UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

<http://uu.diva-portal.org>

This is an author produced version of a paper published in *Journal of Structural Geology*. This paper has been peer-reviewed but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Citation for the published paper:

Burchardt, S., Koyi, H. and Schmeling H. Strain pattern within and around denser blocks sinking within Newtonian salt structures, *Journal of Structural Geology*, 2011, 33 (2), 145-153.

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsq.2010.11.007>

Access to the published version may require subscription.

Manuscript Number: SG-D-10-00102R1

Title: Strain pattern within and around denser blocks sinking within Newtonian salt structures

Article Type: Original Research Article

Keywords: salt; anhydrite; deformation; rheology; Gorleben

Corresponding Author: Dr. rer. nat. Steffi Burchardt,

Corresponding Author's Institution: Department of Earth Sciences, University of Uppsala

First Author: Steffi Burchardt

Order of Authors: Steffi Burchardt; Hemin Koyi; Harro Schmeling

Abstract: Blocks of dense material, such as anhydrite, entrained in salt structures have been proposed to sink through their host material. Here, we present the results of numerical models that analyse strain patterns within and around initially horizontal anhydrite blocks (viscosity 1021 Pa s) sinking through Newtonian salt with a viscosity of 1017 Pa s. In addition, the influence of the block aspect ratio (thickness to width ratio; AR) is analysed. The model results show that the blocks are folded and marginally sheared to approach streamlined shapes. The effectiveness of this process is a function of the block AR and influences the sinking velocity of the blocks significantly. Final sinking velocities are in the range of ca. 1.7 to 3.1 mm/a. Around the block in the salt, an array of folds and shear zones develops during block descent, the structure of which is principally the same independent of the block AR. However, the size and development of the structures is a function of the block size. Monitoring of strain magnitudes demonstrates that the salt is subject to extremely high strains with successively changing stress regimes, resulting in closely-spaced zones of high adjacent to low strain. In comparison to the anhydrite blocks, strain magnitudes in the salt are up to one order of magnitude higher.

**Department of Earth Sciences
Solid Earth Geology
Uppsala University**

**UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET**

To the Editors of
Journal of Structural Geology

Dr. Steffi Burchardt
Researcher
Dept. of Earth Sciences
Uppsala University
Villavägen 16, Uppsala
SE-75236, Sweden
Tel: 0046-184712525
Steffi.Burchardt@geo.uu.se
www.geo.uu.se/mp

Uppsala, 26th May 2010

Revision of manuscript SG-D-10-00102 “Strain pattern within and around denser blocks sinking within Newtonian salt”

Dear Robert,

With this letter, we submit a revised version of the manuscript “**Strain pattern within and around denser blocks sinking within Newtonian salt**” (SG-D-10-00102).

We have thoroughly revised the ms, following the suggestions of the reviewers Susan Treagus and Stuart Hardy. In particular, we have focussed on the following points:

- Reviewer #1 suggested rewriting the introduction giving a more informative background on salt and salt tectonics, discarding the comparison with systems with competence contrasts, and focussing more on the aims and significance of the study (see lines 24-67 in the annotated version of the ms).
- Following Reviewer #1's comments, in the modelling section, we justified why rectangular blocks were modelled (lines 71-76), corrected the confusion of cause and effect regarding the density difference and stated the densities used (lines 100-103), and explained the adhesive character of the interface between block and matrix (line 94).
- We clarified and corrected terminology, where necessary (e.g. “deformation” and “strain”, “rheology” and “viscosity” (lines 115-130). In this context, we also omitted the term “dilation”, as suggested by Reviewer #2.
- We disagree with the comment of Reviewer #1 that the array of shear zones and folds is not described in detail. Section 3.2 describes its development and its constituents (with the help of Fig. 6 (formerly Fig. 8), as well as the influence of the block AR.
- As pointed out by Reviewer #1, Mukherjee et al. (2010) was missing from the reference list. We added the reference (lines 658-659).
- We shortened and revised the discussion and added a section on previous modelling of density-driven structures (lines 380-396), as well as one on gravity-driven structures around rising diapirs in nature (428-433), as suggested by Reviewer #1. We have also

removed the paragraph about the discussion if anhydrite blocks sink in salt from the discussion and placed part of it in the introduction (lines 54-57).

- Both reviewers criticised the approach of data processing. As suggested by Reviewer #2, we have used the software SSPX to calculate and plot the strain field of our models. We have replaced the respective figures (former figures 6, 9, and 10) with the strain maps produced with SSPX (new Figs 7 and 8). This also led to considerable tightening of the description of the strain history (Section 3.3, lines 250-270) and to the deletion of the paragraphs the reviewers did not agree with (lines 271-366). We are thankful to Stuart Hardy to suggest using SSPX for data processing. We believe that the new plots are considerably clearer.

For a detailed record of the changes made, we refer you to the word file where the changes are tracked.

The newly added figure 7 should preferably printed in colour. We currently have funding for the colour-print in our 2010 budget, however, i.e., it has to be charged in 2010. Could you please initiate the necessary arrangements, provided that our ms will be accepted?

Hoping that you will find the revised manuscript suitable for publication, we remain,

Yours sincerely,

Steffi Burchardt
Hemin Koyi
Harro Schmeling

Strain pattern within and around denser blocks sinking within Newtonian salt structures

Steffi Burchardt¹, Hemin Koyi¹, Harro Schmeling²

¹ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Uppsala, Villavägen 16, 75236 Uppsala, Sweden;
steffi.Burchardt@geo.uu.se steffi.Burchardt@geo.uu.se

² Faculty of Earth Sciences, J. W. Goethe University, Altenhöferallee 1, 06438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Abstract

Blocks of dense material, such as anhydrite, entrained in salt structures have been proposed to sink through their host material. Here, we present the results of numerical models that analyse strain patterns within and around initially horizontal anhydrite blocks (viscosity 10^{21} Pa s) sinking through Newtonian salt with a viscosity of 10^{17} Pa s. In addition, the influence of the block aspect ratio (thickness to width ratio; AR) is analysed. The model results show that the blocks are folded and marginally sheared to approach streamlined shapes. The effectiveness of this process is a function of the block AR and influences the sinking velocity of the blocks significantly. Final sinking velocities are in the range of ca. 1.7 to 3.1 mm/a. Around the block in the salt, an array of folds and shear zones develops during block descent, the structure of which is principally the same independent of the block AR. However, the size and development of the structures is a function of the block size. Monitoring of strain magnitudes demonstrates that the salt is subject to extremely high strains with successively changing stress regimes, resulting in closely-spaced zones of high adjacent to low strain. In comparison to the anhydrite blocks, strain magnitudes in the salt are up to three-one orders of magnitude higher.

Keywords: salt, anhydrite, deformation, rheology, Gorleben

1. Introduction

Dense inclusions surrounded by a less viscous matrix occur in a variety of geological settings on practically all scales. Examples include anhydrite and limestone layers in salt structures (e.g. Bornemann, 1991), stoped blocks in magma chambers (Clarke et al., 1998), ~~boudins, fragments, or aggregates of more competent material in deformed rocks (e.g. dolomite layers in calcite marble; porphyroclasts and blasts in metamorphic rocks; clasts in deformed clastic sedimentary rocks, e.g. Hickey and Bell, 1999; Treagus and Treagus, 2002),~~ phenocrysts in magma (Arbaret et al., 2000), and country-rock boulders englacial-morain material in glaciers (Talbot and Pohjola, 2009), ~~and even pre-existing plutons in orogenic regions.~~ The contrast in mechanical properties, such as density and viscosity, of these inclusions and their matrix has a strong influence on the strain pattern within the inclusion and ~~in~~ the matrix.

~~In salt structures,~~ Dense inclusions of synsedimentary anhydrite and limestone, as well as of extrusive and intrusive igneous rocks in salt structures are known from many locations worldwide,

~~Layers and blocks of anhydrite entrained in salt are known from~~ e.g. the salt diapirs in the North Sea and the North German Basin (Bornemann, 1991; Schleder et al., 2008), ~~the~~ the Zagros Mountains, Iran (Kent, 1979; Gansser, 1992; Weinberg, 1993), Oman (Peters et al., 2003; Reuning et al., 2009), and

Yemen (Davison et al., 1996a, b). These dense inclusions (or “stringers”) re-synsedimentary layers, such as anhydrite, have in most cases been entrained into salt diapirs during salt ascent (e.g. Jackson, 1990; Chemia and Koyi, 2008). Consequently, they have been subject to folding and boudinage deformation as a result of the complex strain fields inside rising salt (Talbot and Jackson, 1987; Koyi, 2001). Recent investigations focus on the potential of some of these stringers as reservoirs for oil and gas (e.g. Al-Siyabi, 2005) as well as on their impact on the strain history of a salt structure. Following Weinberg’s (1993) hypothesis that dense inclusions in salt may start to sink when the ascent rate of the salt is no longer sufficient to support their weight, Only recently has it been recognised that blocks of anhydrite in rock salt may have a considerable effect on the internal dynamics of salt structures even after salt ascent has ceased, because of their higher density (Koyi, (2001); and Chemia et al., (2009) demonstrated. According to Koyi (2001) and Chemia et al. (2009), the density contrast between anhydrite blocks and the surrounding salt is likely to cause a that gravitationally-driven sinking of the anhydrite slabs, which can reactivate the internal dynamics of a salt diapir, using analogue and numerical modelling. This may have undesired effects on the long-term stability of disposal sites of hazardous waste, a number of which are planned in salt structures. Evidence that recent deformation around anhydrite blocks actually takes place comes from acoustic emissions recorded at the interface between anhydrite blocks and the surrounding rock salt in a German salt diapir (Spies and Eisenblätter, 2001). These acoustic signals may indicate the relative movement between the denser anhydrite blocks and their host salt diapir.

We IN this paper, we present results of numerical models that focus on the strain-deformation produced by the sinking of a dense block through a less viscous matrix, particularly on the resulting structures within and around the block on block-scale. After similar processes have been modelled using both, analogue (Koyi, 2001) and numerical methods (Chemia et al., 2009) on diapir scale, this study analyses deformation at block scale. In order to get a basic understanding of the mechanical interaction of anhydrite blocks of different sizes and the surrounding salt, we analysed the strain associated with the gravity-driven descent of anhydrite blocks of different size through a body of salt representing a diapir. The results of our models should in the future be compared to structures associated with dense blocks in natural salt structures, but yield further implications for different geological settings as well.

2. Model setup and methodological background

2.1 Scaling considerations and model setup

The models are not scaled to any particular case. However, the model setup and the material properties are based on natural examples. Dense inclusions in salt diapirs are in most cases of synsedimentary origin, including intraformational evaporites (e.g. anhydrite as deposited as gypsum), carbonate layers and platforms, synsedimentary psammities, and even contemporaneous volcanic rocks (e.g. Gansser, 1992) with preserved sedimentary or igneous layering. Consequently, they are often characterised by tabular shapes (e.g. Gansser, 1960, 1992; Kent, 1979; Jackson et al., 1990), even though they have usually been deformed during salt ascent. The thickness and width of these inclusions is thus highly variable. A well-studied example of a salt diapir containing dense inclusions is However, the model setup and the material properties are based on natural examples, such as the Gorleben salt diapir in North Germany that served as scaling constraint for our models. This diapir is a 3 km wide and approximately 3 km thick in cross section (NW-SE). The original stratigraphic sequence building the diapir consists mainly of halite and potassium salt of Permian age (Zechstein,

Staßfurt (z2) to Aller (z4) formations; Bornemann, 1991). The so-called Main Anhydrite (z3HA) is a sequence of anhydrite with minor carbonates and a thickness of up to 70 m. During ascent of the salt diapir the Main Anhydrite was entrained within the salt and subject to intense strain that resulted in folding, boudinage, and shearing. Consequently, the Main Anhydrite forms elongate boudins of approximately 100 to more than 1000 m length, partly folded into isoclinal folds together with the surrounding salt (Bornemann, 1991).

The two-dimensional model consists of a ~~two-dimensional~~, 2000 m wide and 4000 m deep rectangular salt structure (Fig. 1). All sides of the model are defined as free-slip boundaries, i.e. displacement along the boundaries is enabled. Since all sides of the model represent symmetry planes ~~and the model is lateral symmetric~~, only half of the model, i.e. a 1000 m wide and 4000 m deep rectangle, was modelled. At a depth of 100 m below the top of the model, a rectangular block with a higher density and viscosity, simulating a denser inclusion (e.g. anhydrite), is placed within the salt. The boundaries between the block and its matrix are adherent. The thickness of the block is 100 m, so that the block bottom is at an initial depth of 200 m. In order to understand the basic processes that control the mechanical interaction between blocks of denser material (anhydrite) and a viscous matrix (salt), we focus on one parameter: the size, and more specifically, the aspect ratio (AR; thickness to width ratio) of the anhydrite block. During ten successive model runs, the width of the block is varied from 100 m to 1000 m (thickness to width AR 1:1 to 1:10 respectively).

The salt is assigned a density of 2200 kg m^{-3} , while the density of anhydrite is defined as 2900 kg m^{-3} , considering a slightly lower density as compared to pure anhydrite (density 3000 kg m^{-3}), e.g. due to minor amounts of limestone. In each model, the block is allowed to sink within the salt structure driven by gravity alone due to the density contrast of 700 kg m^{-3} .

Experimental studies of rock salt subject to high strain rates show that salt rheology can vary from Newtonian to power-law behaviour depending on the interaction of various parameters, such as grain size, strain rate, brine content, the presence of impurities within the salt, and deviatoric stress (e.g. Urai et al., 1986, 2008; van Keeken et al., 1993; Jackson et al., 1994;). However, the rheological behaviour of salt at scales, temperatures, strain rates etc. relevant to natural systems is still not well understood and cannot be extrapolated from experimental results (cf. Urai et al., 1986). Estimations of salt rheology on diapir scale from natural examples conclude that salt may behave as a Newtonian fluid with viscosities in the range of 10^{15} to 10^{21} Pa s (Mukherjee et al., 2010). In our models we therefore assigned the matrix material a linear viscosity of 10^{17} Pa s . We do not consider the influence of temperature, rheological contrasts or structural variations within the salt, i.e. the block sinks through an isotropic and homogeneous matrix of salt.

~~Accordingly, the salt is assigned a density of 2200 kg m^{-3} , while the density of anhydrite is assumed to be 2900 kg m^{-3} , considering a slightly lower density as compared to pure anhydrite, e.g. due to minor amounts of limestone. Viscosity Rheological estimates of rock salt on diapir scale indicate that at strain rates of diapiric ascent, rock salt may behave as a Newtonian viscous fluid with viscosities in the range of 10^{15} to 10^{21} Pa s (Mukherjee et al., 2010 and references therein). In our models we therefore assigned the matrix material a linear viscosity of 10^{17} Pa s . We do not consider the influence of temperature, rheological contrasts or structural variations within the salt, i.e. the block sinks through an isotropic and homogeneous matrix of salt.~~

Despite of a few studies on the rheology of anhydrite under experimental conditions (e.g. Müller and Siemes, 1974; Müller et al., 1981; Zulauf et al., 2009), little is known about the viscosity and deformation behaviour of anhydrite subject to natural strain rates and temperatures. Chemia et al. (2009) assumed a viscosity contrast between anhydrite and the surrounding salt of 10^2 to 10^4 , while Zulauf et al. (2009) estimate it to be on the order of 10^1 in their deformation experiments. We assigned the anhydrite block in our models a linear viscosity of 10^{20} Pa s, which gives rise to a viscosity contrast between the salt and the matrix of 10^3 . This might be realistic considering that the anhydrite in natural salt diapirs, such as Gorleben, contains limestone with a ~~much~~ higher viscosity.

~~In each model, the block is allowed to sink within the salt structure driven by gravity alone due to a density contrast of 700 kg m^{-3} . Accordingly, the salt is assigned a density of 2200 kg m^{-3} , while the density of anhydrite is assumed to be 2900 kg m^{-3} , considering a slightly lower density as compared to pure anhydrite, e.g. due to minor amounts of limestone.~~ Only the first 2000 m of sinking, where the block had reached a steady state, was used for analysis. When the block sinks beyond this depth, it starts to slow down as it “feels” the bottom boundary of the model. We therefore determined 2200 m as the final depth at which no boundary effects from the bottom can be expected.

2.2 Modelling strategy

The equations of conservation of mass, composition, and momentum defining the models were solved using a two-dimensional finite differences code (FDCON; Weinberg and Schmeling, 1992) that uses a stream function formulation by applying Cholesky decomposition of the symmetric matrix. This code characterises the movement of compositional fields by a mesh of marker points that move according to a velocity field described by a fourth-order Runge-Kutta algorithm. In the current models, optimal results were ensured by a grid size of 101 times 401 with 1000 marker points in horizontal direction and 4000 marker points in vertical direction. Furthermore, in the models, materials are assumed to be incompressible, i.e., area changes do not occur, and characterised by a purely viscous, linear rheology so that elasticity is neglected. In addition, all inertial forces are neglected, i.e., creeping flow is assumed.

~~The strain pattern in and around the sinking block is described by the marker field. In addition, 45 marker points were placed at specific positions within the salt host and the anhydrite block to quantify strain during sinking of the block. For this purpose, groups of three marker points (called strain markers) were arranged in a way that allowed calculation of local dilational and shear strains from their relative positions to each other (Fig. 2) and of sinking velocities from their absolute positions. Each strain marker covers a vertical and horizontal distance of 40 m, dimensions that were chosen to characterise the strain representative of a small region of the model, e.g. the central part of the block.~~

Interfaces between block and matrix material characterised by strong compositional contrasts (in this case defined by contrasts in density and viscosity) are resolved by defining effective parameters. This means that, e.g. the effective viscosity at each location along the interface is derived from the weighted sum of the viscosities of each material. For this purpose, the harmonic, arithmetic, or geometric mean of a parameter can be used (Schmeling et al., 2008). For our models, we used the arithmetic mean since it more accurately resolves the interface of the matrix with the more viscous block material. In comparison, the harmonic mean underestimates the density and viscosity of the outer parts of the block.

Strain pattern and magnitudes in and around the sinking block is described by the coordinates of the marker field. The strain field was calculated and plotted with the software SSPX (Cardozo and Allmendinger, 2009), using the grid nearest-neighbour method with a reduced data set of 100,000 grid points.

3. Results

3.1 Strain pattern- and ~~sinking velocity~~magnitudes within of the sinking block

The strain pattern within the anhydrite block is characterised by a sequence of marginal shear, internal folding, and minor marginal erosion. The exact succession, development, and intensity of each type of strain vary as a function of the block AR (Fig. 3). In the model with a square block (Fig. 3a), the strain pattern within the block is characterised by marginal shear at the lateral boundaries, basal extension, and slight horizontal compression along the top boundary. In contrast, the block interior remains comparatively unstrained throughout the sinking process. During sinking, successive lateral shear results in the erosion of the lower block corners and an upward drag of block material along the sides of the block. The final shape of the block is characterised by rounded lower corners with a small amount of accumulated material dragged to the sides, forming appendices (Fig. 4). In comparison, a block with an AR of 1:5 (100 m thick and 500 m wide) is subject to marginal shear along the lateral boundaries that results in a slight rounding of the lower block corners (Fig. 3b). At the same time viscous drag exerted by salt flow around the block causes horizontal extension of the lower block boundary and horizontal compression of the upper block boundary that leads to bending of the entire block during its sinking. During bending, the lateral block margins are continuously sheared. The final shape of the block, when it has descended 2000 m, is an open fold (interlimb angle 115°; Figs. 4 and 5). In comparison, a block with an AR of 1:10 is almost immediately folded after less than 100 m descent within the salt (Fig. 3c). Marginal shear of the block occurs only in the initial stages of sinking. Successive folding results in a horse-shoe shape with the limbs pointing towards each other, enclosing an angle of 10° at 2200 m depth (Fig. 5). Small appendices at the original lower block corners represent the effects of incipient lateral shear of the block sides.

The complete series of models shows that increasing the block AR results in a reduced intensity of marginal shear and erosion of the lower corners (Figs. 1 and 3). Instead, the block is subject to increasingly effective folding accompanied by increasing internal strain. For the viscosity contrasts used in the models, blocks with a low AR (1:1 to approximately 1:4) are less able to accommodate strain during sinking by folding. Hence, their horizontal orientation governs the strain pattern throughout their descent, which results in successive shear of the lateral block margins. In contrast, blocks with higher ARs ($\geq 1:5$) accommodate strain during sinking by folding and thus approach a streamlined shape (Fig. 4). This is also evident from the interlimb angle of the resultant folds that decreases continuously to result in isoclinal folds at block ARs of 1:9 and 1:10 (Fig. 5a). The same applies to the relative wave amplitude of the folds (Fig. 5b) that increases with block AR and the relative wave length (Fig. 5c) that decreases with increasing block AR.

~~These differences in the strain pattern of the blocks are also reflected in the strain magnitudes within the blocks. The cumulative horizontal and vertical dilational strain in the central part of the block in the different model runs shows systematic variations (Fig. 6). Within the block, there is a general increase of horizontal shortening during descent with increasing block AR. In vertical direction, an~~

initial phase of vertical extension is followed by shortening (Fig. 6b). There the strongest vertical extension (1.3%), as well as the strongest vertical shortening (2.8%), is observed in the block with the highest AR. In contrast, the block with an AR of 1:1 experiences a maximum vertical shortening of 0.03%. The total horizontal shortening in the centre of the block ranges from 0.5% in the model with a block AR of 1:1 to 7.0% in the model with an AR of 1:10. There is an overall increase in magnitude of cumulative shear strain in the centre of the block during its sinking (Fig. 6a). A higher AR coincides with a higher shear strain. The final shear strain is generally low and ranges between 0.07 in the model with a block AR of 1:1 to 0.10 in the model with an AR of 1:10.

The sinking velocity of the blocks was determined using the position of a single marker point in the block centre, 30 m above the lower block margin. The velocity profiles of the blocks (Fig. 7a) show that, at low ARs (1:1 to 1:4) after an initial acceleration phase the velocity only increases slightly to approach a constant velocity. The block with an AR of 1:1 has the lowest final velocity (1.68 mm/a), while the blocks with an AR of 1:4 and 1:5 reach the highest final velocities (3.05 and 3.07 mm/a; Fig. 7b). In contrast, at higher block ARs, an initial phase of low acceleration that probably coincides with the early phase of folding of the block is followed by a constant increase in velocity. The final velocities decrease with increasing block AR. The block with an AR of 1:10 reaches a final velocity of 2.80 mm/a. The velocity profiles are therefore not only a function of the increasing mass of the blocks. In order to test the effect of gravity on the sinking velocity, we ran a test model with a block of the same mass as the block with an AR of 1:10 but the same size and shape as the block with an AR of 1:1. The velocity profile of the block in this model is similar in shape to the profile of the block with an AR of 1:1, but with a much steeper slope (Fig. 7a) and an order of magnitude higher final velocity (64.41 mm/a). Hence, the aspect ratio, and thus the deformation path, of the block have a significant influence on its velocity pattern.

3.2 Strain pattern in the salt and magnitudes around the sinking block

The strain pattern within the salt during block descent shows that the salt below the block is vertically shortened and displaced sideways and upwards relative to the block (Fig. 8). The salt directly above the block is dragged downward together with the block within a narrow entrainment channel. This induces an inward and downward flow of the salt above the block. At the same time marginal synclines start to develop next to the block. In combination with the outward and upward flow of salt from below the block, this results in the formation of a marginal anticline adjacent to the syncline. During successive sinking, the downward movement of the block cannot be sufficiently compensated by folding of the salt. Consequently, zones of intense shear strain develop adjacent to the sides of the descending block. Additional shear zones form between the entrainment channel and the inward-flowing salt above the block caused by the much faster flow of material in the entrainment channel.

The structural characteristics of the strain pattern with folds and shear zones around the sinking blocks are the same for all blocks independent of their AR (Fig. 8). However, increasing the block AR causes the surrounding salt to be affected at a larger distance from the block. In addition, the entrainment channel above the block is considerably wider for blocks with a higher AR (Figs. 8 and A1). In the models with the higher block ARs (1:6 to 1:10), the area above the block between the entrainment channel and the marginal shear zone is characterised by an intense small-scale folding

of the salt that develops from the successive deformation and displacement of the earlier-formed marginal folds.

3.3 Strain magnitudes

~~To analyse~~ A comparison of strain magnitudes and orientations within around the sinking blocks within the salt, shows that in general, the blocks are characterised by low strains that only increase slightly with increasing block AR (Figs. 7 and 8). Salt deformation during the sinking of the block is characterised by high strain (approximately one order of magnitude higher than in the block?? ~~DO YOU MEAN IN THE BLOCK?~~), preferably concentrated mainly above the block in the entrainment channel and around the lateral ends of the block. In both locations, strong vertical elongation ~~of within~~ within the salt occurs (Fig. 8). Domains of high strain are not evenly distributed around the sinking block. ~~S Instead, the~~ strain magnitude decreases rapidly away from the block in a pattern that mirrors the shear zones (highest strain magnitudes) and folds (high to intermediate strain magnitudes) described above (Fig. 6). Below the blocks with ARs >1, a strain shadow with a thickness of approximately 50 to 100% of the block thickness occurs. Below the strain shadow, the salt is vertically shortened and sheared (Figs. 7 and 8) as a result of salt flow from below the block to the sides (Fig. 6).

Extensional strain magnitudes in the salt ~~also is dependent on the block AR~~. Compared to the model with a block AR of 1:10, the maximum extensional strain ~~i-n~~ in the model with a block AR of 1:1 is ~~it one~~ order of magnitude lower (Fig. 7) while shear strain is approximately half (Fig. 8) ~~when comparing the model with~~ compared with. While the distribution of high-strain zones in the salt is not a function of the block AR, ~~the models show that~~ the areal extent of strain around the block is strongly influenced by the block AR, i.e., that the area in the salt affected by the sinking block increases with increasing block AR ~~((IN WHICH WAY?))~~.

4. we placed strain markers above and below the block in the salt (Fig. 1). At a distance of 20 to 60 m above the block centre where the entrainment channel develops, sinking of the block causes an initial phase of strong horizontal shortening of the salt, followed by slight shortening during the last 1500 m of sinking in those models with a block AR of 1:4 and greater. The maximum horizontal shortening in these models ranges from 89% (AR 1:8) to 95% (AR 1:5). In the models with a block AR of 1:3 and lower, the maximum horizontal dilation increases with AR from 61% (AR 1:1) to 91% (AR 1:3). However, in these models initial horizontal shortening is replaced by horizontal elongation. The latter phase gives rise to an overall horizontal elongation of 10% for the model with a block AR of 1:1 (Fig. 9a). The cumulative vertical dilational strain patterns reflect the development of the entrainment channel by showing a gradual increase in vertical stretching above the block centre in the salt with extremely high magnitudes. The highest magnitude of vertical stretching (3803%) occurs in the model with a block AR of 1:1. The models with a higher block AR show a similar pattern but the magnitudes of strain decrease with increasing block AR, so that the maximum vertical elongation in the salt above the block approaches values between 461% (AR 1:10) and 304% (AR 1:7; (Fig. 9a)). This trend might reflect the widening of the entrainment channel with increasing block AR. The shear strain in the salt above the block centre increases first slowly and later more strongly for those models with a block AR of 1:4 and lower to a maximum of 19.87 (AR 1:2). In contrast, shear strain magnitudes above the block in the models

with block ARs of 1:5 are low and in the range of 0.40 (AR 1:5) to 0.02 (AR 1:10; (Fig. 9a), which is probably also an effect of the greater width of the entrainment channel in these models.

5. Strain magnitudes monitored 40 to 80 m below the block in the salt (Fig. 9b) show a gradual increase in horizontal stretching during sinking with comparatively high final values in the blocks with the lowest AR (480% in the model with a block AR of 1:1). At the same time vertical shortening occurs with final magnitudes between 55% in the model with a block AR of 1:5 and 93% in the model with a block AR of 1:1. Shear strains are low with a maximum of 0.44 (AR 1:2), except for the model with a block AR of 1:1, where high shear strain occurs below the block (up to 27.69).

6. Strain monitoring in the salt at a depth of 40 to 80 m, at 500 m distance from the lateral model boundary reflects the inward and downward flow of material, even though the initial distance of the block to the strain marker varies with the block AR (Fig. 10a). In general, sinking of the blocks with low AR induces horizontal elongation followed by shortening. The duration and magnitude of these strain phases depend on the block AR. The block with the lowest AR (1:1) causes a prolonged phase of horizontal elongation with comparatively low magnitude (max. 25% at a depth of 533 m) that changes to a final horizontal shortening of ca. 6%. In contrast, the block with an AR of 1:7 causes a very short initial phase of horizontal shortening with a maximum magnitude of 50% at this location in the salt at a block depth of 244 m. This phase is then followed by horizontal elongation with a maximum magnitude of 540% at the final block depth of 2200 m (Fig. 10a). With increasing block AR, the duration of the initial elongation phase decreases so that at a block AR of 1:9 and 1:10, block sinking causes an immediate onset of horizontal shortening. In contrast to the models with block ARs of 1:4 and lower, a third deformation phase occurs that is characterised by horizontal elongation for those models with higher block ARs. The magnitude of this horizontal elongation increases for block ARs of 1:5 to 1:8 to a maximum value of 900%, then decrease for block ARs of 1:9 and 1:10. The vertical dilational strain magnitudes at the monitored point above the side of the block show an early phase of slight vertical shortening followed by vertical elongation with magnitudes increasing with increasing block AR for ARs of 1:1 to 1:8. The maximum vertical elongation in these models is 1828%. In the models with the highest block ARs (1:9 and 1:10), however, an initial phase of vertical elongation of up to 452% (AR 1:10 at a block depth of 530 m) is followed by slight vertical shortening so that the final vertical elongation is 386% and 241% for block ARs of 1:9 and 1:10, respectively. Shear strain magnitudes determined in the salt above the side of the block (Fig. 10a) vary significantly between 0.07 in the model with a block AR of 1:1 and 54 in the model with a block AR of 1:7. The maximum shear-strain magnitudes increase with increasing block ARs up to an AR of 1:7; towards higher block ARs shear-strain magnitudes decrease again. The strain magnitudes monitored in the salt above the block at 500 m distance to the lateral model boundary therefore reflect the inward and downward flow of material towards the entrainment channel. That the highest strain magnitudes generally occur in models with an intermediate block AR and that the strain pattern in those models with the highest block ARs deviates from the general trend might be an effect of the decreasing distance of the strain marker relative to the block with increasing block AR.

7. In comparison, strain magnitudes monitored at a depth of 240 to 280 m and a distance of 500 m from the lateral model boundaries reflect the outward flow of salt around the block and the entrainment into different structural domains as a function of the initial distance of the strain marker from the block. This is evident from the strain-magnitude pattern during sinking that shows variations according to the block AR. The models with block AR from 1:1 to 1:4 show an

overall horizontal elongation during sinking (up to 60% in the model with a block AR of 1:3; Fig. 10b). Models with intermediate block AR of 1:5 to 1:7 show an initial phase of horizontal shortening (up to 41% at a depth of the block of 347 m in the model with a block AR of 1:7), followed by a more pronounced phase of horizontal elongation (up to 616% in the model with a block AR of 1:6; Fig. 10b). Blocks with an AR of 1:8 and higher cause an initial phase of horizontal elongation (up to 156% at a depth of 534 m in the model with a block AR of 1:10) followed by horizontal shortening that results in final horizontal shortening down to 22% (AR 1:9; Fig. 10b). The magnitudes of vertical dilational strain are similar in their variability, giving rise to slight vertical shortening in the models with low-AR blocks (up to 14%; AR 1:3), while blocks with intermediate ARs produce an initial phase of vertical elongation (up to 66% at a depth of 370 m; AR 1:7) followed by a phase of vertical shortening (up to 15% at 640 m depth of the block; AR 1:6) and a third phase of pronounced vertical elongation (up to 251%; AR 1:7). High-AR blocks produce an initial phase of vertical shortening (up to 54% at a depth of the block of 526 m; AR 1:9), followed by a phase of vertical elongation (up to 308%; AR 1:10; Fig. 10b). Shear strain magnitudes at the location of the strain marker also vary as a function of block AR. While blocks with low AR produce low shear strains, intermediate AR blocks produce high shear strains (up to 27; AR 1:7; Fig. 10b). This variability in strain magnitudes at the location of the strain marker reflects the entrainment of material into different structural domains as a function of their initial distance to the block (as a function of block AR). This can produce a complex strain history of the matrix material, changing from elongation to shortening and back to elongation.

8. A comparison of strain magnitudes above and below the sinking blocks (Figs. 9 and 10) reflects the nature of strain in the different structural domains surrounding the block (Fig. 8). Above the blocks, strain is dominated by vertical elongation as a result of the formation of the entrainment channel (Fig. 9a), while below the blocks, pronounced vertical shortening occurs (Fig. 9b) due to frontal compression (Fig. 8). Furthermore, in comparison, the blocks with AR of 1:1 to 1:4 produce considerably higher amounts of shear strain in the salt above the block due to the smaller width of the entrainment channel. Additionally, in these models, higher magnitudes of horizontal elongation (Fig. 9b) reflect the outward flow of salt that is originally located below the block. The strain history of the matrix material at some lateral distance above and below the block is complex and multi-phase, depending on the block AR. This reflects the existence of contrasting structural environments surrounding the sinking blocks. The flow of salt around a sinking block can thus result in the formation of manifold structures with different stress regimes distributed over a comparatively small area.

9.4. Discussion

Our models show that ~~Since~~ the gravitational sinking of dense blocks or layer fragments ~~of anhydrite~~ through a ~~linear~~**Newtonian** viscous medium (salt) causes severe deformation of the block and the matrix. This supports earlier experimental and numerical results by ~~has been identified to occur under analogue experimental conditions (Koyi, (2001); it has stimulated a debate about the potential thread entrained blocks of anhydrite might represent as regards the stability of disposal sites for hazardous waste (e.g. and~~ Chemia et al., (2008, 2009) ~~who modelled the entrainment and sinking of dense inclusions at diapiric scale using both Newtonian and power-law~~ **material**~~salt rheologies~~ **properties**.

Evidence that recent deformation around anhydrite blocks actually takes place comes from acoustic emissions recorded at the interface between anhydrite blocks and the surrounding rock salt in a

German salt diapir (Spies and Eisenblätter, 2001). On block scale, our models give a detailed account of the deformation history of the sinking block that is characterised by folding and shearing ~~((NOT CLEAR!!!!))~~. According to Cruden (1990), the internal deformation of a gravity-driven viscous sphere is controlled by the viscosity contrast with the ambient material. Our models demonstrate that the internal deformation is also a function of the shape of the block. Initially horizontal blocks show more intense deformation at higher ~~THE~~ block ARs (Fig. 4). This has significant influence on the sinking velocity of the block, which is no longer a pure function of the block mass but strongly dependent on the ongoing deformation of the block that can considerably slow down the sinking rate (Fig. 7). Since our models are two-dimensional, the occurring strain is by definition plane strain. The three-dimensional nature of natural systems might result in different strain patterns though. In this respect, the calculations by Schmeling et al. (1988) demonstrate that around a rising (or falling) spherical body, the strain ellipsoid is oblate with the short axis pointing radially away from the rising body. In our models, equivalent results are observed (Fig. 8), except that the b-axis of the strain ellipsoid equals 1.

The salt surrounding the sinking block in our models accommodates high strain by the formation of a characteristic array of folds and shear zones, including a narrow channel of extreme stretching above the sinking block (Fig. 8). These structures are in accordance with numerical and experimental findings of Schmeling et al. (1988) and Cruden (1990) about the gravity-driven sinking of rigid and fluid spheres.

Natural systems are more complex and subject to a variety of parameters, the details of which cannot be accounted for in static numerical models. Apart from structural and compositional heterogeneities in the salt, complexities in natural systems may be caused by changes e.g. in the strain rate. Urai (pers. comm. 2010; cf. Desbois et al., 2010) suggested that internal deformation in salt bodies may cease at low strain rates as a result of grain-boundary healing. Strain hardening associated with this process might be able to stabilise dense blocks within the salt. Evidence for this process might be the presence of anhydrite blocks in the highest levels of salt structures in the North Sea Basin that have been stable in this position for 60 Ma. Another unknown parameter as regards salt rheology and its response to the gravitational force exerted by denser blocks is the water content of both anhydrite and salt. Water in salt present as fluid inclusions or films along grain boundaries has a substantial effect on the rheological behaviour of the salt (Urai et al., 1986). On the other hand, water within the anhydrite and limestone might cause hydraulic fracturing of the anhydrite blocks causing them to behave much more brittle even at low strain rates (cf. Zulauf, 2009~~10~~). In addition, water squeezed out of the anhydrite by deformation of the anhydrite might even fracture salt (Davison, 2009) or considerably weaken the interface between the block and the matrix so that the blocks might sink much faster (Leiss pers. comm. 2010), a process that has been identified to be of major significance e.g. during shear deformation (Ildefonse and Mancktelow, 1993). Our model results therefore show strain patterns and magnitudes under relatively simplified homogeneous conditions. To model strain applied to deformation associated with natural examples of anhydrite blocks in salt bodies, a more detailed understanding of the rheological input parameters is required.

Even though detailed studies of deformation structures around dense inclusions in salt structures are extremely scarce, structures analogue to those produced in our models occur around natural gravity-driven structures in a variety of other geological settings. ~~In general, the presented model results can be rescaled to other geological scenarios involving different dimensions, density contrasts, or~~

viscosities. This can be achieved by multiplication of the length scale, velocity, and time by particular scaling factors. The strains will be the same for the same non-dimensional (relative) sinking distances, as long as the viscosity contrast is the same.

The presented model results can be rescaled to other geological scenarios involving different dimensions, density contrasts, or viscosities. This can be achieved by multiplication of the length scale, velocity, and time by particular scaling factors. The strains will be the same for the same non-dimensional (relative) sinking distances, as long as the viscosity contrast is the same.

In general, diapiric structures, such as salt diapirs and plutons emplaced by diapirism are encased by high-strain aureoles characterised by the occurrence of ductile shear zones and/or brittle fault zones. Even though our models neglect temperature effects as those occurring around hot diapirs, similar highly strained zones also flank the blocks in our models. Furthermore, the rim synclines encircling natural diapirs correspond to the marginal anticlines that flank the sinking blocks in our models, while the tails of diapirs are equivalent to the entrainment channel (Fig. 8).

On a smaller scale, similar structures should be expected to occur in association with the development of a magmatic fabric in crystallising magmas. This system can, as a first approximation, be described as a dynamic suspension of rigid particles, the crystals, in an initially Newtonian matrix, the melt (Kerr and Lister, 1991; Arbaret et al., 2000). The settling or rise of the crystals in the melt generally follows Stokes equation (Stokes, 1851; Martin and Nokes, 1988), but also depends on the shape of the crystals (Kerr and Lister, 1991) and the fraction of crystals in the system (e.g. Arbaret et al., 2000). However, since the viscosity contrast between melt and crystals is generally higher (in the range of several orders of magnitude) than in our models, strain within the settling crystals is much lower, while strain patterns produced by matrix flow around the settling crystals are in most cases not preserved.

In analogy, fragments of country rocks detached from the roof and walls of plutons by magmatic stoping should produce structures similar to those in the presented models. Stopped blocks sink through the magma driven by their higher density and may disturb a pluton's internal magmatic fabric, depending on the timing of sinking relative to the solidification of the pluton and the viscosity of the magma (Fowler and Paterson, 1997; Clarke et al., 1998). As regards the deformation of rock fragments entrained within magma, the effective viscosity of both, fragments and melt, as well as the viscosity contrast, has to be considered to draw conclusions from our model results. A viscosity contrast probably similar to the one in our models would explain deformation of autoliths in sheet-like magmatic intrusions (Correa-Gomes et al., 2001). Further implications of the model results arise from the existence of dense inclusions within a less viscous matrix material in a variety of other geological scenarios, e.g. the development of a magmatic fabric in crystallising magmas. This system can, as a first approximation, be described as a dynamic suspension of rigid particles, the crystals, in an initially Newtonian matrix, the melt (Kerr and Lister, 1991; Arbaret et al., 2000). The settling or rise of the crystals in the melt generally follows Stokes equation (Stokes, 1851; Martin and Nokes, 1988), but also depends on the shape of the crystals (Kerr and Lister, 1991) and the fraction of crystals in the system (e.g. Arbaret et al., 2000). In general, sinking crystal laths can be expected to produce strain patterns in the matrix similar to those in our models. However, since the viscosity contrast between melt and crystals is generally higher (in the range of several orders of magnitude)

than in our models, strain within the settling crystals is much lower, while strain patterns produced by matrix flow around the settling crystals are in most cases not preserved.

On a larger scale, our model results yield implications for geological systems characterised by fragments of country rocks detached from the roof and walls of plutons by magmatic stoping. These blocks sink through the magma driven by their higher density and may disturb the pluton's internal magmatic fabric, depending on the timing of sinking relative to the solidification of the pluton and the viscosity of the magma (Fowler and Paterson, 1997). Even though thermal and chemical interaction between blocks and magma must be considered in these systems, our model results demonstrate how sinking blocks of host rock might disturb the magmatic fabric at a scale of several block radii. This might be used to determine the timing and nature of magmatic fabrics around the blocks (cf. Fowler and Paterson, 1997; Clarke et al., 1998). As regards the deformation of rock fragments entrained within magma, the effective viscosity of both, fragments and melt, as well as the viscosity contrast, has to be considered to draw conclusions from our model results. A viscosity contrast probably similar to the one on our models would explain deformation of autoliths in sheet-like magmatic intrusions (Correa-Gomes et al., 2001). Since the models are two-dimensional, the occurring strain is by definition plane strain. The three-dimensional nature of natural systems might result in different strain patterns. In this respect, Schmeling et al. (1988) investigated the finite strain inside and around rising diapirs and emphasised that around a rising (or falling) spherical body, the strain ellipsoid is oblate with the short axis pointing radially away from the rising body. In our models, equivalent results are expected, except that the b-axis of the strain ellipsoid equals 1.

This deformation might be a result of deviatoric stresses caused by the excavation of mines in the vicinity of the anhydrite blocks (Spies and Eisenblätter, 2001). However, since measurements at sufficient distance from cavities are unavailable, the results by Spies and Eisenblätter (2001) do not disprove that active deformation takes place around anhydrite blocks in salt structures. The presence of anhydrite blocks at high levels in 60 Ma old salt structures of the North Sea Basin indicates that in these structures, anhydrite blocks have not sunken to the base of the salt despite of their high density (Urai pers. comm., 2010).

However, to answer the question if blocks of anhydrite actually sink through natural salt structures is beyond the scope of this study. Instead, the aim was to assess the deformation of Newtonian salt associated with sinking anhydrite blocks of different size (more specifically, AR).

Nevertheless, the presented model results demonstrate that dense blocks with properties similar to those of anhydrite do indeed sink through a linear viscous matrix material, at least under the experimental conditions defined in our models. However, our models confirm the results of Chemia et al. (2008, 2009) who modelled similar systems using power-law salt.

In addition, our model results show that strain magnitudes within the salt are in general much higher (in the range of one to three orders of magnitude) than within the blocks. This indicates that strain is accommodated by the more viscous material. Within the salt, strain is not evenly distributed, neither does it decrease linearly away from the block. Instead, complex strain patterns are produced in the salt during the descent of the block.

These patterns consist of an array of folds and shear zones, the development and scale of which depend on the block AR. The determination of strain magnitudes demonstrates that the sinking of a

block causes the formation of closely-spaced zones of low and high strains and that the salt around a sinking block experiences a complex strain history characterised by successively changing stress regimes. Sinking of dense blocks in nature should therefore be evident from the occurrence of similar structures in the vicinity of denser blocks, the observation of which might be limited by the outcrop conditions along the walls of salt mines.

~~A further verification of the model results as regards natural examples requires detailed knowledge of the rheology of both salt and anhydrite. Experimental studies of rock salt subject to high strain rates show that salt rheology can vary from Newtonian to power-law behaviour depending on the interaction of various parameters, such as grain size, strain rate, brine content, the presence of impurities within the salt, and deviatoric stress (e.g. Urai et al., 1986, 2008; van Keeken et al., 1993; Jackson et al., 1994;). However, the rheological behaviour of salt at scales, temperatures, strain rates etc. relevant to natural systems is still not well understood and cannot be extrapolated from experimental results (cf. Urai et al., 1986). Estimations of salt rheology on diapir scale from natural examples conclude that salt may behave as a Newtonian fluid (Mukherjee et al., 2010). In addition, the rheology of anhydrite is even less well-known even at experimental conditions (Müller and Siemes, 1974; Müller et al., 1981; Zulauf et al., 2009). Hence, modelling of blocks of anhydrite sinking through rock salt has to be based on assumptions of rheological parameters that have not yet been confirmed for natural systems.~~

~~Natural systems are more complex and subject to a variety of parameters, the details of which cannot be accounted for in static numerical models. Apart from structural and compositional heterogeneities in the salt, complexities in natural systems may be caused by changes e.g. in the strain rate. Urai (pers. comm. 2010; cf. Desbois et al., 2010) suggested that internal deformation in salt bodies may cease at low strain rates as a result of grain boundary healing. Strain hardening associated with this process might be able to stabilise dense blocks within the salt. Evidence for this process might be the presence of anhydrite blocks in the highest levels of salt structures in the North Sea Basin that have been stable in this position for 60 Ma. Another unknown parameter as regards salt rheology and its response to the gravitational force exerted by denser blocks is the water content of both anhydrite and salt. Water in salt present as fluid inclusions or films along grain boundaries has a substantial effect on the rheological behaviour of the salt (Urai et al., 1986). On the other hand, water within the anhydrite and limestone might cause hydraulic fracturing of the anhydrite blocks causing them to behave much more brittle even at low strain rates (cf. Zulauf, 2010). In addition, water squeezed out of the anhydrite by deformation of the anhydrite might even fracture salt or considerably weaken the interface between the block and the matrix so that the blocks might sink much faster (Leiss pers. comm. 2010), a process that has been identified to be of major significance e.g. during shear deformation (Ildefonse and Mancktelow, 1993). Our model results therefore show strain patterns and magnitudes under relatively simplified homogeneous conditions. To model strain applied to natural examples, a more detailed understanding of the rheological input parameters is required.~~

~~In general, the presented model results can be rescaled to other geological scenarios involving different dimensions, density contrasts, or viscosities. This can be achieved by multiplication of the length scale, velocity, and time by particular scaling factors. The strains will be the same for the same non-dimensional (relative) sinking distances, as long as the viscosity contrast is the same.~~

Since the models are two-dimensional, the occurring strain is by definition plane strain. The three-dimensional nature of natural systems might result in different strain patterns. In this respect, Schmeling et al. (1988) investigated the finite strain inside and around rising diapirs and emphasised that around a rising (or falling) spherical body, the strain ellipsoid is oblate with the short axis pointing radially away from the rising body. In our models, equivalent results are expected, except that the b-axis of the strain ellipsoid equals 1.

Further implications of the model results arise from the existence of dense inclusions within a less viscous matrix material in a variety of other geological scenarios, e.g. the development of a magmatic fabric in crystallising magmas. This system can, as a first approximation, be described as a dynamic suspension of rigid particles, the crystals, in an initially Newtonian matrix, the melt (Kerr and Lister, 1991; Arbaret et al., 2000). The settling or rise of the crystals in the melt generally follows Stokes equation (Stokes, 1851; Martin and Nokes, 1988), but also depends on the shape of the crystals (Kerr and Lister, 1991) and the fraction of crystals in the system (e.g. Arbaret et al., 2000). In general, sinking crystal laths can be expected to produce strain patterns in the matrix similar to those on our models. However, since the viscosity contrast between melt and crystals is generally higher (in the range of several orders of magnitude) than in our models, strain within the settling crystals is much lower, while strain patterns produced by matrix flow around the settling crystals are in most cases not preserved.

On a larger scale, our model results yield implications for geological systems characterised by fragments of country rocks detached from the roof and walls of plutons by magmatic stoping. These blocks sink through the magma driven by their higher density and may disturb the pluton's internal magmatic fabric, depending on the timing of sinking relative to the solidification of the pluton and the viscosity of the magma (Fowler and Paterson, 1997). Even though thermal and chemical interaction between blocks and magma must be considered in these systems, our model results demonstrate how sinking blocks of host rock might disturb the magmatic fabric at a scale of several block radii. This might be used to determine the timing and nature of magmatic fabrics around the blocks (cf. Fowler and Paterson, 1997; Clarke et al., 1998). As regards the deformation of rock fragments entrained within magma, the effective viscosity of both, fragments and melt, as well as the viscosity contrast, has to be considered to draw conclusions from our model results. A viscosity contrast probably similar to the one on our models would explain deformation of autoliths in sheet-like magmatic intrusions (Correa-Gomes et al., 2001).

10.5. Conclusions

Our models demonstrate that, using the defined material parameters, the gravitational force of a dense block exerted on the surrounding viscous matrix results in the block sinking through the matrix material. This process is accompanied by considerable strain, particularly around the block in the salt, that results in the formation of characteristic strain patterns. The block is sheared, folded, and marginally eroded to approach a streamlined shape. Around the block, an array of folds and shear zones develops in the salt, characterised by zones of high adjacent to low strains.

The main focus of our models was the influence of the thickness-to-width ratio (AR) of blocks within the range that occurs in natural salt bodies containing boudins of anhydrite. The model results demonstrate that the AR has considerable impact on the nature and magnitude of strain within and around the block, as well as on the sinking velocity of the block. A greater width of the block results

in higher internal strain, evident from a more pronounced folding. The initial block AR and the efficiency of folding have a strong influence on the sinking velocity of the block that is even stronger than the effect of an increased mass with increasing block size (cf. Fig. 7). Final sinking velocities range of ca. 1.7 to 3.1 mm/a.

Strain is not homogeneously distributed throughout the ~~matrix salt~~; the highest strains occur above and along the lateral ends of the block. neither does it decrease linearly away from the block. ~~Furthermore, o~~ Our models show the development of characteristic structural domains around the sinking blocks, independent of their AR. These domains develop due to salt flow in response of the gravitational sinking of the block and include folds and shear zones in closely-spaced arrays with extreme contrasts in strain magnitudes. The block AR only accounts for the areal extent of these deformation zones in the salt, with larger areas affected by larger blocks (higher AR), and the development of these zones.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Zurab Chemia and Nestor Cardozo for help with data processing and to the members of the salt workshop at the TSK13 conference in Frankfurt for feedback and stimulating discussions. We also thank Susan Treagus and Stuart Hardy for suggesting to using the SSPX code for strain visualization ~~visualisation~~ and him and Susan Treagus for thoughtful reviews. This project was funded by the Swedish Research Council (VR).

Susan Treagus, Stuart Hardy

Faramarz

References

- Al-Siyabi, H. A., 2005. Exploration history of the Ara intrasalt carbonate stringers in the South Oman Salt Basin. GeoArabia 10, 39-72.
- Arbaret, L., Fernandez, A., Jezek, J., Ildefonse, B., Launeau, P., Diot, H., 2000. Analogue and numerical modelling of shape fabrics: application to strain and flow determination in magmas. Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences 90, 97-109.
- Bornemann, O., 1991. Zur Geologie des Salzstocks Gorleben nach Bohrerergebnissen. Hannover, Germany, Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz Schriften, Saltgitter 4, 67.
- Cardozo, N., Allmendinger, R. W., 2009. SSPX: A program to compute strain from displacement/velocity data. Computers & Geosciences 35, 1343-1357.
- Chemia, Koyi, H., Schmeling, H., 2008. Numerical modelling of rise and fall of dense layers in salt diapirs. Geophysical Journal International 172, 798-816.
- Chemia, Z., Schmeling, H., Koyi, H., 2009. The effect of the salt viscosity on future evolution of the Gorleben salt diapir, Germany. Tectonophysics 473, 446-456.
- Clarke, D. B., Henry, A. S., White, M. A., 1998. Exploding xenoliths and the absence of 'elephants' graveyards' in granite batholiths. Journal of Structural Geology 20, 1325-1343.

Correa-Gomes, L. C., Souza Filho, C. R., Martins, C. J. F. N., Oliveira, E. P., 2001. Development of symmetrical and asymmetrical fabrics in sheet-like igneous bodies: the role of magma flow and wall-rock displacements in theoretical and natural examples. *Journal of Structural Geology* 23, 1415-1428.

Cruden, A. R., 1990. Flow and fabric development during the diapiric rise of magma. *The Journal of Geology* 98, 681-698.

Davison, I., 2009. Faulting and fluid flow through salt. *Journal of the Geological Society, London* 166, 1-12.

Davison, I., Alsop, I., Blundell, D., 1996a. Salt tectonics: some aspects of deformation mechanics. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* 100, 1-10.

Davison, I., Bosence, D., Alsop, G. I., Al-Aawah, M. H., 1996b. Deformation and sedimentation around active Miocene salt diapirs on the Tihama Plain, northwest Yemen. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* 100, 23-39.

Desbois, G., Závada, P., Schlöder, Z., Urai, J., 2010. Deformation and recrystallisation mechanisms in actively extruding salt fountain: Microstructural evidence for a switch in deformation mechanisms with increased availability of meteoric water and decreased grain size (Qum Kuh, central Iran). *Journal of Structural Geology* 32, 580-594.

Fowler, T. K., Paterson, S. R., 1997. Timing and nature of magmatic fabrics from structural relations around stoped blocks. *Journal of Structural Geology* 19, 209-224.

Gansser, A., 1960. Über Schlammvulkane und Salzdome. *Vierteljahrsschrift der Naturforschergesellschaft Zürich* 105, 1-46.

Gansser, A., 1992. The enigma of the Persian salt-dome inclusions. *Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae* 85, 825-846.

Ildefonse, B., Mancktelow, N. S., 1993. Deformation around rigid particles: the influence of slip at the particle/matrix interface. *Tectonophysics* 221, 345-359.

Jackson, M. P. A., Cornelius, R. R., Craig, C. H., Gansser, A., Stocklin, J., Talbot, C. J., 1990. Salt diapirs of the Great Kavir, Central Iran. *Geological Society of America Memoirs* 177, 139 pp.

Jackson, M. P. A., Vendeville, B. C., Schultz-Ela, D. D., 1994. Structural dynamics of salt systems. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 22, 93-117.

Kent, P. E., 1979. The emergent Hormuz salt plugs of southern Iran. *Journal of Petrological Geology* 2, 117-144.

Kerr, R. C., Lister, J. R., 1991. The effects of shape on crystal settling and on the rheology of magmas. *The Journal of Geology* 99, 457-467.

Koyi, H., 2001. Modeling the influence of sinking anhydrite blocks on salt diapirs targeted for hazardous waste disposal. *Geology* 29, 387-390.

[Martin, D., Nokes, R., 1988. Crystal settling in a vigorously convecting magma chamber. *Nature* 332, 534-536.](#)

[Mukherjee, S., Talbot, C. J., Koyi, H. A., 2010. Viscosity estimates of salt in the Hormuz and Namakdan salt diapirs, Persian Gulf. *Geological Magazine* 147, 497-507.](#)

[Müller, P., Siemes, H., 1074. Festigkeit, Verformbarkeit und Gefügeregelung von Anhydrit – Experimentelle Stauchverformung unter Manteldrucken bis 5 kbar bei Temperaturen bis 300°C. *Tectonophysics* 23, 105-127.](#)

[Müller, W. H., Schmid, S. M., Briegel, U., 1981. Deformation experiments on anhydrite rocks of different grain sizes: rheology and microfabric. *Tectonophysics* 78, 527-543.](#)

[Peters, J. M., Filbrandt, J. B., Grotzinger, J. P., Newall, M. J., Shuster, M. W., Al-Siyabi, H. A., 2003. Surface-piercing salt domes of interior North Oman, and their significance for the Ara carbonate „stringer“ hydrocarbon play. *GeoArabia* 8, 231-270.](#)

[Reuning, L., Schoenherr, J., Heimann, A., Urai, J. L., Littke, R., Kukla, P., 2009. Constraints on the diagenesis, stratigraphy and internal dynamics of the surface-piercing salt domes in the Ghaba salt basin \(Oman\): a comparison to the Ara formation in the South Oman salt basin. *GeoArabia* 14, 83-120.](#)

[Schleder, Z., Urai, J. L., Nollet, S., Hilgers, C., 2008. Solution-precipitation creep and fluid flow in halite: a case study of Zechstein \(Z1\) rocksalt from Neuhof salt mine \(Germany\). *International Journal of Earth Sciences* 97, 1045-1056.](#)

[Schmeling, H., Babeyko, A., Enns, A., Faccenna, C., Funiciello, F., Gerya, T., Golabek, G. J., Grigull, S., Kaus, B., Morra, G., Schmalholz, S. M., van Hunen, J., 2008. A benchmark comparison of spontaneous subduction models – towards a free surface. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 171, 198-223.](#)

[Schmeling, H., Cruden, A. R., Marquart, G., 1988. Progressive deformation in and around a fluid sphere moving through a viscous medium: implications for diapiric ascent. *Tectonophysics* 149, 17-34.](#)

[Spies, T., Eisenblätter, J., 2001. Acoustic emission investigation of microcrack generation at geological boundaries. *Engineering Geology* 61, 181-188.](#)

[Stokes, G. G., 1851. On the effect of the internal friction of fluids on the motions of pendulums. *Transactions of the Cambrian Philosophical Society* 9, 1.](#)

[Talbot, C. J., Jackson, M. P. A., 1987. Internal kinematic of salt diapirs. *Americal Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* 71, 1068-1093.](#)

[Talbot, C. J., Pohjola, V., 2009. Subaerial salt extrusions in Iran as analogues of ice sheets, streams and glaciers. *Earth-Science Reviews* 97, 155-183.](#)

[Urai, J. L., Spiers, C. J., Zwart, H. J., Lister, G. S., 1986. Weakening of rock salt by water during long-term creep. *Nature* 324, 554-557.](#)

- Urai, J. L., Schlöder, Z., Spiers, C. J., Kukla, P. A., 2008. Flow and Transport Properties of Salt Rocks. In: Littke, R., Bayer, U., Gajewski, D. & Nelskamp, S. (Eds.), Dynamics of complex intracontinental basins: The Central European Basin System. Springer- Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 277-290.
- Van Keeken, P. E., Spiers, C. J., van den Berg, A. P., Muylert, E. J., 1993. The effective viscosity of rock salt: implementation of steady-state creep laws in numerical models of salt diapirism. Tectonophysics 225, 457-476.
- Weinberg, R. F., 1993. The upward transport of inclusions in Newtonian and power-law salt diapirs. Tectonophysics 228, 141-150.
- Weinberg, R. F., Schmeling, H., 1992. Polydiapirs: multiwavelength gravity structures. Journal of Structural Geology 14, 425-436.
- Zulauf, G., Zulauf, J., Bornemann, O., Kihm, N., Peinl, M., Zanella, A., 2009. Experimental deformation of a single-layer anhydrite in halite matrix under bulk constriction. Part 1: Geometric and kinematic aspects. Journal of Structural Geology 31, 460-474.
- Al Siyabi, H. A., 2005. Exploration history of the Ara intrasalt carbonate stringers in the South Oman Salt Basin. GeoArabia 10, 39-72.
- Arbaret, L., Fernandez, A., Jezek, J., Ildefonse, B., Launeau, P., Diot, H., 2000. Analogue and numerical modelling of shape fabrics: application to strain and flow determination in magmas. Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences 90, 97-109.
- Bornemann, O., 1991. Zur Geologie des Salzstocks Gorleben nach Bohrerergebnissen. Hannover, Germany, Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz Schriften, Saltgitter 4, 67.
- Chemia, Koyi, H., Schmeling, H., 2008. Numerical modelling of rise and fall of dense layers in salt diapirs. Geophysical Journal International 172, 798-816.
- Chemia, Z., Schmeling, H., Koyi, H., 2009. The effect of the salt viscosity on future evolution of the Gorleben salt diapir, Germany. Tectonophysics 473, 446-456.
- Clarke, D. B., Henry, A. S., White, M. A., 1998. Exploding xenoliths and the absence of 'elephants' graveyards' in granite batholiths. Journal of Structural Geology 20, 1325-1343.
- Correa-Gomes, L. C., Souza Filho, C. R., Martins, C. J. F. N., Oliveira, E. P., 2001. Development of symmetrical and asymmetrical fabrics in sheet like igneous bodies: the role of magma flow and wall-rock displacements in theoretical and natural examples. Journal of Structural Geology 23, 1415-1428.
- Davison, I., Bosence, D., Alsop, G. I., Al-Aawah, M. H., 1996a. Deformation and sedimentation around active Miocene salt diapirs on the Tihama Plain, northwest Yemen. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 100, 23-39.
- Davison, I., Alsop, I., Blundell, D., 1996b. Salt tectonics: some aspects of deformation mechanics. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 100, 1-10.
- Desbois, G., Závada, P., Schlöder, Z., Urai, J., 2010. Deformation and recrystallisation mechanisms in actively extruding salt fountain: Microstructural evidence for a switch in deformation mechanisms

~~with increased availability of meteoric water and decreased grain size (Qum Kuh, central Iran).
Journal of Structural Geology, in press.~~

~~Fowler, T. K., Paterson, S. R., 1997. Timing and nature of magmatic fabrics from structural relations
around stoped blocks. Journal of Structural Geology 19, 209–224.~~

~~Gansser 1960~~

~~Gansser, A., 1992. The enigma of the Persian salt dome inclusions. Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae 85,
825–846.~~

~~Hickey, K. A., Bell, T. H., 1999. Behaviour of rigid object during deformation and metamorphism: a
test using schists from the Bolton syncline, Connecticut, USA. Journal of Metamorphic Geology 17,
211–228.~~

~~Ildefonse, B., Mancktelow, N. S., 1993. Deformation around rigid particles: the influence of slip at the
particle/matrix interface. Tectonophysics 221, 345–359.~~

~~Jackson et al., 1990~~

~~Kent, P. E., 1979. The emergent Hormuz salt plugs of southern Iran. Journal of Petrological Geology 2,
117–144.~~

~~Kerr, R. C., Lister, J. R., 1991. The effects of shape on crystal settling and on the rheology of magmas.
The Journal of Geology 99, 457–467.~~

~~Koyi, H., 2001. Modeling the influence of sinking anhydrite blocks on salt diapirs targeted for
hazardous waste disposal. Geology 29, 387–390.~~

~~Martin, D., Nokes, R., 1988. Crystal settling in a vigorously convecting magma chamber. Nature 332,
534–536.~~

~~Mukherjee~~

~~Schleder, Z., Urai, J. L., Nolle, S., Hilgers, C., 2008. Solution-precipitation creep and fluid flow in
halite: a case study of Zechstein (Z1) rock salt from Neuhof salt mine (Germany). International Journal
of Earth Sciences 97, 1045–1056.~~

~~Schmeling, H., Babeyko, A., Enns, A., Faccenna, C., Funiciello, F., Gerya, T., Golabek, G. J., Grigull, S.,
Kaus, B., Morra, G., Schmalholz, S. M., van Hunen, J., 2008. A benchmark comparison of spontaneous
subduction models — towards a free surface. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 171, 198–
223.~~

~~Schmeling, H., Cruden, A. R., Marquart, G., 1988. Progressive deformation in and around a fluid
sphere moving through a viscous medium: implications for diapiric ascent. Tectonophysics 149, 17–
34.~~

~~Spies, T., Eisenblätter, J., 2001. Acoustic emission investigation of microcrack generation at geological
boundaries. Engineering Geology 61, 181–188.~~

~~Stokes, G. G., 1851. On the effect of the internal friction of fluids on the motions of pendulums. Transactions of the Cambrian Philosophical Society 9, 1.~~

~~Talbot and Jackson, 1987~~

~~Talbot and Pohjola, 2009~~

~~Treagus, S. H., Treagus, J. E., 2002. Studies of strain and rheology of conglomerates. Journal of Structural Geology 24, 1541-1567.~~

~~Urai, J. L., Spiers, C. J., Zwart, H. J., Lister, G. S., 1986. Weakening of rock salt by water during long-term creep. Nature 324, 554-557.~~

~~Urai, J. L., Schlöder, Z., Spiers, C. J., Kukla, P. A., 2008. Flow and Transport Properties of Salt Rocks. In: Littke, R., Bayer, U., Gajewski, D. & Nelskamp, S. (Eds.), Dynamics of complex intracontinental basins: The Central European Basin System. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 277-290.~~

~~Weinberg, R. F., 1993. The upward transport of inclusions in Newtonian and power-law salt diapirs. Tectonophysics 228, 141-150.~~

~~Weinberg, R. F., Schmeling, H., 1992. Polydiapirs: multiwavelength gravity structures. Journal of Structural Geology 14, 425-436.~~

~~Zulauf, G., Zulauf, J., Bornemann, O., Brenker, F., Höfer, H., Peinl, M., Woodland, A., 2010. Experimental deformation of Gorleben anhydrite in a matrix of Asse rock salt under bulk constriction: Deformation mechanisms and the role of fluids. 13th Symposium on Tectonics Structural Geology and Crystalline Geology (TSK), April 2010, Frankfurt, Germany, Abstract.~~

Figure captions

Figure 1 Sketch of the model setup including the position of the block with higher density and viscosity (in green), and of all analysed strain markers (red dots; cf. Fig. 2)

~~**Figure 2** Schematic sketch of the concept of quantitative strain analysis using strain markers. Each strain marker consists of three marker points placed at a distance of 40 m to each other. Horizontal and vertical dilational strain, as well as angular shear strain can be determined from their relative positions to each other, while the local sinking velocity can be calculated from their absolute positions.~~

~~**Figure 3** Mesh plots of selected time steps during the sinking process of differently sized blocks (in green) surrounded by salt (in black). The mesh displays only every fifth row and line of the marker field. Each image shows a cut-out of 500 m depth and 1000 m width. The model has been flipped to show the full block geometry.~~

~~**Figure 4-3** Final block shape in the models with different block ARs after sinking below 2200 m.~~

~~**Figure 5-4** Fold features of the blocks during sinking. The measurements were carried out using the upper block margins. **a)** Interlimb angle as a function of block width. **b)** Amplitude of the folds as a function of block width. **c)** Relative half-wave length (relative to the block width).~~

Figure 56 Strain within the central region of the block (see exact location in the inset in a). **a)** Cumulative magnitudes of dilational and shear strains after 2000 m of sinking as a function of block AR. **b)** Cumulative vertical dilational strain during sinking.

Figure 7 a) Velocity profile of the blocks. The velocity profile of the model named 1:1* shows the sunken distance as a function of time of a block with an AR of 1:1 and the same mass as the block with an AR of 1:10. **b)** Final sinking velocity of the blocks as a function of block AR.

Figure 68 Mesh plots of blocks (in green) with different AR surrounded by salt (in black) after ca. 500 m sinking. The mesh displays only every fifth row and line of the marker field. Each image is cut off at a depth of 2200 m. Numbers mark typical deformation features in the salt.

Figure 79 Magnitudes (see coloured scale bar) and orientation (white ticks, only every 10th marker shown) displayed, scaled to 50% of their length) of extensional strain. Strain magnitudes were calculated with the grid nearest-neighbour method in SSPX (Cardozo and Allmendinger, 2009) with a grid spacing of 0.003, 9 nearest neighbours, and a maximum distance of grid points of 0.01, based on the coordinates of 100,000 marker points after 500 m of sinking of a block with an AR of **a)** 1:1, **b)** 1:5, and **c)** 1:10. Cumulative magnitudes of dilational and shear strains after 2000 m of sinking as a function of block AR **a)** 1:1, above the block centre (see inset for exact location) and **b)** below the block centre (see inset for exact location) 1:5, and **c)** 1:10.

Figure 810 Shear strain magnitudes (see coloured scale bar) and strain ellipses (only every 10th marker shown) displayed, size reduced to 25%) calculated with the grid nearest-neighbour method in SSPX (Cardozo and Allmendinger, 2009) with a grid spacing of 0.003, 9 nearest neighbours, and a maximum distance of grid points of 0.01, based on the coordinates of 100,000 marker points after 500 m of sinking of a block with an AR of **a)** 1:1, **b)** 1:5, and **c)** 1:10. Cumulative magnitudes of dilational and shear strains after 2000 m of sinking as a function of block AR **a)** at 40 to 80 m depth and 500 m distance from the lateral model boundary (see inset for exact location) and **b)** at 240 to 280 m depth and 500 m distance from the lateral model boundary (see inset for exact location).

Highlights

- Dense anhydrite blocks sink through Newtonian salt driven by gravity
- Sinking causes folding and shearing of the block and severe deformation of the salt
- Salt strain comprises high- next to low-strain zones with folds and shear zones
- Sinking velocity is a function of block mass and deformation and salt deformation
- Strain magnitudes are much higher in the salt than in the block

Strain pattern within and around denser blocks sinking within Newtonian salt structures

Steffi Burchardt¹, Hemin Koyi¹, Harro Schmeling²

¹ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Uppsala, Villavägen 16, 75236 Uppsala, Sweden; steffi.Burchardt@geo.uu.se

² Faculty of Earth Sciences, J. W. Goethe University, Altenhöferallee 1, 06438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Institute

60438

Abstract

Blocks of dense material, such as anhydrite, entrained in salt structures have been proposed to sink through their host material. Here, we present the results of numerical models that analyse strain patterns within and around initially horizontal anhydrite blocks (viscosity 10^{21} Pa s) sinking through Newtonian salt with a viscosity of 10^{17} Pa s. In addition, the influence of the block aspect ratio (thickness to width ratio; AR) is analysed. The model results show that the blocks are folded and marginally sheared to approach streamlined shapes. The effectiveness of this process is a function of the block AR and influences the sinking velocity of the blocks significantly. Final sinking velocities are in the range of ca. 1.7 to 3.1 mm/a. Around the block in the salt, an array of folds and shear zones develops during block descent, the structure of which is principally the same independent of the block AR. However, the size and development of the structures is a function of the block size. Monitoring of strain magnitudes demonstrates that the salt is subject to extremely high strains with successively changing stress regimes, resulting in closely-spaced zones of high adjacent to low strain. In comparison to the anhydrite blocks, strain magnitudes in the salt are up to one order of magnitude higher.

Keywords: salt, anhydrite, deformation, rheology, Gorleben

1. Introduction

Dense inclusions surrounded by a less viscous matrix occur in a variety of geological settings on practically all scales. Examples include anhydrite and limestone layers in salt structures (e.g. Bornemann, 1991), stoped blocks in magma chambers (Clarke et al., 1998), phenocrysts in magma (Arbaret et al., 2000), and englacial-morain material in glaciers (Talbot and Pohjola, 2009). The contrast in mechanical properties, such as density and viscosity, of these inclusions and their matrix has a strong influence on the strain pattern within the inclusion and the matrix.

Dense inclusions of synsedimentary anhydrite and limestone, as well as of extrusive and intrusive igneous rocks in salt structures are known from many locations worldwide, e.g. the salt diapirs in the North Sea and the North German Basin (Bornemann, 1991; Schleder et al., 2008), the Zagros Mountains, Iran (Kent, 1979; Gansser, 1992; Weinberg, 1993), Oman (Peters et al., 2003; Reuning et al., 2009), and Yemen (Davison et al., 1996a, b). These dense inclusions (or “stringers”) have in most cases been entrained into salt diapirs during salt ascent (e.g. Jackson, 1990; Chemia and Koyi, 2008). Consequently, they have been subject to deformation as a result of the complex strain fields inside rising salt (Talbot and Jackson, 1987; Koyi, 2001). Recent investigations focus on the potential of some of these stringers as reservoirs for oil and gas (e.g. Al-Siyabi, 2005) as well as on their impact on the strain history of a salt structure. Following Weinberg’s (1993) hypothesis that dense inclusions in

salt may start to sink when the ascent rate of the salt is no longer sufficient to support their weight, Koyi (2001) and Chemia et al. (2009) demonstrated that sinking anhydrite slabs can reactivate the internal dynamics of a salt diapir, using analogue and numerical modelling. This may have undesired effects on the long-term stability of disposal sites of hazardous waste, a number of which are planned in salt structures. Evidence that recent deformation around anhydrite blocks actually takes place comes from acoustic emissions recorded at the interface between anhydrite blocks and the surrounding rock salt in a German salt diapir (Spies and Eisenblätter, 2001). These acoustic signals may indicate the relative movement between the denser anhydrite blocks and their host salt diapir.

IN this paper, we present results of numerical models that focus on the deformation produced by the sinking of a dense block through a less viscous matrix, particularly on the resulting structures within and around the block on block-scale. In order to get a basic understanding of the mechanical interaction of anhydrite blocks of different sizes and the surrounding salt, we analysed the strain associated with the gravity-driven descent of anhydrite blocks of different size through a body of salt representing a diapir.

2. Model setup and methodological background

2.1 Scaling considerations and model setup

The models are not scaled to any particular case. However, the model setup and the material properties are based on natural examples. Dense inclusions in salt diapirs are in most cases of synsedimentary origin, including intraformational evaporites (e.g. anhydrite deposited as gypsum), carbonate layers and platforms, synsedimentary psammities, and even contemporaneous volcanic rocks (e.g. Gansser, 1992) with preserved sedimentary or igneous layering. Consequently, they are often characterised by tabular shapes (e.g. Gansser, 1960, 1992; Kent, 1979; Jackson et al., 1990), even though they have usually been deformed during salt ascent. The thickness and width of these inclusions is thus highly variable. A well-studied example of a salt diapir containing dense inclusions is the Gorleben salt diapir in Northern Germany that served as scaling constraint for our models. This diapir is 3 km wide and approximately 3 km thick in cross section (NW-SE). The original stratigraphic sequence building the diapir consists mainly of halite and potassium salt of Permian age (Zechstein, Staßfurt (z2) to Aller (z4) formations; Bornemann, 1991). The so-called Main Anhydrite (z3HA) is a sequence of anhydrite with minor carbonates and a thickness of up to 70 m. During ascent of the salt diapir the Main Anhydrite was entrained within the salt and subject to intense strain that resulted in folding, boudinage, and shearing. Consequently, the Main Anhydrite forms elongate boudins of approximately 100 to more than 1000 m length, partly folded into isoclinal folds together with the surrounding salt (Bornemann, 1991).

Each two-dimensional model consists of a 2000 m wide and 4000 m deep rectangular salt structure (Fig. 1). All sides of the model are defined as free-slip boundaries, i.e. displacement along the boundaries is enabled. Since all sides of the model represent symmetry planes and the model is lateral symmetric, only half of the model, i.e. a 1000 m wide and 4000 m deep rectangle, was modelled. At a depth of 100 m below the top of the model, a rectangular block with a higher density and viscosity, simulating a denser inclusion (e.g. anhydrite), is placed within the salt. The boundaries between the block and its matrix are adherent. The thickness of the block is 100 m, so that the block bottom is at an initial depth of 200 m. In order to understand the basic processes that control the mechanical interaction between blocks of denser material (anhydrite) and a viscous matrix (salt), we

repetition

focus on one parameter: the size, and more specifically, the aspect ratio (AR; thickness to width ratio) of the anhydrite block. During ten successive model runs, the width of the block is varied from 100 m to 1000 m (~~thickness to width~~ AR 1:1 to 1:10 respectively).

The salt is assigned a density of 2200 kg m^{-3} , while the density of anhydrite is defined as 2900 kg m^{-3} , considering a slightly lower density as compared to pure anhydrite (density 3000 kg m^{-3}), e.g. due to minor amounts of limestone. In each model, the block is allowed to sink within the salt structure driven by gravity alone due to the density contrast of 700 kg m^{-3} .

Experimental studies of rock salt subject to high strain rates show that salt rheology can vary from Newtonian to power-law behaviour depending on the interaction of various parameters, such as grain size, strain rate, brine content, the presence of impurities within the salt, and deviatoric stress (e.g. Urai et al., 1986, 2008; van Keeken et al., 1993; Jackson et al., 1994;). However, the rheological behaviour of salt at scales, temperatures, strain rates etc. relevant to natural systems is still not well understood and cannot be extrapolated from experimental results (cf. Urai et al., 1986). Estimations of salt rheology on diapir scale from natural examples conclude that salt may behave as a Newtonian fluid with viscosities in the range of 10^{15} to 10^{21} Pa s (Mukherjee et al., 2010). In our models we therefore assigned the matrix material a linear viscosity of 10^{17} Pa s . We do not consider the influence of temperature, rheological contrasts or structural variations within the salt, i.e. the block sinks through an isotropic and homogeneous matrix of salt.

Despite of a few studies on the rheology of anhydrite under experimental conditions (e.g. Müller and Siemes, 1974; Müller et al., 1981; Zulauf et al., 2009), little is known about the viscosity and deformation behaviour of anhydrite subject to natural strain rates and temperatures. Chemia et al. (2009) assumed a viscosity contrast between anhydrite and the surrounding salt of 10^2 to 10^4 , while Zulauf et al. (2009) estimate it to be on the order of 10^1 in their deformation experiments. We assigned the anhydrite block in our models a linear viscosity of 10^{20} Pa s , which gives rise to a viscosity contrast between the salt and the matrix of 10^3 . This might be realistic considering that the anhydrite in natural salt diapirs, such as Gorleben, contains limestone with a higher viscosity.

Only the first 2000 m of sinking, where the block had reached a steady state, was used for analysis. When the block sinks beyond this depth, it starts to slow down as it “feels” the bottom boundary of the model. We therefore determined 2200 m as the final depth at which no boundary effects from the bottom can be expected.

2.2 Modelling strategy

solves for

The equations of conservation of mass, composition, and momentum defining the models were solved using a two-dimensional finite differences code (FDCON; Weinberg and Schmeling, 1992) that uses a stream function formulation by applying Cholesky decomposition of the symmetric matrix. This code characterises the movement of compositional fields by a mesh of marker points that move according to a velocity field described by a fourth-order Runge-Kutta algorithm. In the current models, optimal results were ensured by a grid size of 101 times 401 with 1000 marker points in horizontal direction and 4000 marker points in vertical direction. Furthermore, in the models, materials are assumed to be incompressible, i.e., area changes do not occur, and characterised by a purely viscous, linear rheology so that elasticity is neglected. In addition, ~~all~~ inertial forces are neglected, i.e., creeping flow is assumed.

finite difference grid
size

Interfaces between block and matrix material characterised by strong compositional contrasts (in this case defined by contrasts in density and viscosity) are resolved by defining effective parameters. This means that, e.g. the effective viscosity at each location along the interface is derived from the weighted sum of the viscosities of each material. For this purpose, the harmonic, arithmetic, or geometric mean of a parameter can be used (Schmeling et al., 2008). For our models, we used the arithmetic mean since it more accurately resolves the interface of the matrix with the more viscous block material. In comparison, the harmonic mean underestimates the density and viscosity of the outer parts of the block.

Strain pattern and magnitudes in and around the sinking block is described by the coordinates of the marker field. The strain field was calculated and plotted with the software SSPX (Cardozo and Allmendinger, 2009), using the grid nearest-neighbour method with a reduced data set of 100,000 grid points.

3. Results

3.1 Strain pattern and sinking velocity of the sinking block

The strain pattern within the anhydrite block is characterised by a sequence of marginal shear, internal folding, and minor marginal erosion. The exact succession, development, and intensity of each type of strain vary as a function of the block AR (Fig. 2). In the model with a square block (Fig. 2), the strain pattern within the block is characterised by marginal shear at the lateral boundaries, basal extension, and slight horizontal compression along the top boundary. In contrast, the block interior remains comparatively unstrained throughout the sinking process. During sinking, successive lateral shear results in the erosion of the lower block corners and an upward drag of block material along the sides of the block. The final shape of the block is characterised by rounded lower corners with a small amount of accumulated material dragged to the sides, forming appendices (Fig. 3). In comparison, a block with an AR of 1:5 (100 m thick and 500 m wide) is subject to marginal shear along the lateral boundaries that results in a slight rounding of the lower block corners (Fig. 2). At the same time viscous drag exerted by salt flow around the block causes horizontal extension of the lower block boundary and horizontal compression of the upper block boundary that leads to bending of the entire block during its sinking. During bending, the lateral block margins are continuously sheared. The final shape of the block, when it has descended 2000 m, is an open fold (interlimb angle 115°; Figs. 3 and 4). In comparison, a block with an AR of 1:10 is almost immediately folded after less than 100 m descent within the salt (Fig. 2). Marginal shear of the block occurs only in the initial stages of sinking. Successive folding results in a horse-shoe shape with the limbs pointing towards each other, enclosing an angle of 10° at 2200 m depth (Fig. 4). Small appendices at the original lower block corners represent the effects of incipient lateral shear of the block sides.

The complete series of models shows that increasing the block AR results in a reduced intensity of marginal shear and erosion of the lower corners (Figs. 1 and 2). Instead, the block is subject to increasingly effective folding accompanied by increasing internal strain. For the viscosity contrasts used in the models, blocks with a low AR (1:1 to approximately 1:4) are less able to accommodate strain during sinking by folding. Hence, their horizontal orientation governs the strain pattern throughout their descent, which results in successive shear of the lateral block margins. In contrast, blocks with higher ARs ($\geq 1:5$) accommodate strain during sinking by folding and thus approach a

the relative wavelength should be non-dimensional, not in [m]

streamlined shape (Fig. 3). This is also evident from the interlimb angle of the resultant folds that decreases continuously to result in isoclinal folds at block ARs of 1:9 and 1:10 (Fig. 4a). The same applies to the relative wave amplitude of the folds (Fig. 4b) that increases with block AR and the relative wave length (Fig. 4c) that decreases with increasing block AR.

The sinking velocity of the blocks was determined using the position of a single marker point in the block centre, 30 m above the lower block margin. The velocity profiles of the blocks (Fig. 5a) show that, at low ARs (1:1 to 1:4) after an initial acceleration phase the velocity only increases slightly to approach a constant velocity. The block with an AR of 1:1 has the lowest final velocity (1.68 mm/a), while the blocks with an AR of 1:4 and 1:5 reach the highest final velocities (3.05 and 3.07 mm/a; Fig. 5b). In contrast, at higher block ARs, an initial phase of low acceleration that probably coincides with the early phase of folding of the block is followed by a constant increase in velocity. The final velocities decrease with increasing block AR. The block with an AR of 1:10 reaches a final velocity of 2.80 mm/a. The velocity profiles are therefore not only a function of the increasing mass of the blocks. In order to test the effect of gravity on the sinking velocity, we ran a test model with a block of the same mass as the block with an AR of 1:10 but the same size and shape as the block with an AR of 1:1. The velocity profile of the block in this model is similar in shape to the profile of the block with an AR of 1:1, but with a much steeper slope (Fig. 5a) and an order of magnitude higher final velocity (64.41 mm/a). Hence, the aspect ratio, and thus the deformation path, of the block have a significant influence on its velocity pattern.

3.2 Strain pattern in the salt around the sinking block

The strain pattern within the salt during block descent shows that the salt below the block is vertically shortened and displaced sideways and upwards relative to the block (Fig. 6). The salt directly above the block is dragged downward together with the block within a narrow entrainment channel. This induces an inward and downward flow of the salt above the block. At the same time marginal synclines start to develop next to the block. In combination with the outward and upward flow of salt from below the block, this results in the formation of a marginal anticline adjacent to the syncline. During successive sinking, the downward movement of the block cannot be sufficiently compensated by folding of the salt. Consequently, zones of intense shear strain develop adjacent to the sides of the descending block. Additional shear zones form between the entrainment channel and the inward-flowing salt above the block caused by the much faster flow of material in the entrainment channel.

The structural characteristics of the strain pattern with folds and shear zones around the sinking blocks are the same for all blocks independent of their AR (Fig. 6). However, increasing the block AR causes the surrounding salt to be affected at a larger distance from the block. In addition, the entrainment channel above the block is considerably wider for blocks with a higher AR (Fig. 6). In the models with the higher block ARs (1:10 and 1:15) the entrainment channel and the marginal shear zone develops from the successive deformation.

3.3 Strain magnitudes

A comparison of strain magnitudes around the blocks are characterised by low strain.

To me this strain chapter is a bit unclear. You talk about extensional strain and shear strain. This should be defined. Is extensional strain = e_{xx} ? or the length of the large axis of strain ellipsoid? Shear strain: e_{xz} ? Actually finite deformation in 2D can be described by four parameters: 1) strain magnitude (e.g. a/b ratio of the strain ellips axes, often $f = \log_{10}(a/b)$), 2) orientation of the large axis a (in degree w.r. to x-axis), 3) volumetric strain (= 0 as we have incompressible flow) and 4) Rotation. Lukas routines give us 1), 2) and 4).

that's interesting! The viscosity is 3 orders of magnitude higher, but due to the rectangular shape of the body it has much higher stresses near the corners, leading to strains not 3 orders of magnitude smaller as one would expect, but only 1 to 2 orders of magnitude smaller. This point should be made more explicitly in a later paper.

and 8). Salt deformation during the sinking of the block is characterised by high strain (approximately one order of magnitude higher than in the block), concentrated mainly above the block in the entrainment channel and around the lateral ends of the block. In both locations, strong vertical elongation within the salt occurs (Fig. 8). Domains of high strain are not evenly distributed around the sinking block. Strain magnitude decreases rapidly away from the block in a pattern that mirrors the shear zones (highest strain magnitudes) and folds (high to intermediate strain magnitudes) described above (Fig. 6). Below the blocks with ARs >1 , a strain shadow with a thickness of approximately 50 to 100% of the block thickness occurs. Below the strain shadow, the salt is vertically shortened and sheared (Figs. 7 and 8) as a result of salt flow from below the block to the sides (Fig. 6).

Extensional strain magnitudes in the salt depend on the block AR. Compared to the model with a block AR of 1:10, the maximum extensional strain in the model with a block AR of 1:1 is one order of magnitude lower (Fig. 7) while shear strain is approximately half (Fig. 8). While the distribution of high-strain zones in the salt is not a function of the block AR, the models show that the areal extent of strain around the block is strongly influenced by the block AR, i.e., that the area in the salt affected by the sinking block increases with increasing block AR.

4. Discussion

Our models show that the gravitational sinking of dense blocks or layer fragments of anhydrite through a Newtonian viscous medium (salt) causes severe deformation of the block and the matrix. This supports earlier experimental and numerical results by Koyi (2001) and Chemia et al. (2008, 2009) who modelled the entrainment and sinking of dense inclusions at diapiric scale using both Newtonian and power-law salt rheologies.

On block scale, our models give a detailed account of the deformation history of the sinking block that is characterised by folding and shearing. According to Cruden (1990), the internal deformation of a gravity-driven viscous sphere is controlled by the viscosity contrast with the ambient material. Our models demonstrate that the internal deformation is also a function of the shape of the block. Initially horizontal blocks show more intense deformation at higher block ARs (Fig. 3). This has significant influence on the sinking velocity of the block, which is no longer a pure function of the block mass but strongly dependent on the ongoing deformation of the block that can considerably slow down the sinking rate (Fig. 5). Since our models are two-dimensional, the occurring strain is by definition plane strain. The three-dimensional nature of natural systems might result in different strain patterns though. In this respect, the calculations by Schmeling et al. (1988) demonstrate that around a rising (or falling) spherical body, the strain ellipsoid is oblate with the short axis pointing radially away from the rising body. In our models, equivalent results are observed (Fig. 8), except that the b-axis of the strain ellipsoid equals 1.

The salt surrounding the sinking block in our models accommodates high strain by the formation of a characteristic array of folds and shear zones, including a narrow channel of extreme stretching above the sinking block (Fig. 8). These structures are in accordance with numerical and experimental findings of Schmeling et al. (1988) and Cruden (1990) about the gravity-driven sinking of rigid and fluid spheres.

Natural systems are more complex and subject to a variety of parameters, the details of which cannot be accounted for in static numerical models. Apart from structural and compositional heterogeneities in the salt, complexities in natural systems may be caused by changes e.g. in the strain rate. Urai (pers. comm. 2010; cf. Desbois et al., 2010) suggested that internal deformation in salt bodies may cease at low strain rates as a result of grain-boundary healing. Strain hardening associated with this process might be able to stabilise dense blocks within the salt. Evidence for this process might be the presence of anhydrite blocks in the highest levels of salt structures in the North Sea Basin that have been stable in this position for 60 Ma. Another unknown parameter as regards salt rheology and its response to the gravitational force exerted by denser blocks is the water content of both anhydrite and salt. Water in salt present as fluid inclusions or films along grain boundaries has a substantial effect on the rheological behaviour of the salt (Urai et al., 1986). On the other hand, water within the anhydrite and limestone might cause hydraulic fracturing of the anhydrite blocks causing them to behave much more brittle even at low strain rates (cf. Zulauf, 2009). In addition, water squeezed out of the anhydrite by deformation of the anhydrite might even fracture salt (Davison, 2009) or considerably weaken the interface between the block and the matrix so that the blocks might sink much faster (Leiss pers. comm. 2010), a process that has been identified to be of major significance e.g. during shear deformation (Ildefonse and Mancktelow, 1993). Our model results therefore show strain patterns and magnitudes under relatively simplified homogeneous conditions. To model deformation associated with natural examples of anhydrite blocks in salt bodies, a more detailed understanding of the rheological input parameters is required.

Even though detailed studies of deformation structures around dense inclusions in salt structures are extremely scarce, structures analogue to those produced in our models occur around natural gravity-driven structures in a variety of other geological settings. The presented model results can be rescaled to other geological scenarios involving different dimensions, density contrasts, or viscosities. This can be achieved by multiplication of the length scale, velocity, and time by particular scaling factors. The strains will be the same for the same non-dimensional (relative) sinking distances, as long as the viscosity contrast is the same.

In general, diapiric structures, such as salt diapirs and plutons emplaced by diapirism are encased by high-strain aureoles characterised by the occurrence of ductile shear zones and/or brittle fault zones. Even though our models neglect temperature effects as those occurring around hot diapirs, similar highly strained zones also flank the blocks in our models. Furthermore, the rim synclines encircling natural diapirs correspond to the marginal anticlines that flank the sinking blocks in our models, while the tails of diapirs are equivalent to the entrainment channel (Fig. 6).

On a smaller scale, similar structures should be expected to occur in association with the development of a magmatic fabric in crystallising magmas. This system can, as a first approximation, be described as a dynamic suspension of rigid particles, the crystals, in an initially Newtonian matrix, the melt (Kerr and Lister, 1991; Arbaret et al., 2000). The settling or rise of the crystals in the melt generally follows Stokes equation (Stokes, 1851; Martin and Nokes, 1988), but also depends on the shape of the crystals (Kerr and Lister, 1991) and the fraction of crystals in the system (e.g. Arbaret et al., 2000). However, since the viscosity contrast between melt and crystals is generally higher (in the range of several orders of magnitude) than in our models, strain within the settling crystals is much lower, while strain patterns produced by matrix flow around the settling crystals are in most cases not preserved.

In analogy, fragments of country rocks detached from the roof and walls of plutons by magmatic stoping should produce structures similar to those in the presented models. Stopped blocks sink through the magma driven by their higher density and may disturb a pluton's internal magmatic fabric, depending on the timing of sinking relative to the solidification of the pluton and the viscosity of the magma (Fowler and Paterson, 1997; Clarke et al., 1998). As regards the deformation of rock fragments entrained within magma, the effective viscosity of both, fragments and melt, as well as the viscosity contrast, has to be considered to draw conclusions from our model results. A viscosity contrast probably similar to the one in our models would explain deformation of autoliths in sheet-like magmatic intrusions (Correa-Gomes et al., 2001).

In addition, our model results show that strain magnitudes within the salt are in general much higher (in the range of one order of magnitude) than within the blocks. This indicates that strain is accommodated by the more viscous material. Within the salt, strain is not evenly distributed, neither does it decrease linearly away from the block. Instead, complex strain patterns are produced in the salt during the descent of the block.

These patterns consist of an array of folds and shear zones, the development and scale of which depend on the block AR. The determination of strain magnitudes demonstrates that the sinking of a block causes the formation of closely-spaced zones of low and high strains and that the salt around a sinking block experiences a complex strain history characterised by successively changing stress regimes. Sinking of dense blocks in nature should therefore be evident from the occurrence of similar structures in the vicinity of denser blocks, the observation of which might be limited by the outcrop conditions along the walls of salt mines.

5. Conclusions

Our models demonstrate that, using the defined material parameters, the gravitational force of a dense block exerted on the surrounding viscous matrix results in the block sinking through the matrix material. This process is accompanied by considerable strain, particularly around the block in the salt, that results in the formation of characteristic strain patterns. The block is sheared, folded, and marginally eroded to approach a streamlined shape. Around the block, an array of folds and shear zones develops in the salt, characterised by zones of high adjacent to low strains.

The main focus of our models was the influence of the thickness-to-width ratio (AR) of blocks within the range that occurs in natural salt bodies containing boudins of anhydrite. The model results demonstrate that the AR has considerable impact on the nature and magnitude of strain within and around the block, as well as on the sinking velocity of the block. A greater width of the block results in higher internal strain, evident from a more pronounced folding. The initial block AR and the efficiency of folding have a strong influence on the sinking velocity of the block that is even stronger than the effect of an increased mass with increasing block size (cf. Fig. 5). Final sinking velocities range of ca. 1.7 to 3.1 mm/a.

Strain is not homogeneously distributed throughout the salt; the highest strains occur above and along the lateral ends of the block. Our models show the development of characteristic structural domains around the sinking blocks, independent of their AR. These domains develop due to salt flow in response of the gravitational sinking of the block and include folds and shear zones in closely-spaced arrays with extreme contrasts in strain magnitudes. The block AR only accounts for the areal

extent of these deformation zones in the salt, with larger areas affected by larger blocks (higher AR), and the development of these zones.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Zurab Chemia and Nestor Cardozo for help with data processing and to the members of the salt workshop at the TSK13 conference in Frankfurt for feedback and stimulating discussions. We also thank Stuart Hardy for suggesting to use SSPX for strain visualisation and him and Susan Treagus for thoughtful reviews. This project was funded by the Swedish Research Council (VR).

References

Al-Siyabi, H. A., 2005. Exploration history of the Ara intrasalt carbonate stringers in the South Oman Salt Basin. *GeoArabia* 10, 39-72.

Arbaret, L., Fernandez, A., Jezek, J., Ildefonse, B., Launeau, P., Diot, H., 2000. Analogue and numerical modelling of shape fabrics: application to strain and flow determination in magmas. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences* 90, 97-109.

Bornemann, O., 1991. Zur Geologie des Salzstocks Gorleben nach Bohrerergebnissen. Hannover, Germany, Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz Schriften, Saltgitter 4, 67.

Cardozo, N., Allmendiger, R. W., 2009. SSPX: A program to compute strain from displacement/velocity data. *Computers & Geosciences* 35, 1343-1357.

Chemia, Koyi, H., Schmeling, H., 2008. Numerical modelling of rise and fall of dense layers in salt diapirs. *Geophysical Journal International* 172, 798-816.

Chemia, Z., Schmeling, H., Koyi, H., 2009. The effect of the salt viscosity on future evolution of the Gorleben salt diapir, Germany. *Tectonophysics* 473, 446-456.

Clarke, D. B., Henry, A. S., White, M. A., 1998. Exploding xenoliths and the absence of 'elephants' graveyards' in granite batholiths. *Journal of Structural Geology* 20, 1325-1343.

Correa-Gomes, L. C., Souza Filho, C. R., Martins, C. J. F. N., Oliveira, E. P., 2001. Development of symmetrical and asymmetrical fabrics in sheet-like igneous bodies: the role of magma flow and wall-rock displacements in theoretical and natural examples. *Journal of Structural Geology* 23, 1415-1428.

Cruden, A. R., 1990. Flow and fabric development during the diapiric rise of magma. *The Journal of Geology* 98, 681-698.

Davison, I., 2009. Faulting and fluid flow through salt. *Journal of the Geological Society, London* 166, 1-12.

Davison, I., Alsop, I., Blundell, D., 1996a. Salt tectonics: some aspects of deformation mechanics. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 100, 1-10.

363 Davison, I., Bosence, D., Alsop, G. I., Al-Aawah, M. H., 1996b. Deformation and sedimentation around
 364 active Miocene salt diapirs on the Tihama Plain, northwest Yemen. Geological Society, London,
 365 Special Publications 100, 23-39.

366 Desbois, G., Závada, P., Schlöder, Z., Urai, J., 2010. Deformation and recrystallisation mechanisms in
 367 actively extruding salt fountain: Microstructural evidence for a switch in deformation mechanisms
 368 with increased availability of meteoric water and decreased grain size (Qum Kuh, central Iran).
 369 Journal of Structural Geology 32, 580-594.

370 Fowler, T. K., Paterson, S. R., 1997. Timing and nature of magmatic fabrics from structural relations
 371 around stopped blocks. Journal of Structural Geology 19, 209-224.

372 Gansser, A., 1960. Über Schlammvulkane und Salzdome. Vierteljahrsschrift der
 373 Naturforschergesellschaft Zürich 105, 1-46.

374 Gansser, A., 1992. The enigma of the Persian salt-dome inclusions. Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae 85,
 375 825-846.

376 Ildefonse, B., Mancktelow, N. S., 1993. Deformation around rigid particles: the influence of slip at the
 377 particle/matrix interface. Tectonophysics 221, 345-359.

378 Jackson, M P. A., Cornelius, R. R., Craig, C H., Gansser, A., Stocklin, J., Talbot, C. J., 1990. Salt diapirs of
 379 the Great Kavir, Central Iran. Geological Society of America Memoirs 177, 139 pp.

380 Jackson, M P. A., Vendeville, B. C., Schultz-Ela, D. D., 1994. Structural dynamics of salt systems.
 381 Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 22, 93-117.

382 Kent, P. E., 1979. The emergent Hormuz salt plugs of southern Iran. Journal of Petrological Geology 2,
 383 117-144.

384 Kerr, R. C., Lister, J. R., 1991. The effects of shape on crystal settling and on the rheology of magmas.
 385 The Journal of Geology 99, 457-467.

386 Koyi, H., 2001. Modeling the influence of sinking anhydrite blocks on salt diapirs targeted for
 387 hazardous waste disposal. Geology 29, 387-390.

388 Martin, D., Nokes, R., 1988. Crystal settling in a vigorously convecting magma chamber. Nature 332,
 389 534-536.

390 Mukherjee, S., Talbot, C. J., Koyi, H. A., 2010. Viscosity estimates of salt in the Hormuz and Namakdan
 391 salt diapirs, Persian Gulf. Geological Magazine 147, 497-507.

392 Müller, P., Siemes, H., 1974. Festigkeit, Verformbarkeit und Gefügeregelung von Anhydrit –
 393 Experimentelle Stauchverformung unter Manteldrücken bis 5 kbar bei Temperaturen bis 300°C.
 394 Tectonophysics 23, 105-127.

395 Müller, W. H., Schmid, S. M., Briegel, U., 1981. Deformation experiments on anhydrite rocks of
 396 different grain sizes: rheology and microfabric. Tectonophysics 78, 527-543.

397 Peters, J. M., Filbrandt, J. B., Grotzinger, J. P., Newall, M. J., Shuster, M. W., Al-Siyabi, H. A., 2003.
 398 Surface-piercing salt domes of interior North Oman, and their significance for the Ara carbonate
 399 „stringer“ hydrocarbon play. *GeoArabia* 8, 231-270.

400 Reuning, L., Schoenherr, J., Heimann, A., Urai, J. L., Littke, R., Kukla, P., 2009. Constraints on the
 401 diagenesis, stratigraphy and internal dynamics of the surface-piercing salt domes in the Ghaba salt
 402 basin (Oman): a comparison to the Ara formation in the South Oman salt basin. *GeoArabia* 14, 83-
 403 120.

404 Schleder, Z., Urai, J. L., Nollet, S., Hilgers, C., 2008. Solution-precipitation creep and fluid flow in
 405 halite: a case study of Zechstein (Z1) rocksalt from Neuhof salt mine (Germany). *International Journal*
 406 *of Earth Sciences* 97, 1045-1056.

407 Schmeling, H., Babeyko, A., Enns, A., Faccenna, C., Funiciello, F., Gerya, T., Golabek, G. J., Grigull, S.,
 408 Kaus, B., Morra, G., Schmalholz, S. M., van Hunen, J., 2008. A benchmark comparison of spontaneous
 409 subduction models – towards a free surface. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors* 171, 198-
 410 223.

411 Schmeling, H., Cruden, A. R., Marquart, G., 1988. Progressive deformation in and around a fluid
 412 sphere moving through a viscous medium: implications for diapiric ascent. *Tectonophysics* 149, 17-
 413 34.

414 Spies, T., Eisenblätter, J., 2001. Acoustic emission investigation of microcrack generation at geological
 415 boundaries. *Engineering Geology* 61, 181-188.

416 Stokes, G. G., 1851. On the effect of the internal friction of fluids on the motions of pendulums.
 417 *Transactions of the Cambrian Philosophical Society* 9, 1.

418 Talbot, C. J., Jackson, M. P. A., 1987. Internal kinematic of salt diapirs. *Americal Association of*
 419 *Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* 71, 1068-1093.

420 Talbot, C. J., Pohjola, V., 2009. Subaerial salt extrusions in Iran as analogues of ice sheets, streams
 421 and glaciers. *Earth-Science Reviews* 97, 155-183.

422 Urai, J. L., Spiers, C. J., Zwart, H. J., Lister, G. S., 1986. Weakening of rock salt by water during long-
 423 term creep. *Nature* 324, 554-557.

424 Urai, J. L., Schlöder, Z., Spiers, C. J., Kukla, P. A., 2008. Flow and Transport Properties of Salt Rocks. In:
 425 Littke, R., Bayer, U., Gajewski, D. & Nelskamp, S. (Eds.), *Dynamics of complex intracontinental*
 426 *basins: The Central European Basin System*. Springer- Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 277-290.

427 Van Keeken, P. E., Spiers, C. J., van den Berg, A. P., Muzert, E. J., 1993. The effective viscosity of rock
 428 salt: implementation of steady-state creep laws in numerical models of salt diapirism. *Tectonophysics*
 429 225, 457-476.

430 Weinberg, R. F., 1993. The upward transport of inclusions in Newtonian and power-law salt diapirs.
 431 *Tectonophysics* 228, 141-150.

432 Weinberg, R. F., Schmeling, H., 1992. Polydiapirs: multiwavelength gravity structures. *Journal of*
 433 *Structural Geology* 14, 425-436.

434 Zulauf, G., Zulauf, J., Bornemann, O., Kihm, N., Peinl, M., Zanella, A., 2009. Experimental deformation
435 of a single-layer anhydrite in halite matrix under bulk constriction. Part 1: Geometric and kinematic
436 aspects. Journal of Structural Geology 31, 460-474.

437 Figure captions

438 **Figure 1** Sketch of the model setup including the position of the block with higher density and
439 viscosity (in green).

440 **Figure 2** Mesh plots of selected time steps during the sinking process of differently sized blocks (in
441 green) surrounded by salt (in black). The marker field is shown as a grid of dots. The marker
442 field. Each image shows a different time step. The marker field is shown as a grid of dots. The marker
443 show the full block geometry. **you show the position, not the velocity: "Vertical position of the blocks as function of time". The assignment of the 1:1* curve is very difficult to see. Can you use e.g. a dashed line and indicate this in the caption?**

444 **Figure 3** Final block shape in the models with different block ARs after sinking below 2200 m.

445 **Figure 4** Fold features of the blocks during sinking. The measurements were carried out using the
446 upper block margins. **a)** Interlimb angle as a function of block width. **b)** Amplitude of the folds as a
447 function of block width. **c)** Relative half-wave length (relative to the block width).

448 **Figure 5 a)** Velocity profile of the blocks. The velocity profile of the model named 1:1* shows the
449 sunken distance as a function of time of a block with an AR of 1:1 and the same mass as the block
450 with an AR of 1:10. **b)** Final sinking velocity of the blocks as a function of block AR.

451 **Figure 6** Mesh plots of blocks (in green) with different AR surrounded by salt (in black) after ca. 500
452 m sinking. The mesh displays only every fifth row and line of the marker field. Each image is cut off at
453 a depth of 2200 m. Numbers mark typical deformation features in the salt.

454 **Figure 7** Magnitudes (see coloured scale bar) and orientation (white ticks, only every 10th marker
455 shown, scaled to 50% of their length) of extensional strain. Dashed line traces the block outline.
456 Strain magnitudes were calculated with the grid nearest-neighbour method in SSPX (Cardozo and
457 Allmendinger, 2009) with a grid spacing of 0.003, 9 nearest neighbours, and a maximum distance of
458 grid points of 0.01, based on the coordinates of 100,000 marker points after 500 m of sinking of a
459 block with an AR of **a)** 1:1, **b)** 1:5, and **c)** 1:10.

460 **Figure 8** Shear strain magnitudes (see coloured scale bar) and strain ellipses (only every 10th marker
461 shown, size reduced to 25%) calculated with the grid nearest-neighbour method in SSPX (Cardozo
462 and Allmendinger, 2009) with a grid spacing of 0.003, 9 nearest neighbours, and a maximum distance
463 of grid points of 0.01, based on the coordinates of 100,000 marker points after 500 m of sinking of a
464 block with an AR of **a)** 1:1, **b)** 1:5, and **c)** 1:10. Dashed line traces the block outline.

Figure 1
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 3
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 4

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 5
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 6
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 7
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure 8
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)