most investigations applying HEAs as catalysts are experimental due to difficulties extending theoretically established models.
towards HEA's multi-component surfaces. This is primarily
due to the intrinsic randomization of the lattice that needs to be
taken into consideration in the calculations. Machine learning
(ML) techniques are here attractive approaches that promise to
substantially accelerate the screening for promising HEAs that
can be experimentally tested and verified. Identifying key
limiting steps and/or the governing principles of the reaction
can substantially reduce computational time compared to
standard approaches, like density functional theory (DFT).
Thus, allowing the search and screening over larger composi-
tional spaces. Examples of the synergy between DFT and key
parameter ML to model and screen HEAs to catalyze reactions
are the recent work of Batchelor et al. and Pedersen et al.
They used DFT to train machines over hundreds of adsorption
energies on HEAs microstates and, further, used these
machines to screen for selective and active catalysts for oxygen,
carbon dioxide, and carbon monoxide reduction reactions
(ORR, CO2, and CO, respectively). Special attention with respect
to NRR must be paid to the work of Saidi et al., while the recent
experimental work of Xie et al. confirmed the adsorption of N
atoms on the HEA surfaces as a descriptor of the catalytic
activity for NRR. The aforementioned work by Saidi et al.
developed a machine learning approach based on a convolu-
tional neural network that screened optimum HEA catalysts for
ammonia oxidation and reduction, using scaling relations and
the Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi (BEP) relationship. The results
showed that the 25/45 Co/Mo ratio, identified experimentally, in
Mo–Co–Fe–Ni–Cu increases the probability of finding sites with
similar adsorption energy as the obtained for Ru, which is one of
the most effective catalysts for ammonia oxidation up to date.
Another work by W. A. Saidi has also recently investigated
scaling relationships on HEA using DFT and ML.
Although N2 is the most abundant molecule in the atmos-
phere (78.1%), its triple bond and the lack of dipole moments
make it one of the most inert species and, hence, very hard to
catalyze due to the lack of nitrogen fixation on catalytic
surfaces. In this context, the development of efficient elec-
trocatalysts for NRR should account for the well-known N2
fixation issue. The adsorption strength of N2 molecules in the
distal position on transition metal surfaces correlates with
d BSs positions with respect to the Fermi level of the transition
metal due to the “push–pull” mechanism with σ-donation and
π*-back donation. It is hence expected that transition metals
earlier in the periodic system with a d BC closer to the Fermi
level, like Mo, have stronger N2-surface interaction than species
with d BC farther from the Fermi level. Although this forms the
basis for one descriptor of the bond formation, further aspects
are typically required for an understanding of the role of
neighbouring elements and compositions in the design of
multi-element catalysts. Though the d BC concept is well
established for single or few-element transition metal alloys,
electron donor–acceptor interactions and local mixing are ex-
pected to play an essential role in the molecular–surface inter-
action for complex alloys, and, therefore, in HEAs.
Understanding this interaction would facilitate a more effective
design of catalysts that can mitigate the N2 fixation issue.

Computational details
To approach the problem with nitrogen fixation, we first have to
consider that bonds formed between small molecules and
catalytic surfaces have a local character, hence, are determined
by the microstructure of the local site of the HEAs. This means
that formation and bond mechanisms between dinitrogen and
the surface can be inferred by modeling microstructures of
a specific HEA surface, where a random mixing needs to be
considered to form a representative situation with respect to
the experimental situation, with random mixing and atomic
dispersion to mimic the experimental HEA. In our study,
556 microstructures are randomly created with five elements
that are amongst the species Mo–Cr–Mn–Fe–Co–Ni–Cu. Fig.
1(a) and (b) display one example from the 556 cases of
a HEA microstructure that, in this case, is formed by Mo–Fe–
Co–Ni–Cu.
N2 adsorption energies on the randomly created microstates
were calculated as \( \Delta E_{\text{ads}}(\text{N}_2) = E_{\text{tot}} - E^* - N_{2\text{gaseous-phase}} \). Here, N2
molecules sit vertically on the top of the active centers (N2
adsorbed in the distal position).

To achieve a deeper understanding of how the properties of
the as-prepared catalyst would dictate the N2-surface bond
strength and their relation with the HEA surface, d BSs and
Bader charges of the active centers (adsorbing element + first
solvation shell – elements in red and light blue in Fig. 1(c)) of
the microstructures are calculated before the adsorption of the
N2 molecules (pure slab).31,32 Band centers (BCs) are calculated as
the centroid of projected density of states (PDOS) transition
metal (TM) d orbitals relative to the Fermi level – shifted to 0 eV
as:

\[
\text{d BC} = \frac{\int_{\text{min}}^{\text{max}} \text{e} \cdot D(e)\text{d}e}{\int_{\text{min}}^{\text{max}} D(e)\text{d}e}.
\]

Here, e stands for the energy of the electronic bands, while
\( D(e) \) is the density of states for such energy. Therefore, for the
d BSs, \( D(e) \) refers to the projected density of states for d orbitals
of TMs. The lower energy bound \( e_{\text{min}} \) in eq. (1) is chosen to be
-9 eV while \( e_{\text{max}} \) is set to 9 eV.

The projected augmented wave method was used to solve the
Kohn–Sham equations implemented in the Vienna ab initio
Simulation Package (VASP). The wave functions were
expanded using plane-waves with a cutoff energy of 450 eV while
a \((4 \times 4 \times 1)\) k-point mesh was used to sample over the Brillouin
zone. Smearing of 0.1 eV was employed to obtain partial occu-
pations using the Methfessel–Paxton scheme of second order.
Spin-polarized orbitals were used in a FM state and the BEEF–
vdW functional was used to describe Kohn–Sham Hamilton-
ian's exchange and correlation term. BEEF-vdW is one of the
most accurate functionals to describe adsorption energies on
transition metal surfaces. The structural models were built
into a \(2 \times 2 \times 4\) face centered cubic (FCC) (111) slab with a
vacuum of 20 Å, to avoid interaction amongst periodic images,
and the top two layers were allowed to be geometry...
optimized. In contrast, the two bottom layers were fixed to the optimized bulk structure. Atoms were optimized until a maximum force of 0.05 eV Å$^{-1}$ was obtained. Lattice parameters of the slabs are set on a weighted average basis and assume species have FCC bulk structures, similar to Batchelor et al.\textsuperscript{27} Bulk optimizations were performed with a $k$-point mesh of 15 $\times$ 15 $\times$ 15 in a FCC structures and the obtained lattice parameters are summarized in Table S1.$^\dagger$

The charge state of the elements in the HEAs were investigated using Bader's quantum theory of atoms in molecules (QTAIM) as implemented in the Bader program.\textsuperscript{40–42} The Lobster package was employed to access the projected crystal orbital Hamiltonian population (-pCOHP).\textsuperscript{43,44}

Aiming to compare the results with a different structural model (checking how surface coverage can change the results), a supercell containing 64 atoms (a 4 $\times$ 4 $\times$ 4 FCC (111) slab) was built using the special quasi-random approximation (SQS) to achieve ideal mixing of the elements.\textsuperscript{45} All parameters employed in this part of the investigation are similar to the case where the surface model is randomly built with the 2 $\times$ 2 $\times$ 4 FCC (111) slab. It is important to emphasize that valence electron concentration (VEC) has an important effect on the phase stability of HEAs and delimits their ground state phases, as, for instance, FCC, BCC or a mixture of these phases. The work of Guo et al.\textsuperscript{8} showed that HEAs with a VEC higher than 8 are more prone to form FCC lattices, meanwhile BCC phases are found to be more stable for cases where VEC is smaller than 6.87 – this is also confirmed in ref. 30,46 and 47. For HEAs with VEC between 6.87 and 8, a mixture of BCC + FCC phases are observed. Though the distinct ground state phases depend on the HEA's elements and their concentrations, in other words VEC, this work considers all HEAs in the FCC phase once most 556 HEAs microstructures have VEC higher than 7. That facilitates the rationalization of the obtained results.

Pearson correlation coefficients ($R$) were employed to estimate how well a set of data correlated linearly. This can be understood as a normalized measure of the covariance in which results vary between 1 and $-1$. Perfect correlation is obtained when $R$ approaches 1 or $-1$, while no correlation is observed if $R$ is close to zero.

Results

Building the structural model

The adsorption energy of N$_2$ molecules on the catalytic surface of the 556 randomly created microstructures formed by five elements amongst Mo–Cr–Mn–Fe–Co–Ni–Cu are calculated using the framework of DFT. Fig. 1 displays one example of employed microstructure formed with Mo–Fe–Co–Ni–Cu. With the 556 investigated cases, a vast compositional ensemble of N$_2$ adsorption energies is obtained and features of the adsorptions on distinct elements can be assessed. This approach to model HEAs is being used in different investigations, e.g., for CO and CO$_2$ reduction where results are experimentally demonstrated, NH$_3$ oxidation\textsuperscript{27–29} and HEAs for N$_2$ reduction.\textsuperscript{48}

Comparing a specific case modeled with different slab structure

First, to check the hypothesis that the bond formation is a local process\textsuperscript{49} and also that the electronic properties on the first solvation shell around the adsorbing atom are sufficient to
explain the features behind the N₂ bond formation mechanisms, we started by investigating two models formed by the elements Mo–Fe–Co–Ni–Cu. In the first case, the slab used to model the HEA was built with a supercell of 64 atoms and the inherent randomness of elements was accounted via the special quasirandom structure (SQS) approach. In the second case, a slab with 16 atoms is employed where atoms are randomly displaced (Fig. 2). Further, the solvation shell of the adsorbing element of the bigger model was modified such that it has the same elements as in the smaller model. This structure is formed by Mo as the adsorbing element plus 4 × CO, 2 × Cu, 3 × Fe in the first solvation shell of the adsorbing element (Fig. 2). The other elements of the structural models are maintained as built with the SQS approach. The difference in size between the two models will help us to confirm that small microstructures of the HEA are enough to capture the features of N₂ adsorption on the HEA surface (assuming that coverage effects are small since in one case a coverage of 0.25 ML is used while for the other model a coverage of 0.06 ML). Moreover, it also indicates that the description of the bond mechanisms can be achieved by accounting only for the first solvation shell, since, out of the first solvation shell region, elements of the two models are different.

N₂ adsorption energy turned out to be −0.65 eV for the smaller model, while for the other case −0.63 eV (a difference of only 0.02 eV). This confirms that the bond strength between N₂ and the catalytic surface depends mainly on the elements on the first solvation shell and microstructures with slabs containing 16 atoms can be used to access such property without loss of information.

Adsorption energies on the 556 microstructures

The calculated N₂ adsorption energies were separated into groups regarding the element on which N₂ molecules are sited on the top position (red element in Fig. 1(c)). A normal distribution was fitted for each group and the average value was calculated and highlighted in colors (Fig. 3). A significant variation of the adsorption energies is obtained, with values going from about −1.0 eV up to 0.4 eV. As expected, this variation endorses the variety of elements on the catalytic surface of the HEA that contains transition metals interacting with different bond strengths with N₂ molecules. Average adsorption energies for each set of bonding elements considered here highlight the trend (Table S2†) where averaged energies grow following the series Mo < Cr < Mn < Fe < Co < Ni < Cu. Hence, one way to mitigate the N₂ fixation issue found in the electrochemical nitrogen reduction reactions is to employ alloys containing Mo.

Fig. 2 Models representing a microstructure of a HEA formed of Mo–Fe–Co–Ni–Cu. The first model was built with 16 atoms randomly distributed in the lattice while the second model has 64 and it was built with the SQS approach to mimic the randomness of the HEA. Here, brown color represents Fe, purple stands for Mo, dark blue represents Co atoms, light blue represents Cu atoms, gray represents Ni atoms and light gray represents N atoms.

Fig. 3 Normal distributions of the N₂ adsorption energy on the atoms Mo–Cr–Mn–Fe–Co–Ni–Cu by considering 556 randomly created microstates.
This could rationalize why MoCo alloys show good activity towards NRR.\textsuperscript{59}

Although the element-wise analysis brings essential information, the variation of the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energy displayed for each element can still be significant. For instance, values of \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorbed on Mo can vary from \(-0.3\) eV up to \(-0.8\) eV with an average of \(-0.58\) eV and a standard deviation of \(0.1\) eV. Clearly, this shows that the bond strength between \( \text{N}_2 \) and the HEA surface has a strong dependence on properties beyond single-element properties, with a direct or indirect relation with the shell around the bonding element. Here, the concept of direct or indirect relation means that: (i) direct relation should occur if dinitrogen molecules show reasonable interaction with atoms in the first solvation shell – direct relation with the first solvation shell. (ii) indirect relation refers to the case where the first solvation shell modifies the electronic structure of the adsorbing element (electron donor–acceptor interactions), affecting the bond strength between \( \text{N}_2 \) and the referent element. A mixture of both considered relations could also exist.

**Bond formation analysis for a specific case**

In order to acquire insights into the bond formation between the HEA surface and \( \text{N}_2 \) molecules estimating the interaction amongst pairs of elements, projected crystal orbital Hamiltonian population (\( \text{pCOHP} \)) analysis was employed for the small model (Fig. 2). The \( \text{pCOHP} \) method can provide partitioned band structure energy into bonding, non-bonding and anti-bonding energy regions of local pairs, hence, its integral delivers how strong the interaction of the pairs in question is. The integrated value of the summed-up \( \text{pCOHP} \) between \( \text{N}_2 \) – (first solvation shell elements) amounts to 0.56 eV while the integrated value of the \( \text{pCOHP} \) between the pairs \( \text{N}_2 \)- (adsorbing element) is 3.53 eV (Fig. 4). The analysis shows that the bond strength between \( \text{N}_2 \) molecules and the HEA substrate is almost exclusively due to the direct relation between \( \text{N}_2 \) and the adsorbed atom with minor direct relation with the first solvation shell elements. Therefore, the influence of the first solvation shell elements in the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energies occurs via a change in the electronic structure of the adsorbing atom (electron donor–acceptor interactions) that, hence, interacts directly with the dinitrogen molecule.

**Bader charges and d BCs on the HEA surfaces**

The most common approach to describe the dinitrogen bond mechanism on transition metal surfaces (on the vertical position and sited on the top site) is the “push–pull” mechanism with \( \sigma \)-donation and \( \pi^* \)-back donation.\textsuperscript{32} This mechanism leads to a bond strength that correlates with the d BC position with respect to the Fermi level of the transition metals. The hypothesis here is that the local charge transference (electron donor–acceptor interactions) between the elements of the HEA microstructure shifts the d BSs of the adsorbing elements, hence, being the main responsible for the influence of the first solvation shell in the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energy. To better understand these relations and test this hypothesis, the d BCs of the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorbing elements and the Bader charges of the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorbing elements on the surfaces are plotted together with their respective averages (Fig. 5). The d BCs displayed significant variation with values ranging from \(-3.0\) eV up to 1.0 eV due to the distinct number of d populated states amongst the investigated transition metals. Even when considering a specific element like Mo, \( i.e. \), there is a variance in the d BC center, similar to the case of \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energies. There is also a shift in the d BCs of the elements when considering cases on the surface or in the subsurface of the structure. Fig. 5 also shows the Bader charges of elements in the first layer (direct contact with the \( \text{N}_2 \) molecule) of the considered surface and the Bader charges of elements in the sublayer. Ni, Co and Cu, on average, receive electrons from the local environment, becoming negatively charged, while, on average, Mo, Cr, Fe and Mn become positively charged. Generally, the charge transferences correlate with the electronegativities of species in HEAs as defined by, \( e.g. \), Pauling. Fig. 6 shows the averaged Bader charges vs. the Pauling electronegativity of the referent elements and the results showed that the charge transference follows the trends of the species electronegativity, but with the exceptions of Mn and Mo. There is also a difference when extracting the Bader charge of a specific element on the surface or at the subsurface position. For instance, Mn is the more positively charged element, on average, when observed on the surface of the HEA, but at the subsurface position, there is a shift towards a more neutral state.

We want to point out that a specific configuration can show more beneficial adsorption properties, but the averaged value among different local environments is here chosen as it more faithfully mimics the experimental condition where no specific control of the local environment around the adsorption site can be tailored in these entropically mixed systems. The analysis of the averaged properties can still bear significant information and be insightful regarding the average magnitude of charge transfer from the solvation shell elements toward the adsorbing...
element shifts the d BCs, hence, changing the N₂ adsorption strength. Fig. 7 shows the averaged values of d BCs, Bader charges and N₂ adsorption of the adsorbing elements Mo–Cr–Mn–Fe–Co–Ni–Cu and how they correlate. Firstly, a moderate correlation coefficient $R$ is found between the averaged Bader charges of the adsorbing elements and the averaged N₂ adsorption energies (Fig. 7(a)) where $R$ reaches $-0.47$. On the other hand, the averaged d BCs and the averaged N₂ adsorption energies show some level of correlation with $R$ being $-0.84$. As already mentioned, this is the result of the “push–pull” adsorption mechanism that involves the d states of the transition metal and the p states of the N₂ molecule. Moreover, the averaged d BCs also correlate with the averaged Bader charges with an $R$ of 0.8. Elements with higher values of averaged d BC (meaning closer to the Fermi level) tend to donate electrons to the elements with averaged d BC far from the Fermi level, hence creating these relationships.

**Importance of features for averaged properties**

In the previous subsection, we showed that the averaged d BCs of the adsorbing elements correlate with the averaged N₂ bond strength, where in turn, the averaged Bader charges of the adsorbing elements correlate with the averaged d BCs. In this section, we look into more detail at the relations between the Bader charges and d BCs of the adsorbing elements, and the Bader charges and d BCs of the elements in the first solvation shell with the N₂ adsorption energies.

We have established a multi-linear regression model fitted on the 556 adsorption energies of N₂ molecules sited on the top position of the transition metals. The representation used in the multi-linear model involves the access of the d BCs and Bader...
Charges of elements at each specific region: (i) **first solvation shell** (light blue in Fig. 1(c)) and (ii) the adsorbing element (red in Fig. 1(c)). In other words, the model estimates the influence of the Bader charges and d BCs from the elements in the solvation shell and the elements directly binding with the N₂ molecules (adsorbing element). A correlation coefficient of 0.8 is obtained between the built model data and the DFT data (Fig. 8(a)). This confirms the existent relation between these properties and the N₂ adsorption energies. It is important to highlight that modeling the N₂ adsorption energies within a linear relationship with the referent properties, d BCs depend on the magnetic solutions (low-high spin solutions) that varies case-by-case depending on the local environment, therefore breaking the linearity of the adsorption energy with the referent properties, d BCs depend on the magnetic solutions. Yet, the results still yielded an MAE of 0.11 eV between the results from DFT and the model. Moreover, most of the errors are in a range of −0.15 eV and 0.15 eV (inset of Fig. 8(a)).

Aiming to understand the impact of each feature on the model, a feature permutation algorithm is employed (Fig. 8(b)). The approach measures the changes in the model correlation after randomly permuting a specific feature, breaking the relationship between the true measure and the predicted data. For instance, a randomization of the Bader charges of all elements in the first solvation is performed and the difference in the correlation coefficients before and after the randomization is computed. This variation is named feature importance (FI). Here, values close to zero mean low importance while values closer to one implicate high importance for the N₂ bond strength. The randomization of the values of d BCs and Bader Charges from elements in the first solvation shell leads to a very small variation of R, hence low importance for the N₂ adsorption energy. On the other hand, shuffling the data related to the d BCs of the adsorbing element leads to a FI of 0.7, while shuffling the Bader charge of the adsorbing element leads to a FI of 0.07. This analysis clearly shows that d BCs of the
adsorbing elements have much more importance than their Bader charges. At this point and in connection with the results found by the pCOHP in the previous subsection for one specific case, we can confirm that indirect relations referring to the case where the first solvation shell modifies the electronic structure of the adsorbing element (electron donor–acceptor interactions) is the main factor affecting the bond strength between \( \text{N}_2 \) and the referent element with a minor contribution from a direct relationship with the elements in the first solvation shell. Moreover, the shifts in the d BCs of the adsorbing elements due to the charge transference would explain the variation of the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energies and this is discussed next section.

**d BCs of the adsorbing element and the Bader charge of the adsorbing element vs. the composition of the first solvation shell:**

To generalize the above results, we have established a multi-linear regression model fitted on the 556 microstructures to correlate the d BCs and Bader Charges of the adsorbing elements with the species on the first solvation shell. Differently from the previous multi-linear model, the representation used here involves frequency counting the number of elements of each specific species on the first solvation shell (light blue in Fig. 1), instead of checking their respective d BCs and Bader charges, like in the previous section. This defines a regression problem as: d BCs or Bader charge = \( \sum_{k}^{\text{metal}} C_{kN_2} \), where \( N_{k} \) is the number of atoms of species \( k \) in the first solvation shell. The correlations (\( R \) values) between the Bader charges calculated with the multilinear model and the Bader charges of the adsorbing elements calculated via DFT displayed \( R \) higher than 0.96 for all cases but for \( M_n \) (Fig. 9(a) and S1†). There exist also a correlation between the d BCs and the first solvation shell composition in which Ni and Cu revealed \( R \) higher than 0.9 (Fig. 9(b) and S2†). However, \( R \) for the other elements varied between 0.8 and 0.5, thus, indicating an elementwise dependence of the solvation shell configuration on the d BC of the adsorbing element. These results reveal that the charge transfer from the solvation shell towards the adsorbing element is linearly related to the species concentration. Moreover, the transferred charge from the solvation shell to the adsorbing element will change the d BCs. However, the d BCs shifts depend on the magnetic states of the referent element\(^2\) and, hence, partially breaking the linear relation between the charge transference and the d BCs shift.

**Summary and conclusions**

In this work, we have used the framework of DFT with vDW interaction to investigate the effects of the atomic solvation shell (the local environment) in the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energies of 556 randomly created HEA’s microstructures. The computed adsorption energies depend on the adsorbing element, as expected, and the first solvation shell in the HEA. This indicates that the bond strength between \( \text{N}_2 \) and the HEA surface has a direct and indirect relation with the first solvation shell of the bonding element. The results show that (i) a direct relationship should occur if dinitrogen molecules show reasonable interaction with atoms in the first solvation shell. (ii) indirect relation refers to the case where the first solvation shell modifies the electronic structure of the adsorbing element (electron donor–acceptor interactions), hence, affecting the bond strength between \( \text{N}_2 \) and the referent element. A mixture of both considered relations could also exist.

The \( \text{N}_2 \) bond strengths on the HEA surfaces were investigated and the effects of surrounding elements were analysed in some detail. \( \text{N}_2 \) Bond strengths between two different cells, one with 16 atoms and another with 64 atoms, were compared, and the similarity of these structures remained in the adsorbing element and their first solvation shell. The surrounding elements were randomly changed and adsorption energies differed by only 0.02 eV, showing that the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energies mainly depend on the adsorbing element and the first solvation shell. Subsequently, the results of the pCOHP showed a minor direct interaction between the solvation shell elements.
and the \( \text{N}_2 \) molecule, hence, reinforcing the idea that the solvation shell’s role is to modify the adsorbing element electronic structure instead of a direct interaction with the \( \text{N}_2 \) molecules. Further, the electronic structure of the adsorbing elements and their first solvation shell were analyzed based on their d BCs and Bader charges. These properties also displayed variations in similar elements that depend on the composition of their first solvation shell. This indicates that the variation of the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energies is a product of the variation of the adsorbing element’s electronic structure. Moreover, the averaged values of Bader charges displayed some level of correlations with their d BCs and, as expected and due to the “push–pull” bond mechanism, the averaged d BCs also showed to follow the averaged \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energies trends. Finally, this indicates a picture where the charge transfer shifts the d BCs, which further modifies the \( \text{N}_2 \) bond strength values. The results show that the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energy relies mainly on the d BCs of the adsorbing elements with a minor effect of their Bader charge. No correlation between the Bader charge and d BCs of the first solvation shell with the \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energies was obtained. This confirms the corroborating results from the pCOHP calculations that revealed a minor direct interaction between the \( \text{N}_2 \) and the solvation shell. The results affirm that the shift in the d BCs due to the electron donor–acceptor interactions is the main factor behind the \( \text{N}_2 \) bond strength variation on similar adsorbing elements. A multi-linear model is presented to identify if the charge transference from the solvation shell linearly affects the shift of the d BCs and how the shell composition plays a role in these effects. The representation here involves frequency counting the number of elements of each specific species on the first solvation shell. Their concentration linearly correlates with the Bader charge of the adsorbing elements. However, though some correlation was found, \( R \) values are smaller for d BCs, but for the case of Ni and Cu. This means that the charge transfer has a direct relation with the composition of the shell; however, the shift in the d BCs is also element-dependent and depends on their magnetic solutions. The results disclosed the mechanisms behind the obtained variation of \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption energies in HEAs (more specifically, here HEAs formed by Mo–Cr–Mn–Fe–Co–Ni–Cu) and the role of the first solvation shell on the bond strength. Though the reported results are for the adsorption of \( \text{N}_2 \), the framework and analysis should be of general interest for other small molecules where the bond formation depends on the d BCs of the adsorbing elements and charge transfer from neighbouring shells.
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