Integration of migrant and refugee data in health information systems in Europe: advancing evidence, policy and practice
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Summary

Coverage of migrant and refugee data is incomplete and of insufficient quality in European health information systems. This is not because we lack the knowledge or technology. Rather, it is due to various political factors at local, national and European levels, which hinder the implementation of existing knowledge and guidelines. This reflects the low political priority given to the topic, and also complex governance challenges associated with migration and displacement. We review recent evidence, guidelines, and policies to propose four approaches that will advance science, policy, and practice. First, we call for strategies that ensure that data is collected, analyzed and disseminated systematically. Second, we propose methods to safeguard privacy while combining data from multiple sources. Third, we set out how to enable survey methods that take account of the groups’ diversity. Fourth, we emphasize the need to engage migrants and refugees in decisions about their own health data. Based on these approaches, we propose a change management approach that narrows the gap between knowledge and action to create healthcare policies and practices that are truly inclusive of migrants and refugees. We thereby offer an agenda that will better serve public health needs, including those of migrants and refugees and advance equity in European health systems.
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Introduction

Health systems have faced challenges in keeping up with the increasing global movement of people.1
Definitions

The term “migrants and refugees” is used to refer to two legally distinct social categories, characterized by diverse backgrounds and reasons for migration, but with some shared health risk factors.

Migrants

There is no single definition of migrants. Generally, a migrant is someone who moves away from their usual place of residence, either within a country or to another country, temporarily or permanently, for various reasons.26,27 This includes labor migrants, international students, irregular migrants (without proper documentation), or circular migrants. Defining migrant categories can be complex, considering people move for diverse reasons and situations change over time. It is debated whether the term should include descendants of those who moved countries and, if so, to what extent (first, second, third generation, etc.). These categories are socially constructed and must be broken down into meaningful core indicators to monitor their health, considering their diverse backgrounds and intersecting factors.

Refugees

The term “refugee” has a clear legal definition according to the 1951 Geneva Convention and its protocol.28 It is often used as an umbrella term for displaced populations or people seeking international protection who haven’t yet received official refugee status, like asylum seekers. It may also include those whose asylum applications were rejected and face difficult situations.29 To understand and monitor the health needs of diverse refugee populations, we must know their health and social rights (which vary between countries), and the context they are in, such as living in camps due to their legal status.

Health information system (HIS)

A Health Information System (HIS) is a crucial part of any health system. It includes all the activities and resources that gather, analyze, share, and use reliable and timely information about health factors, how well the health system is working, and the overall health status.30 It is like a system involving various people and factors that work together to produce and use health-related information.31 The HIS has four main functions: (i) collecting data, (ii) organizing and compiling data, (iii) analyzing and summarizing data, and (iv) sharing the data with those who need it.32 It can involve different sectors like interior affairs, labor, immigration, non-governmental organizations, or commercial sectors, all of which intersect with the healthcare system and might need information from different sources. The performance of a HIS is evaluated based on how easily accessible, reliable, and timely the data on health needs and determinants are for the entire population.

Unfortunately, migrants and refugees have often been overlooked in discussions about health policies.33 The COVID-19 pandemic brought attention to deep inequalities, with migrants and refugees being particularly disadvantaged,34 emphasizing the need for detailed health data that considers the diversity within populations.35 However, only less than half of the countries in the WHO European Region were able to collect or properly analyze health data based on migratory or refugee status by 2019.36 The available data is often fragmented, incomplete, and of poor quality.37 This article aims to provide an overview of the current situation concerning health data for migrants and refugees in European health information systems (HIS) (see Search strategy and selection criteria). We discuss the need for including migrant and refugee health data in national HIS (see Definitions), identify significant gaps between knowledge and implementation, and suggest what actions should be prioritized.

The structure of the report is as follows: We first discuss the arguments for and against disaggregating data by migratory status. We then propose four strategies to advance evidence, policy and practice. These comprise integrating migration related indicators into existing data collection systems, linking available data sources while respecting privacy, making better use of multilingual health surveys, and most importantly, ensuring participatory approaches so that migrants and refugees become a key partner in collecting and analyzing data, and disseminating evidence. We then proceed by considering political and structural barriers to high-quality data for diverse groups of migrants and refugees (see Definitions). We do so by comparing the current state of data collection with the potential offered by new approaches to highlight challenges in implementation. We find that, despite major international initiatives and global compacts (Panel 1), political factors such as lack of transparency and political will, and unclear responsibilities impede data collection, and we propose a policy agenda to bridge the gap between knowledge and action using a change management approach within the health system. This approach considers historical influences, anticipates resistance to change, and involves multiple actors who can contribute to advancing this agenda by improving cross-sectoral governance. Our main audience includes policy makers, scientists, and public health practitioners who can drive change in various sectors across European countries.

Arguments for and against disaggregated health data

The need for detailed health data is crucial. Some have raised arguments against breaking down (i.e. disaggregating) health data based on whether someone is a migrant or a refugee. Some of these arguments are well-known. One perspective says that disaggregation could lead to discrimination against...
migrant and refugee health. Still, many health laws on top prohibit collecting and analyzing data in place (Panel 2). Hence, existing data protection reasons for doing so and appropriate safeguards are considered data about health, ethnicity, or nationality as the possibilities.

status into their health information systems, despite systems have not effectively incorporated migration and refugee status. In Europe, for instance, the World Health Organization (WHO) has a Strategy and Action Plan for Refugee and Migrant Health in the WHO European Region. This plan ended in 2022 and a new regional action plan for 2023-2030 is being developed, which emphasizes strengthening health systems to be more inclusive for refugees and migrants. One of its pillars calls for collecting and sharing migration and health data for evidence-based responses to health challenges faced by refugees and migrants.

The WHO Global Action Plan also recommends improving health information systems to ensure standardized and comparable records on migrant and refugee health are available at the global, regional, and country levels.

The European Commission’s Action Plan on Integration and Inclusion for 2021-2027 aims to modernize access to health and social services using digital tools to enhance the evidence base for policy development.

Although they might not be laws that countries must follow, global agreements and international guidelines suggest and expect countries to gather and organize health data about migrants in a systematic way. These frameworks can help countries combine, make consistent, and break down health data based on whether someone is a migrant or refugee in their own national health information systems.

There is a growing recognition of the importance of breaking down data by various personal characteristics to understand the social determinants of health. However, there is still sensitivity around data on ethnicity and migration status, partly due to widespread racism and concerns about potential discrimination. As a result, not much progress has been made in including migrants and refugees in health information systems for over a decade.

From a public health perspective, it is essential to disaggregate data to understand the specific health needs and challenges faced by diverse groups (see Definitions) of migrants and refugees. Otherwise, their unique needs might be overlooked, leading to inadequate responses and hiding inequalities and harmful policies. This creates a cycle where the lack of data leads to the lack of policies to improve data, perpetuating the problem.

Panel 1: Selected global compacts and international initiatives promoting harmonized data on refugees and migrants

Global compacts and international guidelines encourage countries to collect and standardize health data for refugees and migrants, even though they may not be legally binding. These frameworks can help countries integrate, harmonize, and organize health data based on the status of migrants in their national health information systems.

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) have a target (17.8) that requires data to be broken down by migratory status. This target was supposed to be achieved by 2020, but it was not met. Out of 231 SDG indicators, 24 should be disaggregated by migratory status, and seven directly relate to migration. The European Commission also supports monitoring progress toward SDG 10 (reducing inequalities), and a New Pact on Migration and Asylum has been proposed to manage migration and cooperate with countries of origin, transit, and destination.

The World Health Organization (WHO) has a Strategy and Action Plan for Refugee and Migrant Health in the WHO European Region. This plan ended in 2022 and a new regional action plan for 2023-2030 is being developed, which emphasizes strengthening health systems to be more inclusive for refugees and migrants. One of its pillars calls for collecting and sharing migration and health data for evidence-based responses to health challenges faced by refugees and migrants.

The WHO Global Action Plan also recommends improving health information systems to ensure standardized and comparable records on migrant and refugee health are available at the global, regional, and country levels.

The European Commission’s Action Plan on Integration and Inclusion for 2021-2027 aims to modernize access to health and social services using digital tools to enhance the evidence base for policy development.

Although they might not be laws that countries must follow, global agreements and international guidelines suggest and expect countries to gather and organize health data about migrants in a systematic way. These frameworks can help countries combine, make consistent, and break down health data based on whether someone is a migrant or refugee in their own national health information systems.

There is a growing recognition of the importance of breaking down data by various personal characteristics to understand the social determinants of health. However, there is still sensitivity around data on ethnicity and migration status, partly due to widespread racism and concerns about potential discrimination. As a result, not much progress has been made in including migrants and refugees in health information systems for over a decade.

From a public health perspective, it is essential to disaggregate data to understand the specific health needs and challenges faced by diverse groups (see Definitions) of migrants and refugees. Otherwise, their unique needs might be overlooked, leading to inadequate responses and hiding inequalities and harmful policies. This creates a cycle where the lack of data leads to the lack of policies to improve data, perpetuating the problem.

Panel 2: General Data Protection Law (GDPR) requirements for data on migrant and refugee health

- The GDPR is a strict data protection law applicable in EU and EEA countries, considered a gold standard for data management.
- Migration health data is classified as sensitive data, encompassing information on race, ethnicity, political opinions, religion, and health, among others.
- Collecting sensitive data is only permitted under specific conditions, such as explicit consent from the data subject or for health management, research, or cross-border health threats (Art. 9).
- Standards for consent include that it must be freely given, specific, informed, intelligible, and easily accessible. Consent can be revoked (Art. 4 and Art. 7).
- In projects involving multilingual and individuals in vulnerable situations characterized by power imbalances, providing understandable information while complying with GDPR’s strict rules can be challenging.
- Collection, processing, and storage of unconsented data are possible under strict circumstances if consent is unattainable. In such cases, objective anonymization must be ensured, and re-identification should be prevented. The societal and scientific benefits must outweigh the risks for the population or individuals involved.

Source: adapted from WHO Technical Guidance on Collection and Integration of data on refugee and migrant health.
In this situation, debates about the health needs of migrants and refugees can be dominated by political ideologies and unsupported claims. Science can help take politics out of these debates by using evidence and effective communication that counters biased or ideological narratives about migrants and refugees, which often rely on unsubstantiated claims on (presumed) under-, over- or mis-use of services.46–52

While some people worry that collecting data on migration status might lead to discrimination, it is essential to recognize that not collecting this data can also be discriminatory. Everyone has the right to be counted, which is necessary for claiming economic, cultural, and social rights.53 The distinction between people in a nation as residents and citizens can create problems for migrants and refugees.54 This affects whether data is collected and available. The failure to collect data on migration status reinforces distinctions between people based on their citizenship and nationality,55 affecting access to healthcare and other rights for some migrants and refugees.56

Another aspect is the biopolitical dimension of not collecting migrant and refugee health data in national systems. Some argue55,56 that refugees are governed by states in a state of exception outside normal legal rules, providing only minimal support that reduces individuals to bare survival.56 Data collection may serve the interests of the state rather than the individuals, with a focus on immigration control and securitization,57 leading to restrictive practices like detention or encampment.58–60 This excludes them from political structures and limits their rights and agency.57 In contrast, if we collect their data and make their health needs visible, they can assert their rights and have their needs recognized.55,60

The role of a health system in the complex area of migration41 is closely tied to data justice,61 which seeks fairness in how people are represented and benefit from data collection. To achieve both integration and justice, health data must be collected for all population groups and properly broken down to support inclusive health policies. It is crucial to respect individual rights, encourage participation, and adhere to data protection laws to avoid stigmatization.

**Strategies to advance evidence, policy and practice**

To improve evidence, policy, and practice, European health systems should make the most of data sources they already have and broaden their scope and coverage41,61 (Fig. 1). This involves utilizing yet unused data sources and adopting new methods to improve their systems.40 Since migration involves various stages and situations, no single data source can capture all the necessary information to track the health of migrants and refugees.5 Therefore, it is essential to consider diverse migration trajectories (Fig. 1) by looking at the different stages of their journey, like before they migrate, during the migration process, after they arrive, and if they return.40–42 It also involves considering times of immobility and looking at various sectors, situations, and countries they go through. To help countries achieving this goal, we present a conceptual framework (Fig. 1) that can be used to design their health information systems and maximize the utilization of both existing and new data sources. The system should effectively fulfill its essential functions (see Definitions) and perform well, considering the specific challenges of capturing the health of mobile populations with unique migration trajectories (Fig. 1). The specific elements and ingredients of such a HIS are explained in the subsequent paragraphs.

Health systems and health information systems (HIS) are often limited by their focus on stable populations46 within individual countries,1,9 overlooking the complexities of migration57–60 and the need for sharing data across sectors7 and borders.69–71 To address this, data sources for HIS (Fig. 1) can be divided into population-based and institution-based categories,7 including emerging sources like big data7 and health data generated by patients7 through technology, such as electronic devices or health apps7 (Fig. 1).

HIS has essential functions, such as generating, disseminating, and using data to inform decisions. In the context of migration, these functions may involve various sectors, such as interior affairs, labor, immigration systems,44,75 and non-governmental or commercial sectors.4 The effectiveness of HIS is determined by the availability, accessibility, reliability, quality, and timeliness of data,7 along with the ability to capture diversity within societies (Fig. 1).

Implementing changes in HIS requires considering complex factors,7 including dependencies, norms, power relations, resources, legal frameworks, trust and technology (Fig. 1).41 The challenge is not always a lack of data; raw data is often available across sectors. The key is to develop processes to turn available data on refugees and migrants into accessible, high-quality information that allows reliable comparisons over time and across different places for monitoring health and making informed decisions. This requires addressing intangible factors of systems, such as values and trust (Fig. 1).

To improve the situation, we need action in both science and policy.5,9 This includes using innovative methods and technology in research, as well as advancing policies and practices based on evidence. By drawing on evidence syntheses42–45,77 and technical guidance from WHO,9 we highlight four key strategies to make progress in this matter (Panel 3).
**HIS must integrate, routinely collect, analyze and disseminate refugee and migrant health data**

Migrant and refugee data should be included in the national health information systems. To reflect the diverse health needs of migrants and refugees, their data should be collected, analyzed, and shared with their active involvement in system design at all levels of governance.

Besides technical challenges like language barriers and capturing information about people with different backgrounds (i.e. intersectionality), strong laws should be in place to protect health data from being used inappropriately (e.g., for immigration enforcement) while allowing access for researchers and practitioners. This way, powerful tools like data linkage (see below) can be used to identify health issues and solutions.

Given the complexity of defining migration status (see Definitions) and its dynamic nature, no single indicator can fully capture the diversity of migrants and refugees. However, it is important to have a set of core variables describing migratory status that can be used in...
health information systems\(^7\) (Panel 4), while considering national socio-political contexts.\(^7\) In the absence of standardized variables, migratory status can be derived from other parameters, such as name-based approaches\(^7\) or probability-based strategies using a combination of indicators.\(^7\)

To ensure data can be linked and compared, it is crucial to collect the same core variables across different data collection systems. Introducing new variables at the European level is possible, but it is complex as comparable data must be available everywhere, and there are trade-offs involved. Additionally, interest in this issue might be low in places with little immigration.\(^9\)

The evidence we gather should be used to guide daily practices and policy development, but we must acknowledge that evidence transfer is not value-free or completely neutral (Fig. 1). It should align with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the idea that health is a human right to promote equity-oriented policy making. Using non-stigmatizing language\(^9\) and effectively communicating evidence is essential, as is anticipating non-linear or unintended effects of scientific findings. To achieve this, we need ways, structures, and strategies to proactively share the findings of the HIS with policymakers, the media, and the general public. There is also a desire among individuals not to be permanently labelled as migrants or refugees, and any statistical definition and classification may diverge from, or even conflict with, individuals’ self-perceived identity. Participatory approaches and consideration of qualitative information (see below) may help to identify, avert or address such conflicts, not only related to data collection, but also during dissemination and communication of HIS results. While classification is essential for quantitative monitoring in public health, any system should avoid creating “permanent” labels or classifications that extend beyond the public health needs. This could lead to the classification of individuals and their offspring who may have never migrated themselves,\(^7\) resulting in Othering\(^7\) and discrimination.\(^7\)

The classifications in a system depend on what public health information we want to gather,\(^7\) such as an individual’s migratory status. For example, for hereditary diseases or specific health behaviors, individuals may be classified as migrants for multiple generations, while for travel-related exposure, the migratory status may only be relevant temporarily.\(^9\)

Therefore, we propose core variables like country of birth, citizenship, date of arrival, residence status, and country of birth of parents in Panel 4 that can be combined to create indicators fit for purpose, instead of a singular migratory status indicator.

---

**Panel 4: Core and recommended migration indicators**

The World Health Organization (WHO) Regional office for Europe has provided technical guidance on collecting data for refugees and migrants. They suggest a list of core and recommended variables to help national data systems break down health data based on migratory status. These variables were identified from existing recommendations, guidelines, and practices. The focus is on basic variables that, when combined, can help identify different migrant groups based on country priorities.

**Core variables**

1. *Country of birth*
   - Identifies foreign-born individuals, allowing for easy international comparisons.

2. *Citizenship*
   - Determines an individual’s entitlements and obligations in social, legal, political, economic, and cultural aspects. Option to record multiple nationalities is recommended.

3. *Year and month of arrival in the host country*
   - Helps to plan or evaluate integration programs and health interventions in relation to the duration of stay or phase of migration.

4. *Country of birth of both parents*
   - Identifies nationals with a migratory background, such as children of migrants.

**Recommended variables**

1. *Reason for migration*
   - Identifies subgroups of migrants with varying health needs, like forced displacement, labor migrants or family re-unionification.

2. *Ever resided abroad*
   - Considers emigration, return migration, or circular migratory patterns.

3. *Knowledge of host country’s language(s):*
   - Important to access various services and actively engage with the society.

4. *Residence status:*
   - May affect access and utilization of health services and be a health determinant due to uncertainties about legal status and differential entitlements to social determinants of health (housing, labor market, social services, education).
Data linkage strategies are essential in fragmented health systems while preserving privacy

By using multiple indicators of migratory status (Panel 4) from various data sources (Fig. 1) and linking them to health-related indicators, we can gain comprehensive insights into migrant and refugee health. When we want to combine data from different records to get a more complete picture, we use a process called data-linkage. In the HEN Report 66, researchers found that data-linkage is a powerful tool to study a wide range of health outcomes, like suicide rates, mortality (death rates), maternal outcomes (pregnancy and childbirth-related outcomes), non-communicable diseases (like diabetes or heart disease), mental health, and infectious diseases. This tool can be used not only in countries with centralized health and information systems, but also in countries where health systems are more decentralized and fragmented (like Germany, Italy, Spain, and the Netherlands). There are different ways to do this55-87 depending on the type of information available and the level of accuracy and privacy needed (Panel 5).

Privacy-preserving record linkage (PPRL) is a way to connect data from different sources without revealing personal information about individuals.85,86 It involves using encrypted IDs to link data, making it hard or impossible to identify specific people.88 This method is particularly important when dealing with data from international migration, where the same person might have records from different sources and there could be typographical errors in the data. To link this data effectively, error-tolerant record linkage methods are used.89 Scandinavian countries use a unique ID for linking data across various sources, but this approach is not feasible or deliberately avoided in fragmented systems to avoid centralization of sensitive data. In some countries, such linkage is legally prohibited and considered “red lines” by data protection officers due to historical reasons. In such cases, error-tolerant record linkage with so called Bloom filters (Panel 5) is more suitable.90,91

PPRL can provide valuable insights by linking pre-migration data with post-migration health data75,81,92,93 or connecting health data with information about the post-migratory context.94-97 This helps understand the migration journey and related health needs. For instance, it can be useful in ensuring continuity of care and vaccination coverage during the migration process.93,98 PPRL can also be helpful in emergencies like the COVID-19 pandemic by leveraging important data,99 or by linking routine data (e.g. from laboratories) with primary data from surveys.5,100 PPRL can be applied to data with or without consent (Panel 2). Health data is usually collected with consent, but administrative data related to migration may not be collected for health purposes, and its use in health information systems could be considered unconsented. More research is needed to determine the acceptability and best strategies for using unconsented data in migration health monitoring and research. PPRL faces technical and practical challenges even with consent, especially in decentralized health and immigration systems. Additionally, ethical concerns arise regarding the potential misuse of data for non-health purposes, such as immigration enforcement.5,44

Panel S: Data linkage strategies and methods

| Direct identifiers: Unique characteristics like social security numbers or person-identifying data (e.g., name, date of birth, address, or policy number). |
| Indirect identifiers: Combinations of variables in different datasets that strongly suggest they correspond to the same person. |
| Encrypted identifiers: Personal data (e.g., last name, first name, and date of birth) is encrypted to preserve privacy. Bloom filters are one method for encrypted data linkage, allowing for error-tolerant linkage on encrypted data without revealing original information. |
| Bloom filters: are a data structure used for privacy when direct person identifiers cannot be used. They encrypt identifiers into unique patterns of zeros and ones using hash functions. This enables testing for presence in different datasets without revealing original information. They allow error-tolerant data linkage on encrypted data, preventing re-identification of individuals. |

Sources: Derived and adapted from March et al.87
Health monitoring surveys must be sensitive to diversity and multilingual groups

Diversity-sensitive, multilingual health monitoring surveys are an important tool to gather information (primary data) about the health of migrants and refugees.5,9 Data from registries and routine administrative and health sources have limitations because they often lack self-reported health measures. Health monitoring surveys (Fig. 1), which include migrants and refugees and are conducted regularly, can provide additional information on their health.9,77,109,110 During the COVID-19 pandemic, repeat surveys with data on migratory status or conducted in multiple languages were particularly helpful in understanding infection risk and vaccination status among migrants and refugees.111,112 These surveys can also help monitor socio-economic inequalities in self-reported health over time,113 study the health effects of changes in subjective social status due to migration,114,115 and identify health factors related to post-migration situations like housing and employment.116,117 Surveys by NGOs and integration of qualitative data can improve understanding of social determinants of health among migrants.118 Qualitative information can also help to capture more information on living and working conditions. It can also capture self-reported identities, and explore potential conflicts between statistical migrant categories and individuals’ self-expressed group-identity. Such information is also relevant during emergencies: in response to the war in Ukraine, IOM conducted face-to-face surveys at border crossing points, assessing needs and intentions of migrants.119 WHO also produced a health needs assessment for refugees, aiding decision-making in the refugee response.120

However, such surveys are still rare. Out of 242 data sources on migrant and refugee health in the WHO European Region, only 31 (12.8%) were health monitoring surveys.121 Many surveys use only one language and exclude certain groups, like migrants in precarious work or refugees in camps or humanitarian emergencies.122 National health monitoring surveys in Europe often use multi-lingual surveys to ensure cross-cultural comparability between countries with different languages.123 However, with the increasing diversity in European societies, a paradigm shift is needed for surveys to become diversity-sensitive (Fig. 1). This means capturing the health status of the population by conducting surveys in multiple languages within countries while ensuring comparability of response scales.124,125 Harmonized approaches should be used across countries, e.g. through multi-national EU initiatives such as the European Health Interview Survey (EHIS). Oversampling and innovative sampling and recruitment methods can improve response rates.77,125–127 Digital technologies, like videos and audio materials in different languages, along with online interpreting services, can help with informed consent and increase trust and response rates.

In precarious settings like refugee camps or undocumented migrant clinics, setting-based sampling approaches can be used to collect data using a combination of different strategies, ranging from probabilistic to non-probabilistic and participatory ones.128 The main challenge is not to run a one-off data collection, but to implement these approaches systematically and structurally within the health information systems, ensuring funding, structures, and legal aspects are in place to protect the rights and benefit the migrant and refugee groups being studied.

Participatory approaches to designing HIS must include migrants and refugees

Participatory approaches involve working closely with all stakeholders, including migrants and refugees, to create knowledge together and make decisions collectively.129 These methods can overcome barriers like language, cultural differences, and power imbalances, ensuring broad stakeholder involvement. Good governance of health information systems (HIS) stresses the importance of participatory approaches with broad stakeholder involvement, based on transparency, accountability, and fairness.130 This builds trust among migrants and refugees in the data collection, security, and use, aligning with data protection laws like GDPR (Panel 2) and the idea of data justice.131 Refugees and migrants face challenges in trusting authorities collecting their data, due to perceived and real misuse of data, both in countries of origin and destination. This mistrust depends on factors including lack of awareness, language barriers, lack of involvement of refugee and migrant communities in HIS processes such as data collection and dissemination, and on weak data protection laws.132–134 Solutions to address this mistrust include awareness raising on the public health need for collecting refugee and migrant health data and how the data is protected in languages refugees and migrants can understand, multilingual surveys, training of staff in cultural
competency, better data protection and participatory approaches facilitating involvement of refugees and migrants in HIS processes. Participatory approaches to data collection with migrants and refugees can include designing health surveys together, using peer researchers who speak multiple languages to distribute surveys, and working with community partners in focus groups to understand how to collect sensitive data in HIS. Such approaches will also help to identify discrepancies between self-perceived identities and statistical categories used in HIS and understand health or social aspects arising from such tensions. However, to implement and sustain these approaches, enough resources from the government and funders are required, and national policies should support migrant and refugee involvement in health decision-making at a societal level.

Political and structural barriers
The main challenge in obtaining high-quality and timely data on migrant and refugee health in European health systems is not because we lack knowledge, methods, or technology. The main problem is that the existing recommendations and guidelines for data collection are not being implemented effectively into the health information systems at both European and national levels. This lack of implementation is a result of a lack of political priority given to health information systems in general, and to migrant and refugee health topics in particular (beyond a health-security approach). It also involves the political determination of the data collection process. This issue is further complicated because migration health falls under the responsibility of various ministries, including health, interior, labor, and foreign affairs, which also plays a significant role in hindering implementation.

Challenges to implementation must be recognised
There are several reasons why existing guidelines are not being implemented effectively. Some of these factors include a lack of digitalization in refugee camps, inadequate frameworks to prevent misuse of data for non-health purposes, neglect of migrants and refugees in national public health plans, and financial limitations and trade-offs that overlook the complexity of population health (e.g., prioritizing health monitoring for citizens over non-nationals). Moreover, dedicated structures within public health authorities focusing on refugee and migrant health are often lacking, limiting progress in this area.

Change management approaches are needed
To successfully implement the existing knowledge, methods, and technologies, a change management approach is necessary. This approach involves understanding the national and organizational context, considering the different actors and forces involved (including resistance to change), having a clear agenda and idea of the changes needed, focusing on the process of change (e.g., top-down or from within organizations), and defining the desired outcomes. Effective leadership and action-oriented efforts across various sectors are essential to transform HIS and effectively address the health needs of a mobile world. To enhance HIS regarding migrants and refugees, it is crucial to tailor interventions to the specific contexts, settings and variations among European countries. This requires considering factors like national policies, local conditions, institutional structures, political climates, and cultural differences. Establishing local coalitions that bridge cross-sectoral divides (see below) is crucial in achieving this objective.

Politics cannot be ignored
One important explanation for failure to share migrant data is politics influence data governance. In some cases, public health authorities collect migrant data but do not publicize or share it with the health community in a timely manner for political reasons. There may be undue influence or interference by local or national authorities in data collection, compromising transparency and accountability. Additionally, varying levels of governance (national, sub-national, local) may have different perspectives on the significance of migrant and refugee health data. Addressing these political challenges is essential for improving data systems and promoting fairness and transparency in data collection processes. Examples from Greece (Panel 6), Türkiye (Panel 7) and Germany (Panel 8) show how political context can impact data collection, handling and (non-)availability.

Greece lacks a comprehensive and transparent migration health information system, which has become more evident during the COVID-19 pandemic. The transition of data reporting responsibilities led to the loss of crucial data, and the absence of routine data on migrant and refugee health poses significant challenges for public health interventions (Panel 6). In Türkiye, health data has become a political issue, and access to health-related data is limited for independent researchers, particularly for important public health issues like the COVID-19 pandemic. This lack of transparency and limited data access hinders our understanding of the health needs of vulnerable groups, including migrants (Panel 7). In Germany, the lack of functional electronic health record systems in reception centers and refugee camps in Germany posed challenges for health planning and policy decisions in 2015. While an innovative digital monitoring tool was developed and implemented successfully, its nationwide rollout faced challenges due to decentralized governance and varying levels of support among different
Panel 6: Availability and access to migration health data in Greece

Context and type of data
Greece is a major entry point for migrants and refugees in Europe. However, there is no comprehensive and transparent migration health information system in the country. The COVID-19 pandemic has exposed this data gap and vulnerability in public health preparedness. Until early 2022, the Greek Ministry of Defense and the International Organization for Migration (IOM) were responsible for reporting data on new arrivals and refugees in specific facilities. In March 2022, this responsibility was transferred to the Greek Ministry of Migration and Asylum. However, this transition led to the loss of crucial data, such as age, sex, and ethnicity distribution of refugees in reception sites, which are essential for public health planning and response.

Political intersections
There is an epidemiological surveillance system in place for refugee/migrant reception sites, reporting on medical conditions. During the pandemic, COVID-19 data was included in the reports, but it disappeared from the surveillance reports later on. This happened around the time when independent scientific reports suggested a higher incidence rate of COVID-19 among refugees/asylum seekers in these facilities compared to the general population. Surprisingly, national authorities in Greece have barely recorded or reported data on COVID-19 hospitalizations, deaths, and vaccine uptake among migrants and refugees, despite the calls from the scientific and public health community.

Consequences for HIS/health of refugees and migrants
The lack of routine data on demographics, morbidity, and mortality among migrant and refugee populations in Greece poses serious challenges for public health interventions. It leaves policymakers and healthcare providers with limited information to address the health needs of these vulnerable groups effectively. This situation demands urgent attention and action to establish a comprehensive migration health information system that can support evidence-based and accountable public health policies and interventions.

Panel 7: Availability and access to migration health data in Türkiye

Context and type of data
In Türkiye, health data has become a political issue over the last two decades, especially after the health transformation project aimed to improve healthcare infrastructure, including the integrated health information system (HIS). One result of this development is a national health record system called e-pulse, which allows citizens to access their personal health information, medical records, and prescriptions. However, epidemiological data collected from various health facilities through the HIS is only available to higher-level government policy makers and not easily accessible to independent researchers.

Political intersections
Health-related data in Türkiye are collected through three main channels: the integrated HIS, nationally representative surveys such as demographic and health surveys (DHS), and independent research by researchers or NGOs. Access to data from the health system is subject to authorization from the Ministry of Health (MoH), and independent researchers often face challenges in obtaining data, even for important public health issues like the COVID-19 pandemic. While some papers based on health records have been published, independent researchers often face difficulties in obtaining COVID-19-related data, including vaccination information, which limits our understanding of the true burden of the pandemic and its impact on vulnerable groups, including migrants.

Consequences for HIS/health of refugees and migrants
Nationally representative surveys conducted by the government with university collaboration can provide some information, but there have been instances of missed opportunities, such as skipping to include non-Syrian migrants in Türkiye in a recent DHS report. The COVID-19 pandemic has also been a missed opportunity for the HIS to prove its usefulness in research, as data sharing and access have been restricted, leaving the true burden of the pandemic among vulnerable groups, including migrants, largely unknown.

Another important issue is the scattered nature of data on migrants (especially data related to their health). This is because healthcare services for these groups are provided by various organizations, such as NGOs, international organizations, charities, and national public health authorities. These organizations collect data in a haphazard and ad-hoc manner, leading to limited and disconnected information in separate systems. To solve this problem of fragmented migrant and refugee health data, their healthcare needs to be integrated into national healthcare strategies and systems. Strengthening migrant health monitoring requires addressing the political aspects of data collection and bridging cross-sectoral divides.
Cross-sectoral governance is essential
Health is a crucial requisite for ensuring safe and humane migration, but the health sector is not often involved in decision-making processes related to migration. The examples from Greece (Panel 6), Türkiye (Panel 7) and Germany (Panel 8) illustrate the poor inter-sectoral coordination that impact the availability of data, despite varying country contexts. Most decisions are made by ministries dealing with immigration control, foreign policy, and labor migration, with health authorities’ engagement usually ushered during health emergencies or for border-health management. Migration health and human mobility are not fully integrated into national health care strategies, priority setting or health care financing. As a consequence, health systems lack systematic inclusion of migration data.

To meaningfully improve the collection of migration health data, inter-sectoral coordination is needed. Some countries have successfully ushered such coordination across government ministries, including collaboration with academia and migrant communities themselves.199 There is a need to systematically map and analyze existing data to make the most of the available information. Migration health data exists not only within health systems but also in other sectors44 like labor migration, immigration, border management, detention centers, pre-migration health assessment clinics, resettlement services, and health insurance providers. The newly established EU Atlas of Migration160 showcases harmonized and validated migration data by country. However, many migration data sources remain unmapped, unaanalyzed, and disconnected from health information systems.

In general, information silos and the lack of data integration and interoperability within different societal sectors, including different parts of the healthcare system, pose a broader challenge not exclusive to migration or health. Health systems face difficulties in these areas overall. Therefore, finding solutions to enhance health information systems for migrant and refugee data may also benefit other sectors and aspects of the HIS for the general population.

The challenges mentioned earlier, related to information silos, also impact the sharing of health data for healthcare purposes within and between organizations of the same country but also across countries. The healthcare provided to refugees and certain migrant groups is often fragmented, delivered by a diverse range of organizations, including humanitarian, commercial, public, volunteer, and governmental entities. Sharing data is vital for the effective operation of health information systems (HIS), but faces many barriers, including lack of technology, legal issues, data protection, or issues related to organizational cultures and trust (e.g. of NGOs in authorities or vice versa). However, these issues are not confined to migrants and go beyond the scope of this paper, even though they are increasingly important in today’s mobile world, where people move across borders and countries, but also among different organizations within countries. This calls for solutions that enable both privacy and continuity of care.
Search strategy and selection criteria

The references for this Health Policy paper were collected from various comprehensive reviews on the topic, including reports from the WHO Health Evidence Network (HEN) Series, WHO Technical Guidance on Collection and Integration of Data on Refugee and Migrant Health, reviews on health monitoring and data collection practices among migrants, and systematic reviews of COVID-19 impact in migrants. Key peer-reviewed scientific articles, reports, and policy documents were also consulted. The health needs of refugees and migrants were drawn from sources like the World Report on the Health of Refugees and Migrants, HEN Reports on variations in definitions of migrants and their access to health services, the European Commission report on newly arrived migrants and refugees in EU/EEA, and HEN Report on public health aspects of migrant health in the WHO European Region.

Detailed search criteria can be found in the primary reports of the reviews this paper is based on. The selected reports focused on migrant and refugee health data in health information systems, while excluding papers related to one-off primary data collection exercises or ethnicity. Although understanding self-perceived or attributed ethnicity is crucial for addressing health inequalities due to racialization and racism, it can also contribute to “othering” of certain ethnicities, even those who are not migrants. So, using ethnicity as a sole marker for migration can perpetuate “othering” processes and racialize nationals. Therefore, the paper sought to avoid uncritically using ethnicity as an indicator of migration. Additional searches were conducted in PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar to identify papers on privacy-preserving data linkage. For further details on the search terms used, refer to Supplementary File S1.

An agenda for moving policy forward

Strengthening health information systems (HIS) to better serve the health needs of refugees and migrants presents challenges and opportunities for European countries. They must rebuild after the COVID-19 pandemic, tackle the economic and political consequences of the Russian invasion of Ukraine, and confront extreme climatic events. Prioritizing investment in HIS becomes challenging but is still necessary. Crises will continue, and as the pandemic revealed, high quality HIS are vital to navigate uncertainties at individual, organizational, and societal levels. This investment will not only benefit refugees and migrants but also the entire population, supporting evidence-informed policies and practices that leave no-one behind.

New technologies offer many opportunities and will be crucial if we are to enhance coverage of migrant and refugee health data by HIS. They aid data collection (using data gathering devices, online interpretation, audio and video recording of consent, digital health records), data analysis (linkage, encryption, federated analysis systems), and data dissemination (dashboards, visualizations for policy and community use). However, the challenges in making data available are not primarily due to technological limitations.

If we are to bridge the gap between knowledge and action and advance policy and practice, we need collaborative efforts at multiple levels. Inter-ministerial and intersectoral working groups at the EU/EEA, national, and local levels should fully involve civil-society organizations and refugees and migrants. This would help to mainstream migration within health governance and vice versa, fostering transformational change tailored to each country’s context and health system.

Enhancing data systems requires a comprehensive strategy that promotes the appropriate use of migrant and refugee health data while preventing misuse. It is essential to understand the complexities involved and find incentives and sanctions to encourage equitable and innovative applications. Data protection legislation should be seen as a means to promote inclusive policies, not as a barrier. Building trust is crucial in this process to ensure the successful implementation of these strategies and protect human rights while avoiding “othering” of migrants and refugees and ensuring they are the ones benefitting most from improved data and health information. This is especially crucial because how public health systems group individuals can sometimes conflict with how these individuals see themselves, a concern that goes beyond legal labels and where they come from. The decision of individuals to share health related information, take part in surveys, or use digital tools, also depends on and influences how they see themselves. This should be kept in mind and migrants and refugees should be a major partner when creating plans and actions to enhance data systems despite the challenges it entails.

National public strategies must address the health needs of migrants and refugees, but this must be supported by effective monitoring systems that collect sufficiently granular data to track progress among all population groups. The EU and WHO, along with specialized agencies like IOM, ECDC, UNICEF, and UNHCR, can support the exchange of good practices and harmonize data collection at national and international levels. This involves creating knowledge sharing platforms, conducting workshops, and offering support on data linkage and secure data environments. A thorough inventory of existing data sources and practices can help identify gaps and promote consolidation, avoiding duplication of efforts. It would help to identify intervention points - technological, legal, or organizational - to consolidate and improve the fragmented approaches that exist. This should be complemented by measures to integrate core variables into all functional data collection systems. These activities will require training programs and there are obvious economies of scale to be achieved by international collaboration. Leapfrogging, or adopting advanced technologies and practices will to achieve this progress more rapidly.

Overall, investing in HIS for refugee and migrant health is vital for addressing health challenges in diverse populations, supporting evidence-based policies. International collaboration to develop strategic approaches will pave the way for effective data-driven policies and practices that benefit both migrants and the general population.
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