Photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) has become an important tool for investigating Li-ion battery materials, in particular for analyzing interfacial structures and reactions. Since the methodology was introduced in the battery research area, PES has undergone a dramatic development regarding photon sources, sample handling and electron energy analyzers. This includes the possibility to use synchrotron radiation with increased intensity and the possibility to vary the photon energy. The aim of the present paper is to describe how PES can be used to investigate battery interfaces and specifically highlight how synchrotron based PES has been implemented to address different questions useful for the development of the Li-ion batteries. We also present some recent developments of the techniques, which have the potential to further push the limits for the use of photoelectron spectroscopy in battery research.
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A classical Li-ion battery system is composed of a positive and a negative electrode able to reversibly host lithium. The electrodes are immersed in an electrolyte (lithium salt in an organic solvent) while a separator prohibits direct contact between the two electrodes, see Figure 1a.1–5 Over the last decades, various electrodes materials have been investigated and an overview of the materials investigated as positive, negative electrode or electrolytes can be found in various recent reviews.6–8

Further development of the battery chemistry requires understanding of the fundamental properties of the components and how it relates to their functionality in an operating device. Specifically, it is becoming more and more crucial to better understand the properties of the interface regions between the different battery components, since the interfacial chemistry is closely linked to battery behaviors such as self-discharge properties, safety and cycling stability.

At the interface between the host material and the electrolyte side reactions occur and specifically at potentials outside the thermodynamic stability window of the electrolyte. For electrochemical potentials below about 0.8 V vs. Li/Li⁺, most organic solvents are thermodynamically unstable and a passivating SEI (Solid Electrolyte Interphase)⁷ layer is formed at the negative electrode/electrolyte boundary. Side products observed at the positive electrode/electrolyte interfaces are referred to as SPI (Solid Permeable Interphase) layer.¹⁰ The chemical compositions of the surface layers are different for the negative and the positive electrode materials and while thick SEI (~30–100 Å) is formed on negative electrode materials, the SPI formed on the positive electrode materials is mostly much thinner (5–10 Å) as illustrated in Figure 1b.¹¹–¹⁶

Photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) displays several of the desirable features to investigate Li-ion battery interfacial chemistry. These features are foremost a controllable surface sensitivity, in the range of the interphase layer thicknesses, in combination with the composition sensitivity. The aim of the present article is to describe among various examples taken from our investigations, how PES can be successfully used to characterize host material and interphase materials chemistries including the SEI and SPI as well as the negative and positive electrodes. Most of the photoelectron spectroscopy investigations to date have used home laboratory AlKα and/or He(II) (XPS or UPS) photon sources. The present paper will focus largely on the opportunities with synchrotron radiation used over a broad energy range from the soft X-ray regime of a few hundred eV to the harder keV energies.
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A classical Li-ion battery system is composed of a positive and a negative electrode able to reversibly host lithium. The electrodes are immersed in an electrolyte (lithium salt in an organic solvent) while a separator prohibits direct contact between the two electrodes, see Figure 1a.1–5 Over the last decades, various electrodes materials have been investigated and an overview of the materials investigated as positive, negative electrode or electrolytes can be found in various recent reviews.6–8

Further development of the battery chemistry requires understanding of the fundamental properties of the components and how it relates to their functionality in an operating device. Specifically, it is becoming more and more crucial to better understand the properties of the interface regions between the different battery components, since the interfacial chemistry is closely linked to battery behaviors such as self-discharge properties, safety and cycling stability.

At the interface between the host material and the electrolyte side reactions occur and specifically at potentials outside the thermodynamic stability window of the electrolyte. For electrochemical potentials below about 0.8 V vs. Li/Li⁺, most organic solvents are thermodynamically unstable and a passivating SEI (Solid Electrolyte Interphase)² layer is formed at the negative electrode/electrolyte boundary. Side products observed at the positive electrode/electrolyte interfaces are referred to as SPI (Solid Permeable Interphase) layer.¹⁰ The chemical compositions of the surface layers are different for the negative and the positive electrode materials and while thick SEI (~30–100 Å) is formed on negative electrode materials, the SPI formed on the positive electrode materials is mostly much thinner (5–10 Å) as illustrated in Figure 1b.¹¹–¹⁶

Photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) displays several of the desirable features to investigate Li-ion battery interfacial chemistry. These features are foremost a controllable surface sensitivity, in the range of the interphase layer thicknesses, in combination with the composition sensitivity. The aim of the present article is to describe among various examples taken from our investigations, how PES can be successfully used to characterize host material and interphase materials chemistries including the SEI and SPI as well as the negative and positive electrodes. Most of the photoelectron spectroscopy investigations to date have used home laboratory AlKα and/or He(II) (XPS or UPS) photon sources. The present paper will focus largely on the opportunities with synchrotron radiation used over a broad energy range from the soft X-ray regime of a few hundred eV to the harder keV energies.

Photoelectron Spectroscopy (PES) on Battery Electrodes

General principles of PES.— The fundamental expression of PES is the Einstein photoelectric law:

$$E_{\text{Kinetic}} = h\nu - E_{\text{Binding}}$$

where $E_{\text{Kinetic}}$ is the kinetic energy of the electron expelled from the material by the photon with energy $h\nu$ and $E_{\text{Binding}}$ is the binding energy of the electron in the material. Binding energies for condensed phase samples are normally referred to the Fermi level, thus:

$$E_{\text{Binding}} = E_{\text{Binding}} - \Phi_{\text{sample}}$$

where $\Phi_{\text{sample}}$ is the work function of the sample.

Using a fixed photon energy, core levels appear as peaks in the measured electron spectrum, whose positions on the binding energy scale are both element specific as well as dependent on the chemical state of the element in the material. Calibration of photoelectron spectra are generally made with respect to reference peaks of known binding energies. Depending on the atomic environment of the species studied for the present battery systems, this can either be done with respect to substrate levels or levels associated with the SEI overlayer. For the detailed calibration procedures used in the different examples discussed in this paper, we refer to the original papers.

The intrinsic surface sensitivity of PES is due to the short mean free path of the electrons in the material. The X-rays of the incident beam penetrate several hundreds of nanometers through the material, whereas the ejected electrons in the spectral peaks have a very short inelastic mean free path and only originate in the first few nanometers of the material. Figure 2 shows the so-called universal curve, i.e. the general behavior of the inelastic mean free path (IMFP) of an electron vs. its kinetic energy. As can be seen, for the traditional lab X-ray photon source using AlKα at 1487 eV, the inelastic mean free path for most core levels varies between 5–25 Å. PES methods using lab sources such as AlKα have thus become standard tools in the battery community.

The use of photoelectron spectroscopy for battery purposes has been intimately related to the development of sample handling techniques, photon sources and electron spectrometers. In particular, synchrotron radiation based photoelectron spectroscopy offers here new opportunities allowing for interface studies approaching in-situ conditions. The use of synchrotron radiation for photoelectron spectroscopy implies a number of important advantages compared to characteristic lab sources such as AlKα. The beam intensities are significantly larger due to advanced insertion devices in the synchrotron storage rings allowing faster measurements and better resolution. The photon energies can also be tuned to desired values within wide ranges. For a particular beam-line, constraints in the monochromator configuration limit the energy range that can be used. Soft X-ray beam-lines are equipped with high resolution Si(111) monochromators to provide tunable photon energies up to 150 eV (Fe Kα) or 700 eV (Al Kα).
Figure 1. (a) Schematic representation of the operating principle of a Li-ion battery. (b) Zoom on the electrode/electrolyte interphases with the SEI (Solid Electrolyte Interphase) and the SPI (Solid Permeable Interphase) present at the surface of the negative and positive electrode respectively.

with grazing incidence grating monochromators, while for beam-lines providing photon energies above 2000 eV crystal monochromators are required.

When synchrotron based methods were introduced, the possibility to measure core levels with photon energies in the range below AlKα (hν = 1487 eV) was an important new ingredient, allowing for detailed control of the surface sensitivity. To differentiate from recent developments at harder X-ray energies, measurements using photon energies below 2000 eV will in the present paper be referred to as SOXPES (SOft X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy). The recent development has been the extension of the photon energies up to 10 keV and is commonly referred to as HAXPES (HArd X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy). This implies that interfacial modifications during cycling are accessible down to probing depths of 100 Å–500 Å.

Sample handling.— There are several different approaches for conducting experiments for the study of electrode/electrolyte interfaces in Li-ion batteries. Ideally, one would like to study the electronic structure and interfaces of battery materials in situ/in operando but this poses experimental challenges that are not easy to meet. Lithium is stable in pure oxygen gas while it is highly reactive in presence of moisture or CO₂. The effect on the surface when exposed to air can

Figure 2. (a) Universal curve representing the Inelastic Mean Free Path (IMFP) as a function of the kinetic energy of the photoelectrons. (b) Illustration of the energy range (hν and Ekin) reached by using monochromatic (AlKα) or synchrotron (Soft X-ray and Hard X-ray) sources. For a particular beam-line, constraints in the monochromator configuration limits the accessible energy range. Soft X-ray beam-lines are equipped with grazing incidence grating monochromators, while for photon energies above 2000 eV crystal monochromators are required.
lead to an over-estimation of some surface compounds present in the SEI layer such as Li$_2$CO$_3$, Li$_2$O and LiF. For example, the reaction between the common electrolyte salt LiPF$_6$ with water can lead to the formation of species such as HF formed according to the reaction:

$$2\text{LiPF}_6 + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{Li}_2\text{O} + 2\text{PF}_5 + 2\text{HF}$$

Therefore, when studying the thin anode SEI and cathode SPI-layers formed during cycling of a real Li-ion battery using PES, the battery needs to be taken apart in inert atmosphere (Ar-filled glove box) and transferred to a spectrometer in a protected vessel. This means that most knowledge we have so far of cycling of real Li-ion batteries using PES is based on ex-situ post-mortem experiments. Some commercial PES instruments are equipped with special transfer chambers where samples can be handled in a controlled environment. However, these systems are not easily adapted to fit the specific instrumentation used at synchrotron facilities. For more general purposes, such as instrumentation used at synchrotron facilities, one may use transfer systems for moving samples directly between an Ar filled glove box to any vacuum instrument without exposing the samples to air. In the version designed by us (Figure 3) the device is built up in two parts: a portable unit consisting of a magnetic transfer rod mounted on a small vacuum chamber with a valve at the front end, and an O-ring sealed adapter used between the portable unit and the glove box. The portable unit can thus, apart from being mounted to the adapter, also be mounted directly on the load lock of the PES instrument. The process can also be reversed for continued electrochemical treatment without air exposure.

After introduction of an electrode into the spectrometer, the volatile compounds present at the surface of the sample are pumped off and the most stable compounds present on the electrode surfaces remain. Generally, these remaining parts are considered to represent the SEI or SPI layers together with traces of electrolyte residues. The residues can contribute to the spectra both in terms of attenuation of the signals from the electrode as well as with unwanted extra peaks obscuring the information of the relevant electrode peaks. A common way to remove electrolyte residues is to perform a post-rinsing of the cycled electrode using a volatile solvent prior to the PES measurement. It is important to realize that washing and vacuum conditions may induce etching must be used with caution due to the risk of inducing side reactions during the experiment leading to an overestimate of LiF, Li$_2$CO$_3$ and Li$_2$O on the surface. Angular resolved depth profiling is a common tool for studying the chemical gradient in smooth surfaces such as stainless steels. For rough surfaces and complex morphology such as SEI and SPI on battery electrodes this technique is of more limited use. Utilizing the fact that the surface sensitivity of a PES measurement can be varied by tuning the photon energy, a non-destructive depth characterization of the SEI may be obtained. As will be discussed below, such a methodology has been shown to give reliable and new information about the chemical gradients of the SEI on typical anode materials such as graphite and silicon.

Due to the formation of non-conducting compounds on the surface of the electrode during cycling of the battery (LiF, Li$_2$CO$_3$ etc.) sample charging can often give broad and featureless spectra that are difficult to resolve and calibrate. An appropriate practice to check for such effects is to perform quick-scan reference recordings before and after the data acquisition of each peak. Caution must also be exercised in relation to the occurrence of inhomogeneous charging for these composite films as well as radiation damage. It is crucial to estimate the sensitivity of the battery sample to radiation damage and estimate the rate of change to establish a consistent and accurate measurement protocol. Figure 4 shows how radiation damage can locally impact the sample and the resulting spectra.

Lithium Battery Interface Studies

This section highlights examples of PES applied to battery research. Specifically it highlights how synchrotron based PES has been implemented to address different questions useful for the development of the Li-ion batteries. The first part of this section, Battery interphase layers, focuses on the use of PES to investigate the interphase layers and the second part, Properties of battery electrode materials, is devoted to the characterization of the active host material.
Battery interphase layers.—The SEI formation on lithium and graphite.—Firstly described by E. Peled in 1979,23 the formation of a surface layer, called SEI, was observed on a lithium metal immersed in an electrolyte and several models for this interphase was proposed.24–26 The first PES studies appeared in 1999 but the SEI had previously been studied with FTIR providing extensive knowledge about the SEI.27,28 At that time the SEI was pictured as a heterogeneous layer of both inorganic and organic species. The organic component was found to be a mixture of alkyl carbonates and polymer type compounds and the inorganics included LiF, Li2O, LiOH, and Li2CO3 as well as different reduction products of the lithium salt compounds. It was also shown that the kind of organic solvent used in the electrolyte influenced the SEI composition. For EC-based electrolytes it was suggested to contain mainly (CH2OCO2Li)2 including Li2CO3, LiF etc.26 In propylene carbonate (PC) based electrolytes, ROCO2Li was shown to be the main species.30,31

Our early AlKα PES-studies provided information that the ratio between the different SEI components is highly affected by the lithium salt used in the electrolyte.12 Salts such as LiBF4 and the commonly used LiPF6 result in an SEI where inorganic compounds are important parts while graphite cycled with more stable salts such as LiTFSI and lithium triflate will lead to an SEI largely consisting of organic components. It was also shown that the thermal stability of the SEI was dependent on the anion of the lithium salt with LiBF4 giving an SEI that breaks down already at 60°C while that of LiPF6 is stable to above 105°C.12

More detailed PES studies of model systems have since then added to the knowledge about the SEI. By studying HOPG (Highly Oriented Pyrolytic Graphite) Peled et al. could show that the inorganic compounds mainly form on the edge-planes while on the basal plane (where the lithium cannot enter the graphite) mainly organic SEI compounds form.33 This study has recently been followed by similar studies confirming the earlier results but with a larger precision in their observations.34

The introduction of synchrotron based PES offers the opportunity to make measurements with variable surface sensitivity, and thus a non-destructive method to scan the composition of the interface layers at different depths. To our knowledge, the first study of the depth profile of a graphite SEI using synchrotron radiation-based photoelectron spectroscopy appeared in 2003.35 Below we give further examples of such depth profiling of battery interfaces.

Figure 5 shows an example of depth profiling using both the surface sensitive SOXPES and more bulk sensitive HAXPES to study the SEI of a graphite electrode prepared in a full cell configuration (graphite vs. LiFePO4). In the C1s spectra the presence of the SEI is clearly observed since the substrate signal can barely be observed at low photon energies (SOXPES at 430 eV and a probing depth of about 2 nm), while at higher photon energies the substrate peak is dominating (HAXPES at 6900 nm and a probing depth of 47 nm). The F1s, O1s and P2p give further insight to the depth profile of the SEI. For example the presence of Li2O when using HAXPES shows that Li2O can be found deep in the SEI (O1s spectra). The combination of SOXPES and HAXPES on the graphite electrode in this way gives a depth profile including a reliable picture of both the lithiated graphite feature (at 282 eV in the C1s spectra) and its SEI and enables us to suggest the schematic picture of the SEI.

For characterization of the outermost surface region (a few nm) the use of different photon energies in the SOXPES regime is particularly valuable since a common kinetic energy and thus the same probing depth for different core levels can be obtained. As an example, in Figure 5 the same kinetic energy (approximately 145 eV) for the emitted photoelectrons was used by setting different photon energies for different core levels (F1s 835 eV, O1s 680 eV, C1s 430 eV and P2p 280 eV). At higher photon energies such an adjustment becomes less important and compounds can be made directly using the same photon energies. The large benefit from the use of HAXPES comes from recording relatively more information from the bulk, and also means of accessing information from core levels that cannot be accessed using classical Mg Kα and Al Kα based PES. P1s is one example and together with the P2p peak this indicates a reaction of LiPF6 forming a Li1P2O6F2 compound with increasing amounts deeper in the SEI. To further emphasize the presence of an SEI layer a similar investigation was carried out on the SPI formed on the positive LiFePO4 electrode, also shown in Figure 5. It specifically shows that the active material can also be detected at lower photon energies and thus that the SPI is clearly thinner than the SEI.

Interphase layers formed on other electrode materials.—The inter-phase layers formed on other electrode materials have also been studied, for example on silicon that, compared to graphite, has a capacity about ten times higher. The Si-Li alloying leads to a large volume expansion during lithiation, which in turn makes fabrication of high quality electrolytes difficult due to the cracking and reformation of the SEI during battery cycling.

Using a methodology similar to the graphite case above, the first study of SEI on silicon electrodes using synchrotron radiation based techniques was made by the present authors using both SOXPES and HAXPES.36 By investigating a larger number of samples stopped at different potentials during first lithiation it was shown that the SEI on a Si electrode is formed at the very beginning of the first discharge, starting before the lithiation of the silicon. It was also shown that, when using similar electrolytes, the SEI has a composition akin to that observed on lithium or graphite.21 Most interestingly, in another study it was shown that its composition remains stable during a long term cycling between 0.9 V and 0.12 V vs. Li+/Li.37 The C1s, F1s and P2p/P1s spectra after 100 discharges (lithiated state) are presented in Figure 6. The variation of the C1s spectra illustrates the layered structure of the SEI with an outer part mainly consisting of organic materials while the inner part is richer in inorganic species. Very similar results were obtained using this methodology on a conversion electrode material, viz. Fe2O3.38

The use of PES over the broad photon energy range available via synchrotron radiation allows detailed depth profiling of the SEI. Although an in-house PES offers less flexibility for SEI investigations, a suitable matching between the SEI layer thickness and probing depth of an in-house spectrometer will also provide a means for in-depth SEI characterization. Examples of this are studies on MnSn2,39 Ni3Sn4,40 Cu3Sn,41 and TlSnSb42 where the SEI layer has been systematically investigated. Interestingly, some investigations of the SEI have highlighted the importance of the initial contact with the electrolyte as an important step where reactions may occur that will influence the future cycling history. This is the case for example for the Cu3Sn electrode where a passivation layer is formed by simple contact with the electrolyte, in contrast to a graphite electrode. Thus, not only pristine and cycled electrodes should be investigated but also electrodes that have only been exposed to the electrolyte.

Compared to the detailed synchrotron based SOXPES/HAXPES studies carried out on the SEI of negative electrodes, fewer synchrotron based investigations of the cathode and its SPI have been reported. As will be discussed in the next section, a reason for this is that the SPI is very thin and traditional PES investigations of the electrodes are thus well matched to study the substrate through the associated SPI. Most PES investigations of cathodes have hence been focused on the host material and the bulk material changes during the electrochemical cycling rather than the SPI. One example of the use of SOXPES to obtain information on the SPI was given in the previous section with LiFePO4 cycled versus graphite. Another recent example is the investigation of the SPI formed on the carbon black (CB) additives commonly used in the positive electrode formulation to increase the electrical conductivity. Decomposition of the electrolyte at the surface of CB at high voltages up to 4.9 V was studied by SOXPES and the results revealed partial decomposition of the electrolytes on the CB electrode at the OCV state, i.e. after a simple storage of a mounted battery without applying external current or voltage.43

Among the in-house PES studies focusing on the SPI, we can also mention an early paper on LiCoO2, LiNiO2, LiNi1−xCoxO2, LiFePO4,44 or more recently two detailed studies of the aging mechanisms of LiNi0.6Co0.2Mn0.2O2 electrode cycled at high temperature.45
Figure 5. Lithiated graphite (top) and delithiated LiFePO₄ (bottom) PES spectra (F1s, O1s, C1s and P2p) presented as a function of the excitation energies i.e. the probing depth and resulting schematic of the SEI and SPI. Adapted from Ref. 20.
Effect of additives on interphase formation.—The SEI layers discussed in the previous section were formed using classical electrolyte compositions, i.e. an electrolyte salt dissolved in organic solvents (EC, DEC, DMC, EMC typically). However, electrolytes in commercial Li-ion batteries are more complex and generally contain many additives. The use of additives can significantly improve the battery performance and additives often result in changes in the SEI chemistry. PES studies of additives have in general shown the thicknesses of the SEI to increase and the formation of LiF is in general lower than for non-additive electrolytes.

PES has been used to track the changes in SEI chemistry and to suggest degradation mechanisms. The negative effect of the TPP, a flame retardant (triphenyl phosphate) for high power applications (LiFePO4/graphite cells) required for hybrid electric vehicle was highlighted using, among other techniques, PES. This study showed that, in addition to decreasing the overall conductivity of the battery, the presence of TPP leads to thicker interface layers affecting the performance of the cell in a negative way. The beneficial use of Glutaric Anhydride (GA) on LiNi0.4Mn1.6O4 / Li4Ti5O12 cells was also well illustrated through PES investigations of the SEI and SPI. In the presence of GA additives, thicker interfacial layer were obtained however exhibiting less resistivity than the layers without additives due to a better ionic conductivity. It was shown by PES that the degradation products of GA behave like a polymer electrolyte interface reducing significantly the capacity fading during cycling.

VC (vinylene carbonate) and FEC (fluoroethylene carbonate) are probably the most frequently used additives in electrolytes and generally form a smoother and more stable SEI layer even at elevated temperatures. Investigations suggest the formation of a polymeric structure resulting from the VC or FEC degradation enhancing the overall coverage of the negative electrode by the SEI. The effect of VC was investigated by in-house PES on LiCoO2/C, LiCoO2/Li4Ti5O12, LiFePO4/graphite, and graphite/Li systems and evidence was found that separate mechanisms occur at the negative electrode and at the positive electrode, although the same VC polymer is deposited at the surface of both electrodes, resulting from a radical polymerization process (see Figure 7). A similar degradation route was observed on silicon based electrodes and a thick organic layer was reported. However, the sampling depth of the PES did not allow the study of
the Si 2p and it is hence difficult to know if VC really protects the electrode from side reaction such as e.g. the formation of SiOxFy.32

A recent study on silicon electrodes with a combined use of SOXPES and HAXPES indicated a degradation mechanisms of FEC.53 The authors show that FEC is degraded prior to the classical solvents due to its higher reduction potential, an initial SEI is formed and will limit both the reduction of EC/DEC and the degradation of the salt LiPF6. A defluorination followed by a ring-opening are the two most probable degradation routes for FEC as illustrated in Figure 7. Using a similar methodology, the positive effect of PMS (propargyl methanesulfonate), a film forming additive, on the SEI and SPI of LiFePO4/graphite cells was investigated and related to the battery performance.54 Figure 7 shows proposed degradation mechanisms of some of the additives mentioned in this section based on PES and theoretical calculations.

Properties of battery electrode materials.— So far, most battery PES studies have focused on the understanding of the SEI. However, PES can also be used to investigate the electrode material itself and follow its evolution during cycling. The method is here a versatile complement to the more common characterization techniques such as XRD, IR or Raman spectroscopy. PES allows for characterization of the surface/interface/bulk depth range of the electrode and the technique can therefore contribute in a unique way to the understanding of the chemical/electrical energy conversion process. In terms of properties, PES can be used to investigate the role of material stoichiometry, oxidation state, impurities etc. It can also be used to differentiate between electrode surface and bulk as well as to investigate the electrode material buried under a thick SEI.

In a comparison between the negative and positive electrode materials the thickness of the SEI compared to the SPI make studies on the negative materials more complex while reasonable investigations on positive materials can be obtained using an in-house PES. Therefore we will in the first part of this section briefly introduce the use of PES for studies of electrode materials by an in-house investigation of a positive material (3.2.1). In the second part (3.2.2) we will describe the more thorough synchrotron based investigations on the negative electrode materials using combinations of SOXPES and HAXPES by examples from the three main families: insertion/intercalation materials, alloying materials and conversion materials.

Positive electrode materials.—A wide variety of insertion/intercalation materials have been proposed in the literature and most of them are based on the activity of a transition metal redox couple Mn+/Mn2+ (with M = Co, Ni, Mn, Fe, etc). Among the most successful materials LiCoO2 with its layered structure is the most common cathode material in commercial Li-ion batteries.55 The high cost of cobalt, its toxicity, and its instability at high potentials pushed researchers to look for alternatives and the solution came in the early 2000’s in terms of mixed transition metal oxides. This has led to the development of LiNi0.5Mn1.5O4,58 to compare LiFe0.33Mn0.67PO4 and LiFePO4,59 electrodes and determine the active/inactive redox couple in transition of metallic lithium at the interface or the formation of a lithium enriched carbon outer layer in a more disordered form, surrounding the LiC6.

Figure 7 shows proposed degradation mechanisms of some of the additives mentioned in this section based on PES and theoretical calculations.

Negative electrode materials.—Insertion/Intercalation materials.— Despite the large number of PES studies reported on graphite electrode materials, the investigation of the mechanism of the direct lithiation/delithiation remains a difficult challenge. Most investigations mainly rely on the Cls spectra and as seen in the spectra presented above, the SEI contains a substantial amount of carbonaceous species making the graphite substrate signal difficult to resolve. However, the use of HAXPES provides improved possibilities to separate the signals from the substrate materials. In particular, it has been shown that the Li signal from the substrate can be observed at an increased probe depth.62 These findings are presented in Figure 9. The Cls and Li1s core level peaks (in black) of Li,C are clearly distinguishable from the SEI components (in yellow). From these results it was possible to make an estimate of the amount of lithium present in the carbon electrode surface region. The relation between the amount of lithium reduced at the surface and the carbon active material was found to be close to one-to-one in this investigation. This may indicate the deposition of metallic lithium at the interface or the formation of a lithium enriched carbon outer layer in a more disordered form, surrounding the LiC6.

The study of electrode materials other than graphite may allow more straightforward interpretations to be made of PES results pertaining to ion insertion. For instance, it has been shown that electrochemical lithiation of nanostructured anatase TiO2 forms Li,TiO2 where the titanium is reduced from Ti4+ to Ti3+. Upon Li insertion in anatase TiO2 a phase separation into a Li-poor and a Li-rich phase occurs.63,65 The Li-poor phase is crystallographically very similar to the original anatase structure, whereas the Li-rich phase has a different geometric structure. The transformation from anatase to the Li-rich

Figure 8. Fe 2p spectra of the charged and discharged LiFePO4 electrodes upon the 1st and the 200th cycle at room temperature and at 60°C. From Ref. 61.
phase is described as an orthorhombic distortion of the atomic positions and the x value is estimated to be 0.5. The Li-rich phase is therefore commonly denoted lithium titanate of formal stoichiometry Li0.5TiO2. As can be seen from the Ti 2p PES spectra, see Figure 10 (right), a new peak appears upon lithium insertion attributed to Ti3+ states. Although these measurements were performed with very high surface sensitivity SOXPES, a good match between the Ti3+/Ti4+ ratio and the charge electrochemically stored was found, which indicates that the insertion process is vertically largely homogeneous.

Model studies on the electrochemical lithiation process have also been performed by the evaporation of metallic lithium onto an electrode material under UHV conditions. The feasibility of lithium insertion into a nanostructured anatase TiO2 film under UHV conditions was demonstrated by employing PES (see Figure 10 (left)). A comparison of the resulting spectra obtained in the ultraclean environment of UHV and those obtained for samples prepared with electrochemical insertion (Figure 10 (right)) thus allows distinguishing the effects associated with the electrode/electrolyte interaction. A clear difference between the two insertion methods is observed in the spectra of the electrochemical insertion process show the growth of a high binding energy component that, for the highest amounts of lithium, dominates the spectrum. In line with the discussions above, this overlayer component stems from the presence of the SEI. An estimate of the charge transfer between lithium and titanium was performed using the Ti 3d bandgap peak compared to the Ti3+ contribution of the corresponding Ti 3p peak. The Ti 3d intensity was found to increase linearly with x up to a value of about 0.35. A relatively constant electron transfer ratio was found in this interval with a value of 0.85 ± 0.10e transferred to Ti 3d bandgap states in good agreement with theoretical calculations.

Materials with a more complex chemistry or containing multiple transition metals have been investigated, such as for example titanium oxyphosphate materials. The lithium insertion can take place at potentials above that for a substantial SEI formation, and these materials have shown high rate capability as well as good and stable specific capacity. The stability of the phosphate group also renders these materials rather safe electrode materials. In a recent HAXPES study on Ni0.5TiOPO4, the lithium insertion process is vertically largely homogeneous. The Li-rich phase is therefore commonly denoted lithium titanate of formal stoichiometry Li0.5TiO2. As can be seen from the Ti 2p PES spectra, see Figure 10 (right), a new peak appears upon lithium insertion attributed to Ti3+ states. Although these measurements were performed with very high surface sensitivity SOXPES, a good match between the Ti3+/Ti4+ ratio and the charge electrochemically stored was found, which indicates that the insertion process is vertically largely homogeneous.

Alloying materials.— As mentioned earlier, silicon is a serious candidate as the next possible negative electrode material in commercial Li-ion batteries replacing graphite. Si alloys with lithium below 0.8 V vs. Li+/Li and passes during the electrochemical process through a number of different Li/Si phases up to the fully lithiated Li13Si4 at room temperature. By combining SOXPES and HAXPES investigations, a depth profiling of the outermost surface down into the bulk of the silicon electrode was performed and has provided important information about the role of the native silicon oxide during the cycling of the battery.

Figure 9 shows the Si2p spectra of the pristine electrode as a function of the photon energy used. At low photon energies, the SiO2 peak at 103.5 eV is the main component whereas bulk Si becomes the main component at higher energies in accordance with a silicon surface oxidized under ambient conditions. Figure 12b shows the Si2p spectra of the Si composite electrode cycled 100 times in LiPF6 1 M, EC:DEC (2:1). The Si2p spectra from the outermost surface show that a fluorinated SiOxFy feature appears at ~106.5 eV after a long cycling of the battery. The spectra also show that SiO2 is present mainly in the outer surface layers and that a lithiated silicon oxide (Li2SiO2) material appears irreversibly deeper in the material. This latter compound is formed already after the first discharge of the cell. The total surface layer including the silicon-based compounds as well as the classical SEI (organic and inorganic estimated to be below 5 nm in this present case) is so thick that the signal from the lithiated silicon appears only when a photon energy of 1486.6 eV is used. The results imply that the surface oxide reacts with the anion in LiPF6 or with HF resulting from the hydrolysis of PF6−. Figure 13 presents a summary of the conclusions concerning the SEI resulting from different electrochemical and chemical treatments of the silicon electrode. The electrode has both been cycled and stored in electrolyte. Together with the spectra in Figure 13a, the schematic representation in Figure 13b shows that the storage also leads to...
reactions between the PF₆⁻ anion and the surface silicon oxide. If the SEI is formed before storage it can slow down the reaction with the electrolyte salt during storage.

By replacing LiPF₆ with LiFSI, a salt more stable toward hydrolysis, it was shown that the formation of the fluorinated species SiOFₓ was prevented and that the battery performance was significantly improved. Finally, the higher surface sensitivity provided by SOXPES allowed the degradation of this salt to be followed and a degradation mechanism to be proposed supported also by calculation. With LiFSI, a faster degradation occurs at the beginning of the first discharge and that the reduction products act as a passivation layer which prevents further salt degradation thus preserving the electrochemical performances of the battery.⁷¹

Figure 14 shows another example of material alloying with lithium, the intermetallic compound MnSn₂. It was found that tin and manganese oxides are present at the extreme surface of the particles but contrary to the silicon case, these two oxides do not react with the electrolyte by simple contact. The PES investigation coupled with Mössbauer analysis showed that a reduction at the surface of tin oxide SnO₂ occurs resulting in the formation of Li₂O until the end of the discharge and that Li₂O disappears upon charging.⁷² Lithium insertion into MnSn₂ during the discharge leads to the formation of a nanocomposite consisting of the Li-Sn alloy Li₇Sn₂ and of Mn nanoparticles, which are immediately oxidized at their surface. During the discharge, the partial delithiation of Li₇Sn₂ and the formation of Li-poor Li-Sn and/or Li-Mn-Sn phases occurs without retrieval of MnSn₂. During the second charge plateau, further de-lithiation leads to the formation of magnetic MnSn₂ particles.

Conversion materials.— One family of materials used as negative electrode is referred to as conversion materials and contains a transition metal coupled with an anion. Since 2000, most of the attention has been devoted to transition metal oxides (TMO) since they usually present a very high capacity retention and high theoretical capacities.⁷³–⁷⁵ The general reaction is described by:

\[ \text{M}_x\text{O}_y + 2y\text{Li}^+ + 2ye^- \leftrightarrow y\text{Li}_2\text{O} + x\text{M} \]
Figure 11. The galvanostatic lithiation/delithiation voltage profile of Ni$_{0.5}$TiOPO$_4$/C cycled with a voltage window from 3.0 to 0.5 V at C/20 rate (top). HAXPES Ni 2p (bottom, left) and Ti 2p (bottom, right) results from Ni$_{0.5}$TiOPO$_4$ electrode material cycled versus lithium metal and stopped at nine different degrees of lithiation. The data was obtained using a photon energy of 2300 eV. Adapted from Ref. 70.

Figure 12. Evolution of the Si 2p core level peak of Si-based pristine electrode (a) and after 100 cycles (b) presented as function of photon energy used, i.e. the analysis depth. Adapted from Refs. 21 and 37.

Figure 13. (a) Si 2p spectra (in-house PES, 1487 eV) (1) of the Si/C/CMC pristine electrode, (2) of a pristine electrode in contact 96 days with the electrolyte, (3) after a first discharge until 500 mAh/g of Si, (4) after the 100th discharge at 0.12 V (with precycling) (to the left). (b) Schematic view of the compounds formed on the silicon particle surfaces during cycling and/or during storage with the electrolyte. Adapted from Ref. 37.
massive structural reorganization and the electrochemical reactions occurring make conversion materials very attractive for PES studies. The conversion reactions can be studied by following the evolution of one of the transition metal core level peaks and the oxygen core level peaks. Figure 15 illustrates this point with the example of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and CoO and their corresponding reaction with lithium.$^{38,76}$

Fe$_2$O$_3$ + 6Li ↔ 2Fe + 3Li$_2$O

CoO + 2Li ↔ Co + Li$_2$O

For Fe$_2$O$_3$, we can in a first step observe an intermediate phase Li$_x$Fe$_2$O$_3$ both in the Fe3p spectra and O1s spectra. When we go further in discharge, the conversion reaction occurs and metallic iron and Li$_2$O are formed and detected by PES. We can clearly see that this reaction is reversible as Fe$_0$ and lithium oxide disappear during the following charge. The same behavior is observed in Figure 15 showing the evolution of the Co2p core level peak during the first electrochemical cycle. The cobalt oxide is completely converted into metallic Co during the discharge and regenerated during the charge.

We have shown with the above examples that, in addition to information on the SEI, photoelectron spectroscopy can also provide important information on the electrochemical reactions occurring within the electrode materials or at its extreme surface which cannot easily be detected by other techniques. In addition, PES offers a direct view of most of the reactions occurring for negative electrodes materials i.e. insertion, alloying or conversion and the reversibility of these reactions.

The above discussion was related to Li-ion batteries materials. Emerging battery technologies such as Li-air, Na-ion, Li-S or Mg-battery are currently investigated and will also require a better understanding of the reactions occurring at the electrode/electrolyte interface. Synchrotron radiation based PES studies have recently been performed on the Li-air battery.$^{77-79}$ and a first detailed investigation using SOXPES of the SEI formed in a Na-ion battery compared that in a Li-ion battery has recently been published by our group.$^{38}$ SEI studies of Na-ion batteries using in-house PES has become more common.$^{80-82}$ and an investigation using HAXPES on hard carbon was recently reported.$^{83}$

**Future Prospects of PES Methods for Battery Research**

Photoelectron spectroscopy is undergoing continuous development and for battery research, efforts are now being made to develop experimental methods toward more realistic in-operando conditions and to perform the electrochemistry in closer proximity to the subsequent surface analysis. This is also intended to allow for easier interpretation of cycling data on the same sample. An early version of such an arrangement was used by us in intercalation studies of electrochromic effects in tungsten oxide electrodes.$^{84}$ Substantial progress has been made during recent years in creating more realistic environments for samples being analyzed under vacuum conditions in particular for the study of high vapor pressure samples, such as catalytic surfaces or cycled battery electrodes. Already in the early phases of photoelectron spectroscopy such techniques were developed for studies of gases and liquids based on specially designed pumping arrangements of the sample region.$^{85}$ More recently, however, these techniques have advanced considerably due to combined utilization of synchrotron radiation and electron optics.$^{86-89}$

The principle of the new technique (so-called Ambient Pressure Photoelectron Spectroscopy (APPES)) is sketched in Figure 16. In these instruments a series of slits is introduced prior to the analyzer entrance associated with heavy intermediate differential pumping to reduce a sample vapor pressure load. However, this also means a loss rate of the photoelectrons of the same order of magnitude. By introducing focusing lens systems into the differential pumping stages, as shown in this figure, this loss rate can be largely overcome. Moreover, with high brilliance synchrotron radiation excitation, aperture sizes can be substantially reduced, which further reduces the gas load along the electron paths. The overall result of this design principle is that pressure gradients of eight to nine orders of magnitude can be maintained between the sample region and the spectrometer while still sufficient intensities of photoelectrons will be transmitted. For the study of battery electrodes, this allows for the presence of electrolyte during the measurements, simulating more closely the real interfacial conditions of the battery electrode.

Recently, pilot experiments were performed in a lab-based APPES setup exploring the possibilities to directly access the solid/liquid interface of silicon-based electrodes.$^{90}$ Figure 17 shows the principle of the experiment. The sample silicon electrodes were introduced via the load-lock chamber under nitrogen atmosphere. Inside the chamber, a drop of electrolyte (in this case 1 M LiClO$_4$ in propylene carbonate) was then deposited on the electrode surface. Subsequently, the nitrogen pressures inside the load-lock and the analysis chamber were equilibrated to 2 mbar, which is a normal operating pressure for the APPES measurements, and the sample electrode was introduced for analysis. The position of the sample electrode was then carefully

---

**Figures 14.** (a) Sn3d$_{5/2}$ and Mn2p core level spectra of the MnSn$_2$ powder recorded with $h\nu$ = 1486.6 eV and 2300 eV. (b) Evolution of the Sn3d$_{5/2}$ spectra during the first cycle ($h\nu$ = 1486.6 eV). Adapted from Ref. 72.
controlled, so that different spots on the electrode could be analyzed having low to fully coverage by the electrolyte drop. The results are shown in Figure 17, showing the Si2p and C1s/C12s spectra. As can be seen, a position of analysis can be achieved, such that both the signals from the substrate and the electrolyte can be simultaneously observed. This is interpreted as a direct observation of the solid/liquid interfacial region within the escape depth of below 50 Å of the measurement. Due to the presence of the inert gas during the analysis, the electrolyte drop is retained for a sufficiently long time (more than an hour) to allow the spectra of the interface to be recorded.

Further development toward operandi PES measurements of battery electrodes is presently implemented at the APPES station SPECIES at the synchrotron facility MAX IV in Sweden. A split-up view of the arrangement is shown in Figure 18, designed to allow for standard three-electrode electrochemical experiments. The electrode sample is first in a position for battery cycling via the mounting of the electrode on a rotating wheel placed half way into a cup holding the electrolyte. Subsequently, the processed electrode is rotated into position for the APPES measurement. The device will allow in situ post-rinsing of exposed surfaces between treatments. The arrangement of Figure 18 implies an entirely novel approach, where the electrochemistry is performed in situ in the high pressure cell of the APPES instrument thus avoiding complex transfer operations and bringing the processes under study in as close proximity as possible to the subsequent analysis.

![Figure 16](image_url). Principle of the experimental setup for studies of ambient pressure substances with photoelectron spectroscopy. The combined use of differential pumping stages and electron lens systems allows for substantially increased sample pressures while retaining photoelectron intensities. The use of this scheme is further advantaged using synchrotron radiation of high brilliance.
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