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Many applications require storage and indexing of new kinds of data in main-memory, e.g. color histograms, textures, shape features, gene sequences, sensor readings, or financial time series. Even though, many domain index structures were developed, very a few of them are implemented in any database management system (DBMS), usually only B-trees and hash indexes. A major reason is that the manual effort to include a new index implementation in a regular DBMS is very costly and time-consuming because it requires integration with all components of the DBMS kernel. To alleviate this, there are some extensible indexing frameworks. However, they all require re-engineering the index implementations, which is a problem when the index has third-party ownership, when only binary code is available, or simply when the index implementation is complex to re-engineer. Therefore, the DBMS should allow including new index implementations without code changes and performance degradation. Furthermore, for high performance the query processor needs knowledge of how to process queries to utilize plugged-in index. Moreover, it is important that all functionalities of a plugged-in index implementation are correct.

The extensible main memory database system (MMDB) Mexima (Main-memory External Index Manager) addresses these challenges. It enables transparent plugging in main-memory index implementations without code changes. Index specific rewrite rules transform complex queries to utilize the indexes. Automatic test procedures validate the correctness of them based on user provided index meta-data. Moreover, the same optimization framework can also optimize complex queries sent to a back-end DBMS by exposing hidden indexes for its query optimizer.

Altogether, Mexima is a complete and extensible platform for transparently index integration, utilization, and evaluation.
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## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBMS</td>
<td>Database Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexima</td>
<td>Main-memory External Index Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAO</td>
<td>Basic access operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSF</td>
<td>Special search function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISF</td>
<td>Index sensitive function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMDB</td>
<td>Main-memory database system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1 Introduction

Main-memory databases (MMDBs) [12] [30] [46] [80] [64][49] are common approaches for many applications such as financial analyses, real-time operating systems, industrial machine sensors, and scientific applications that require fast data access, storage, and manipulation. The emergence of such domain applications put new requirements on main-memory database systems to support new kinds of data, e.g.; color histograms, textures, shape descriptions in image databases, gene sequences in biology databases, sensor readings in machine-log databases, time series data in finance, etc. To efficiently access and manipulate such domain data, MMDBs need to include new kinds of domain indexes that provide scalable facilities to query and update domain-oriented data representations.

Even though many index structures were developed, very a few of them are implemented in database systems in practice; most database systems [29] [32] provide only B-trees and hash indexes. The reason is that it is very difficult to extend a DBMS with new index structures. The manual integration effort is very costly and time-consuming since the new index needs to interface with most subcomponent of the DBMS kernel. Therefore, for new emerging domain applications that need novel index structures, it may not be feasible to develop indexes from scratch and integrate them into the DBMS. The DBMS index manager should be extensible to enable including new index structures without changing the DBMS kernel.

Existing extensible indexing frameworks [36] [51] [53] [91] support adding new indexes but they require re-engineering the index code strictly following each particular framework’s coding conventions and API primitives. This may still be a daunting task because the index may have third-party ownership, perhaps only binary code is available, or simply it is very complex to re-engineer the code. Therefore, one challenge is how to add new index implementations to a DBMS without code modifications.

When plugging-in a new index implementation to a DBMS, it is important to test that all index functionalities are correct. Correctness means that all index operations should return exactly the expected results and leave the database in a consistent state after updates or bulk loading. Therefore, another challenge is how to automate the test procedures for a new plugged-in index implementation.

Adding a new index to a DBMS requires teaching the query processor properties of the new index, e.g. its supported access methods and how to rewrite
queries to make the index utilized by the query optimizer. Furthermore, complex expression in queries, e.g. for advanced analytics, often hinder the query optimizer to utilize its indexes. Therefore, an extensible indexing system has a need for extensible query processing in which new index specific rewrite rules can be plugged in. Such index specific rewrites improve query performance. Extensible query rewrite mechanisms could also improve the performance of advanced queries sent to a regular DBMS by transforming queries involving complex expressions. Therefore, another challenge is how to plug-in index specific rewrite-rules in an extensible query processor for utilizing the new indexes in queries.

This Thesis addresses the above challenges of extensible indexing, index testing, and index-specific query transformations in a main-memory DBMS. The following research questions are investigated:

1. The overall research question is: How should an extensible indexing system be designed to enable transparent inclusion of index implementations without code changes in neither the DBMS kernel nor the index?

2. How should the query processor of the extensible indexing system be provided with knowledge of the access methods of a new plugged-in index to utilize transparently the index in queries? In particular: How should the query processor be extensible with new index-specific rewrite rules so that the new plugged-in index is transparently utilized in queries?

3. How should the correctness of a plugged-in index be automatically validated? In particular, what are the functionalities to test and how can the testing be automated?

4. When data is stored in a back-end database, how should the query processor transform complex queries so indexes in the back-end DBMS are utilized?

To answer these questions, we developed an extensible MMDB system called Mexima (Main-memory External Index Manager).

To answer Research Question one, Mexima enables plugging-in different kinds of main-memory index implementations without altering or re-engineering their original source code (Paper I). An index extension developer takes an existing index implementation, writes a simple extension driver (interface code), and then compiles the whole module as a dynamic library or shared object called an index extension. Mexima loads these index extensions at runtime. This inclusion requires little development efforts and no detailed knowledge about the DBMS kernel.

To answer Research Question two, for data operations on a plugged-in index, Mexima invokes corresponding index access and update operators (Paper I). The index operators that are common for all kinds of indexes are called basic access operators (BAOs), i.e. methods for creating, dropping, updating,
accessing, and mapping over indexed elements respectively. Moreover, each kind of index often has special search functions (SSFs) that utilize index specific properties for efficient search, e.g., interval search on B-trees [67], and K-nearest neighbor and proximity search on R-trees [1] and X-trees [5]. To utilize SSFs transparently in queries the system contains an SSF translator that transforms query conditions into calls to SSFs.

Furthermore, when queries involve complex inequality conditions, they may hinder the query optimizer from utilizing the presence of indexes. This causes expensive scans of entire tables rather than direct index access calls. The Algebraic Inequality Query Transformations (AQIT) (Paper II) transforms complex queries involving inequalities into equivalent ones, which are more efficient by exposing hidden indexes.

To answer Research Question three, for each index type, the Mexima tester generates a number of queries that automatically test the correctness of the index implementation. The test queries use data generators, which are queries specified by the index extension developer to generate relevant data for testing BAOs and SSFs (Paper I).

To answer Research Question four, Mexima allows optimizing complex numerical queries sent to a back-end relational database (Paper II). The same AQIT rules used to utilize plugged-in main-memory indexes can also be used for exposing indexes in back-end relational DBMSs. Furthermore, scalable execution of rewritten complex numerical queries sent to the back-end relational database requires translating the numerical expressions into SQL (Paper III). This avoids data transfer from the backend database and enables the back-end query optimizer to utilize indexes.

This Thesis overview is organized as follows. Chapter 2 presents technology background and related work. Chapter 3 describes Mexima’s architecture, including its query processing based on rewrite rules. Chapter 4 concludes the Thesis and gives some future work discussions. Finally, Chapter 5 summarizes papers I-V and states my technical contributions to each of them.
A *database* is a collection of information that is organized by a general-pur-
pose software system called a *database management system (DBMS)* [60].
The DBMS enables creation, construction, manipulation, and maintenance of
databases. A *data model* is the paradigm used by a DBMS for representing the
structure of its databases. For example, in the relational data model [18] data-
bases are represented as a set of tables having columns and rows. The database
is manipulated by *queries*. In a DBMS, the *query processor* is responsible for
translating queries into a *query plan*, which is a sequence of database opera-
tions executed by the DBMS kernel. The *query optimizer*, a component of the
query processor, determines for a given query an optimized query plan likely
to be the most efficient way to execute it.

In this Thesis, we focus on two important aspects: database indexing and
query processing in main-memory database systems.

### 2.1 Main-memory database system

Most major DBMSs are designed to store data on disk and bring disk pages
into main-memory as needed for processing. This model assumes computers
with main-memory smaller than the databases. Nowadays, a normal computer
has enough main-memory to fit most databases entirely. Therefore, to take full
advantage of modern hardware, a new class of database systems was intro-
duced: *main-memory database systems (MMDBs)* [4] [12], [29], [30].
MMDBs are most commonly used in applications that demand fast data ac-
cess, storage, and manipulation, For example: enterprise applications [89],
sensor networks [76], industrial data [78], and telecom applications [56]. In
addition, for applications running on traditional disk-based DBMSs, when
data is skewed some frequently accessed “hot” data can be kept in a main-
memory database. For this, major DBMS vendors have developed their own
main-memory database processing integrated with their disk-based DBMSs,
e.g., Oracle TimesTen [82], Heckaton in SQL Server [10], and MySQL in-
memory tables [58]. Furthermore, in recent years parallel MMDBSs have been
in focus [37][86][83][62], with commercial products such as SAP HANA [77]
[89], MySQL Cluster [62], and VoltDB [48].

Colum-store systems such as MonetDB [80], VectorWise [64][49], C-Store
[54] (or its commercial version Vertica [2]), SybaseIQ [73], etc., are designed
to exploit the large main memories of modern computer systems efficiently when processing analytical and aggregate queries over a database in memory-mapped files. In particular, they partition a database into a collection of individual columns that are compressed and stored separately. It enables processing only the needed columns, rather than entire rows and discards other unneeded columns.

Even though main-memory database systems have been extensively studied in the past, the area of extensible indexing and query processing in a main-memory DBMS is little studied [29] and is the focus of this Thesis.

The next section discusses why indexing is needed in the context of main-memory database systems.

2.2 Indexing

A DBMS uses *indexes* to speed up the retrieval of records in response to certain search conditions [60]. An index associates a given key with a collection of addresses of matching records. To avoid physically scanning all records in a table for a given search condition on some search key, the DBMS can use the index to access only the relevant records. In a relational database, there can be many indexes created for each table and an index can be associated with a single column or several columns. An index is *utilized* by a query when the query optimizer is able to use the index in the execution plan to speed up the execution of the query.

2.2.1 Indexing in MMDBs

*Figure 1* illustrates the memory hierarchy layers when accessing a regular disk-based database. The widths of the triangles indicate the storage capacity of the layers, while the thicknesses of the arrows indicate the data volume transferred between the layers.
The main optimization objective of a disk-based DBMS is to reduce the number of I/O accesses, which is the primary performance bottleneck. In an MMDB, all data is assumed to fit in main-memory so therefore the goals of an MMDB are to reduce memory consumption, to optimize memory cache usage, and to minimize the number of CPU cycles for maintaining data in memory [29].

In an MMDB, before data can be processed by the CPU it needs to be transferred into the memory cache as a data block; this is called a cache miss. If the same data block is referenced a second time, the access time becomes substantially faster since no transfer is needed; this is called a cache hit. When a cache miss happens, the computer must wait for other CPU cycle(s) before transferring another data block from memory to the cache. Therefore, sequentially reading data blocks is much faster than randomly accessing main-memory. If there is much data to access, there will be many costly cache misses so indexing will improve performance substantially for large main-memory databases.

A cache miss is analogous to a buffer pool miss in a disk-based database. The difference is that the block size in a disk-based database is substantially larger than the memory cache in an MMDB and the performance difference between a cache hit and a cache miss is substantially higher for disk-based databases. Therefore, the improvement by indexing is higher for disk-based databases.

Even though column-store systems [80] [64] [49] [54] [2] [73] can achieve high cache utilization and CPU efficiency when scanning columns, indexes on top of column-stores improve the performance with orders of magnitude for queries that do not need to scan entire columns [81][14].

An index entry in an MMDB is a data structure containing pairs (index key, list of handles), where the handles refer the records having the same index key. A handle can be a physical memory address, or it can be an indirect reference to physical memory in order to allow flexible memory management.
Different index data structures organize the index entries in different ways, e.g. as B-trees [67], hashing [63][93], R-trees [1], TV-trees [42], etc. The next section addresses the necessity of extending DBMSs with new domain index structures.

2.2.2 Extensible indexing

*Figure 2* shows an application matrix proposed by Stonebraker in 1990s [55], which categories database applications into four quadrants. It motivates the need for DBMSs to support queries over complex data in the upper-right quadrant, which is required by many of today’s applications such as multi-media, time series, and gene sequences. A key approach to support such new complex data types is the ability to include in a DBMS new data structures to represent domain data.

Adding a domain data type to a DBMS also requires supporting new domain operators in queries. For example, if an image data type is introduced, there is also need for query functions that compute image similarities. Importantly, inclusion of new data types requires new kinds of indexes to access the data efficiently.

*Figure 3* summaries most index structures invented during 1960-1996 [90]. However, very few of them were actually implemented in a DBMS [32].
Figure 4 shows what indexes are available today in well-known DBMSs. For example, both Oracle and MySQL employ R-trees up to 4D for geometry objects. In addition, Oracle Spatial engine supports also Linear Quad-trees [71], which uses a space-filling-curve technique [94] to decompose spatial data into linear-order data suitable for B-trees. Similarly, Microsoft SQL Server Spatial [94] and DB2 Spatial Extender [35] also use a space-filling curve to index spatial data. Alternatively, some DBMSs support function indexes [40][13], which are indexes on the result of a function. The DBMSs compute the result of the function for every update and materialize it in a B-tree or a hash table. Function indexes thus require computation for every single database update or insertion. That makes database updates more expensive and it is inapplicable for ad-hoc queries.
Another approach to improve performance of querying high-dimensional data is to use dimensionality reduction techniques such as DFT (Discrete Fourier Transform) [70], FFT (Fast Fourier Transform), or DWT (Discrete Wavelet Transform) that map from a high-dimensional space to lower dimensions. Then DBMSs can apply some low-dimensional index structures (R-trees or B-trees) to index the data. However, such reductions are lossy and thus they are applicable only on applications where loss of information is acceptable, e.g., financial databases [8] and images [7] [21].

MMDBs have even fewer index structures implemented than disk-based databases. For example, the following systems have only hash-based indexing: Memcached [4], Redis [74], RamCloud [38], Yahoo! S4 [43], and Piccolo [69]. SAP HANA has both CSB+ trees [77] [89] and hash indexes. H-store [68] and its commercial version VoltDB [48] have B-trees and hashing. For in-memory tables, MySQL 5.6 and MS SQL 2014 support hashing only.

The question is why most DBMSs implemented so few indexes, even though there is a demand for new kinds of indexing and there are many domain indexes. The answer is because it is very challenging to include new indexes into a DBMS kernel.

To simplify adding new indexes to DBMSs, several extensible indexing frameworks have been proposed. Generalized Search Trees [36] is a generalized template index structure, which provides a single code base for commonly invariant properties of B-tree-like search trees while leaving other characteristics to be specified by the user. GiST was realized in some prototype systems, e.g., Predator [66] and PostgreSQL [53]. Informix Dynamic Server with Universal Data Option (IDS/UDO) [51] simplified GiST’s design while SP-GiST [91] extended GiST to include space-partitioning trees. A problem with GiST based approaches is that they require implementing the indexes completely following the coding conventions of the frameworks. This is still a challenging task because the index may have third-party ownership, or perhaps only binary code is available, or it is very complex to re-implement the code. It would be better if one could re-use an existing implementation of an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>MySQL 5.6</th>
<th>PostgreSQL 9.5.1</th>
<th>MS SQL 2014</th>
<th>Oracle 12c Release 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-tree</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hash</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bit-map</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial index</td>
<td>Quadratic R-tree</td>
<td>R-tree (GiST)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Quadratic R-tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function-based-index</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes
- **Versions**
  - Oracle 12c Release 1
  - SQL Server 2014
  - MySQL 5.6
- Hash index is only available for in-memory tables.

Figure 4 Summary of indexes in some DBMSs
index without any code changes. This Thesis presents an extensible indexing framework to include new main-memory indexes without changing their implementations.

In order to utilize fully an index implementation it is very important that the query optimizer is aware of the presence of the index, its supported access methods, and how to process queries so that it is utilized in execution plans. The next section discusses this.

2.3 Query Processing

Query processing in general is first overviewed and it is then followed by a discussion of extensible query processing.

2.3.1 Overview

*Figure 5* illustrates the steps of a database query processor.

![Query processing in a DBMS](image)

*Figure 5* Query processing in a DBMS

The query processing consists of the five following steps:
1. A parser constructs a parse tree for the input query doing type checking and some semantic checks for the validity of the objects being referred in the query.

2. A calculus generator converts the parse tree into a predicate calculus representation, e.g. relational tuple calculus [26] [9] where variables are bound to tuples (rows) in tables, or alternatively domain calculus such as Datalog [26] where variables are bound to atomic values. Mexima uses the domain calculus ObjectLog [92], which is a dialect of Datalog allowing user-defined objects, types, overloading, and foreign functions that allow accessing external algorithms and data structures.

3. A calculus rewriter transforms the calculus expression into an equivalent expression to improve performance and enable further optimization. One very important rewrite is to expand views to expose indexed columns hidden inside views. Mexima enables calculus rewrite rules for transparent utilization of new indexes by user-provided index specific rewrite rules and algebraic inequality transformations. This contrasts with other extensible indexing frameworks that recommend manually reformulating queries involving complex expressions [61] [11].

4. A cost-based optimizer [28] applies some optimization algorithm on the transformed calculus expression to produces an execution plan, which is a program in physical algebra accessing the database. The optimizer estimates the cost of executing a plan according to some cost model based on knowledge about database statistics, internal data representations, and search algorithms used in the plan. In Mexima, for given arguments, a function accessing a plugged-in index implementation can be associated with a cost and a fanout. The cost is an estimate of how expensive it is to retrieve the accessed tuples and the fanout estimates the expected number of emitted tuples in a results stream. If there is no specified cost, Mexima assumes a default cost and fanout based on the signature of the function, available index definitions, and some other heuristics.

5. Finally, the execution plan interpreter executes the plan and iteratively emits the result. In Mexima, special search functions supported by a plugged-in index are defined as foreign functions called from the execution plan.

2.3.2 Extensible query processing

In order to utilize a new index in queries, Oracle ODCIIndex framework [39] allows associating an index operator op with an index access path. Only conjunctive predicates where terms have the following forms are supported:

\[ \text{op(...)} \text{ relop } <\text{value expression}> \], where \text{relop} is one of the relational operators: \(\leq\), \(\geq\), \(<\), or \(>\).

\[ \text{op(...)} \text{ LIKE } <\text{value expression}> \]
Oracle provides guidance [61] [11] on how to reformulate a query to utilize indexes when it is not exactly matching the above forms. In contrast, Mexima automatically transforms a wide range of query forms containing numerical expressions into queries that use index specific special search functions (SSFs) to utilize index properties.

In Starburst and DB2 [31], query transformations are driven by a rule engine. Internally, they represent queries by a Query Graph Model (QGM) in C++ structures. A rule table stores all rewrite rules and classifies them into different query classes. Each query class has different rewrite heuristics. The rule engine selects what rules to apply to transform the queries. Similarly, Volcano [24], Cascades [25], and Exodus [44] also use rules to transform relational algebra expressions into physical operators.

In contrast, Mexima does not rely on procedural code since rewrite rules for SSFs are specified as declarative meta-data stored in index property tables. This is possible since the SSF rewriter is designed particularly for index utilization rather than for general relational algebra transformations as [31] [24] [25] [44]. Thus, a challenge is how to specify the rewrite rules as meta-data on a high-level. In Mexima each rewrite rule specified per index type describes a mapping from some terms of a query fragment form into an index-supported SSF function. Unlike Oracle, Mexima supports several query fragment forms. Altogether, the calculus rewriter in Mexima takes into account the existence of certain indexes, an extensible set of algebraic rules, and user-provided index rewrite rules to transform queries.

2.4 Database testing

It is critical that all functionalities of an index implementation are correct, meaning that query results and the database states after updates are the same, regardless of whether the index is used or not. Mexima includes a tester for automatic testing of the correctness of the functionalities provided by a plugged-in index implementation.

Testing of DBMS functionality in general has been studied in [23][45][59]. The database generator QAGen [23] provides general purpose testing of DBMS components. It generates test databases and test queries based on symbolic execution of queries. In [59] an inverse relational algebra generates query inputs for given query results. To implement unit testing for the query optimizer, the framework in [45] generates test queries based on user-defined transformation rules specified as trees of relational algebra operators. The JOB benchmark [88] tests the impact of a cost model and compares exhaustive dynamic programming with heuristic algorithms when enumerating sub-plans. It takes user-provided meta-data or DBMS statistics to generate dataset for given input queries.
QuEval [47] is a benchmark for testing spatial index implementations separate from a DBMS. QuEval produces test data sets based on user-provided parameters and built-in data generators. New index implementations can be developed and added to QuEval following its coding conventions.

Unlike QuEval, new complex indexes in C/C++ can be plugged into Mexima without any code changes. Furthermore, the Mexima tester generates and executes correctness tests of the plugged-in indexes, while the purpose of QuEval is to analyze performance of spatial index algorithms implementations in QuEval under different configurations.

2.5 Amos

Mexima extends the main-memory DBMS Amos [87]. Amos provides a functional and object-oriented data model in which objects, types, and functions are the essential concepts. Functions are used to define properties, relationships, and computation over objects, which are classified by a type hierarchy stored in the database. The functional query language AmosQL supports queries in terms of functions over typed objects, where a signature and an implementation define a function. The signature declares the input and result parameter types and names, whereas the implementation defines how to compute outputs from inputs and vice versa. There are different kinds of functions. For example, a table is called a stored function and a derived function is a parameterized view defined by a single query. Furthermore, foreign functions can be defined in some external programming language, such as C/C++, Java, Python, or Lisp. Mexima uses the object-oriented data-model of Amos to represent index meta-data.

AmosQL queries are internally represented as ObjectLog [92], which is an extension of Datalog with objects, types, overloading, and foreign functions. A calculus rewriter transforms ObjectLog expressions to improve performance. After the rewrites, a cost-based optimizer produces an execution plan sent to the execution plan interpreter.

Mexima extends the query processor of Amos with calculus rewrite rules for transparent utilization of new indexes. It utilizes foreign functions to define SSFs to enable query transformation of queries into equivalent queries calling SSFs.

Amos represents all data objects internally as physical objects managed by a main-memory storage manager. Physical objects allocated inside a main-memory database image are persistent, which means that they can be saved on disk and later restored. A physical object, po, is accessed through an object handle, hdl, which is the offset to po from the start of the database image. Mexima provides a mechanism to access specialized external index storage managers for each index type so that index entries can be stored outside the database image.
3 Mexima

This chapter gives an overview of Mexima’s architecture with references to the papers on which this Thesis is based. Followed by the general system architecture, the second section describes more in details the query processor, while the Mexima tester is described in the last sub-section.

3.1 Architecture

*Figure 6* illustrates the overall architecture of Mexima. The system can used either as an extensible main-memory database or as a front-end query processor to a back-end relational DBMS, or a combination of the two.

*Figure 6* Mexima’s architecture

Mexima enables plugging-in different kinds of main-memory index implementations in C or other programming languages without altering or re-engineering their original source code. An index extension developer can specify
meta-data about the plugged-in indexes. The meta-data can be index specific rewrite rules to hint the query processor on how to process queries to utilize indexes. The meta-data can also be data generators used to verify the correctness of the index. The details are in Paper I.

In addition, Mexima’s query processor can transform complex queries over a back-end relational database so that indexes hidden inside complex expressions are exposed and utilized there, by applying algebraic transformation rules. In general, Mexima is a query processor with focus on index utilization. The details are mainly in Paper II and partly in Paper III.

*Figure 7* illustrates the software layers of main-memory query processing in Mexima.

Query

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Query Processor</th>
<th>Execution interpreter</th>
<th>Mexima core</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extension driver</th>
<th>Index implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 7* Mexima software layers

Queries are compiled by the query processor and executed by the execution interpreter. The execution plans call the *Mexima core* to execute the basic index operations (BAOs) such as `create()`, `drop()`, `put()`, `get()`, and `map()`, as well as special search functions (SSFs) for each kind of plugged-in index. The *extension driver* is a plugged-in interface between Mexima and the unchanged index implementation.

*Figure 8* shows the details of the Mexima core component, with the Amos engine as the gray box. The *extension loader* loads at run-time the index extension as a dynamic library or a shared object. The extension loader registers the BAO index interfaces as C functions. The index name and its registered C functions are stored as meta-data in the *BAO table*. 
When an instance of a plugged-in index is associated with an attribute of a main-memory table, for every data update the index interface dispatcher accesses the index by invoking the corresponding registered BAOs (create(), put(), get(), etc.) in the BAO table. Importantly, the index interface dispatcher maintains reference counters of index keys and values. This frees the extension developer from handling garbage collection issues manually.

Mexima includes an index storage manager for saving the index structures on disk and reloading them later when the system starts. This is important for main-memory index implementations that do not support persistency. In addition, if an index implementation has persistency implemented, Mexima provides save() and restore() hooks to call index persistency functions registered in the BAO table. The details of Mexima’s core are presented in Paper I.

The next section discusses the query processor of Mexima followed by the Mexima tester.

3.2 Query processor

Figure 9 illustrates Mexima’s query processor. The calculus rewriter of Amos calls the Mexima query rewriter for transparent utilization of new indexes. The Mexima query rewriter applies rules to produce an index exposed calculus
expression, which is a calculus expression containing query fragments supported by an index. Without such rewrites, the query optimizer will not detect index access paths hidden inside complex expressions. The index extension developer populates the index property tables (Figure 8) containing SSF translation rules, which are index specific rewrite rules that describe how query fragments are translated to a new format that utilizes the index. Complex queries involving numerical expressions over indexed attributes are reformulated transparently so that the Mexima query rewriter can apply SSF translation rules to expose main-memory index implementation. The details are in Paper I and Paper II.

When the query plan is rewritten to expose a plugged-in index, the cost-based optimizer generates an execution plan that contains calls to the Mexima core to access the index. Paper I describes this in detail.

When Mexima is used as a query processor in front of a back-end DBMS, it enables transparent query transformation to exploit the presence of indexes in the backend DBMS. In this case, the SQL Generator (Paper III) translates the index exposed calculus expression into an equivalent shipped SQL query sent to the back-end DBSM through JDBC for optimization and evaluation.
Figure 10 Mexima Query Rewriter

Figure 10 shows the Mexima query rewriter in details. For complex numerical expressions, the Algebraic Inequality Query Transformations (AQIT) component transforms a query into an equivalent one based on a set of algebraic inequality rules. AQIT transforms numerical complex inequality expressions so that query fragments supported by an index (B-trees in Paper II and high dimensional indexes in Paper I) are exposed.

The index extension developer populates the SSF translation table in which each row is an SSF translation rule for a particular index type. For plugged-in indexes, the SSF translator rewrites query fragments over indexed attributes into SSF calls (Paper I) based on these rules.

In summary, Mexima’s query processor has the following features:

- SSF translation rules describe how query fragments are transformed to expose SSFs accessing plugged-in main-memory index implementations. Mexima currently supports six query fragment forms that can be transformed (Paper I).
- AQIT transformations enable transforming complex inequality expressions in queries to expose hidden indexes both for main-memory and back-end DBMS indexes (Paper I and Paper II).
- When data is stored in a back-end DBMS, queries having numerical expressions are translated to SQL queries sent to the back-end for execution (Paper III).
3.3 The Mexima tester

The Mexima tester illustrated by Figure 11 automatically generates and runs test algorithms based on index meta-data provided by the index extension developer. The test algorithms require index specific random data generators. The system has some built-in random generators to generate keys as numbers and vectors of numbers respecting various distributions. User-defined data generators can easily be defined in terms of these built-in ones or as new kinds of data generators as queries. In addition, test keys stored in files can be declared as meta-data.

![Figure 11 Mexima tester](image)

To test the correctness of BAOs, the BAO tester generates two temporary tables per tested index implementation, an indexed table, and a reference table, where the indexed table has an index of the tested kind while the reference table has a hash index. The BAO tester populates these two tables by executing index key generator queries stored in an index meta-data table producing random index keys. The idea is that having the index or not should not change query results or table contents. In particular, randomly loading, accessing keys, mapping over, and deleting keys should produce the same results with or without the index.

For testing correctness of SSFs, the SSF tester generates and executes validation queries, which test the following:

- When an SSF is used, a query result has to be the same as with non-indexed naive scans.
- The SSF rewrite rules are correct.
The validation queries are generated based on SSF translation rules and *SSF parameter generators* specified as index meta-data. The Mexima tester validates that executing the same validation queries on the indexed and reference tables should return the same result when SSF translation rules are enabled or disabled.

The details about the Mexima tester are presented in Paper I.
4 Conclusions and Future Work

Table 1 positions this Thesis in comparison to existing state-of-the-art extensible indexing framework [36] [39] [51] [53] [91] regarding the following aspects of extensible indexing:

- **Code reuse:** None of them provides solutions to reuse existing index implementations without any code changes.
- **Index utilization query rewrites:** Oracle [39] provides limited support for rewriting queries to utilize new indexes without changing the DBMS core optimizer, while Mexima transparently transforms a large class of queries involving complex expressions to utilize plugged-in index implementations or indexes in a back-end DBMS.
- **Index validation:** Unlike Mexima, none of them has automated validation of plugged-in indexes.

Table 1. Summary of extensible indexing framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extensible indexing framework</th>
<th>Code reuse</th>
<th>Index utilization query rewrites</th>
<th>Index validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GiST in PostgreSQL 9.3.5 [65]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not in framework</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP-GiST version 0.0.1 [79]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not in framework</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracle [39]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Limited</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB2 in DB2 Universal Database 7.1, [34][27]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not in framework</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This Thesis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In summary, the Mexima framework allows transparent plugging-in of main-memory index implementations in a main-memory DBMS without code changes. The extension developer only writes a simple Mexima driver for the universal index operations (BAOs) and some index specific search functions (SSFs) that call the unchanged index implementation. Unmodified index implementations allow to easily utilizing highly optimized and complex index implementations such as Judy-tries [6]. For future work, more kinds of indexes should be plugged into Mexima than those evaluated so far B-trees [52], Linear-Hashing [52], Judy-Tries [6], X-trees [52], and R*-trees [20] (Paper I). This may add more requirements to the system.
To utilize plugged-in index implementations transparently in queries, the Mexima query processor uses SSF translation rules, and algebraic rewrite rules to rewrite queries. Future work should investigate how to extend the rewrite capabilities to support more algebraic rules and query fragment forms.

To validate the correctness of a new index, Mexima calls user-specified data generators, SSF translation rules, and query fragment forms that automate the correctness tests for a plugged-in index. As a future work, it should be investigated how to automate also performance tests of index operations.

Several experiments were made in Paper I: First, the penalty of calling an index implementation by plugging it into Mexima was compared with the stand-alone implementation showing that the overhead was less than one microsecond (µs) per index access. Furthermore, the experiments showed that rewrite rules provide substantial query performance improvements.

Moreover, when Mexima acts as a query processor in front of a DBMS, the experiments showed substantial query performance gains by Mexima’s rewriting of queries to utilize indexes in the back-end DBMS.

For more future work, we shall investigate how extensible indexing and extensible query processing in Mexima can help to improve queries in NoSQL databases as discussed in Paper V. In addition, Mexima can be used to improve data access in distributed and parallel environments (Paper IV) to process massive stream in which each node is a Mexima node.

Altogether, Mexima is a complete and extensible platform for index integration, utilization, and evaluation.
5 Technical contributions

5.1 Paper I
T. Truong and T. Risch: Transparent inclusion, utilization, and validation of main-memory domain indexes, 27th International Conference on Scientific and Statistical Data-base Management (SSDBM), San Diego, United States, June 29-Juli 1, 2015.

Summary
In this paper, we presented the Mexima (Main-memory External Index Manager) system, which is an MMDB where new main-memory index structures can be plugged-in without modifying the index implementations or Mexima. To utilize plugged-in indexes in queries, the system transparently transforms query fragments into index operations based on user-provided index property tables containing index meta-data. The Mexima system includes a rule driven algebraic query transformation mechanism on complex numerical query expressions to expose potential utilization of a new index. To validate the correctness of an index implementation, Mexima generates and executes test queries based on general knowledge about indexing, the index meta-data, and the user-provided data generating queries. Several experiments were conducted to show that the index exposing rewrite mechanisms substantially improves performance and that the performance penalty of using an index plugged into Mexima is low compared to using the corresponding stand-alone C/C++ implementation. Finally, it is shown that the development effort of plugging in new indexes to Mexima is very small in comparison to other frameworks.

This paper partly answers Research Question one, two, and three.

Contributions
In 2011, Mexima allowed inclusion of new index structures and was used for research and education. Later in 2013, index utilization by transparently query rewrites was added whereas the index validation mechanism was designed and implemented during the autumn of 2014.

I am the primary author of the paper. The other authors contributed to discussion and paper writing.
5.2 Paper II


Summary

This paper is based on a real industrial application scenario where data streams derived from sensor readings are bulk-loaded into a relational database system [78]. The application was prototyped as the Stream Log Analysis System (SLAS), which enables historical analyses of logged data streams by SQL queries. These historical queries often contain complex numerical query inequalities e.g. to find suspected deviations from normal behavior of measured sensor values during a time-period. However, such queries are often slow to execute, because the query optimizer is unable to utilize ordered indexes on some attributes hidden inside complex numerical inequalities. In order to speed up the queries, they should be reformulated so that the indexes become exposed. Therefore, we introduced the query transformation algorithm AQIT (Algebraic Query Inequality Transformation) that automatically transforms SQL queries involving a class of algebraic inequalities into more scalable SQL queries utilizing ordered indexes.

AQIT was originally implemented as part of query rewriter in Mexima. AQIT is used both when plugging in new main-memory indexes and when transforming queries having complex numerical expressions to be sent to a back-end DBMS. The experimental results show that the queries execute substantially faster by a commercial DBMS when AQIT has been applied to preprocess them.

This paper partly answers Research Questions two and four.

Contributions

In 2011, I prototyped the first algorithm of AQIT that was part of the query rewriter in Mexima. Later, I wanted to investigate Mexima, in particular AQIT, in a real industrial application described in the paper. Thus, in 2012, I developed the SLAS system where Mexima acts as a query processor (also known as AQIT query processor) with a relational database back-end. The paper was written in the autumn of 2012, later accepted in the beginning of 2013.

I am the primary author of the paper. The other authors contributed to discussion and paper writing.
5.3 Paper III


Summary

In this paper, we investigated the problem of detecting past machine anomalies by querying historical sensor readings stored in a relational database. In this scenario, the main-memory database Mexima acts as query processor in front of the relational database. It takes anomaly detection queries containing numerical expressions, or inequality conditions, or string matching and produces equivalent SQL queries sent to the back-end database.

To enable scalable execution of such queries the numerical expressions should be translated into SQL rather than being post-processed in Mexima outside of the relational database. This is to avoid post-processing large data volumes, which must be transported back from the relational database server to Mexima. Furthermore, if the numerical expressions are post-processed, the indexes on the back-end database have no impact.

The paper presents the NUMTranslator algorithm, which translates numerical and other domain calculus operators into corresponding SQL expressions. The experiments showed that NUMTranslator substantially improves the query performance when the numerical expressions are highly selective.

This paper partly answers research question four.

Contributions

The NUMTranslator algorithm was first developed to enable scalable query execution of complex Mexima queries sent to a back-end relational database. Later, the NUMTranslator evolved to a part of a bigger system to harvest log databases [50]. The paper was written in autumn 2012, and then accepted in beginning of 2013.

I am one of the co-authors of the paper. In particular, I programmed the first limited version of the NUMTranslator, which later was fully developed as part of the FLOQ system [50]. I helped in paper writing and in data preparation for the experiments.

5.4 Paper IV – an application

Paper IV is an example application of Mexima.

Summary
The paper describes an approach to monitor a soccer game that requires processing large volumes of data in real-time and delivers continuously physical summaries of the game as it is playing. The approach is based on an extensible DSMS in which high-volume data streams can be split and reduced into lower volume parallel streams by user-provided queries. Thus, expensive queries can be run in a parallel and distributed environment, in which each node is a main-memory Mexima database.

We experimented with plugging-in different indexes for indexing stream elements in a window. The application tested Mexima’s performance and showed that Mexima can be used in a parallel and distributed environment.

Contributions
I am a co-author of the paper. I helped in prototyping the system and strategies.

5.5 Paper V – future development

Paper V will put requirements to the future work.


Summary
In this paper, we first addressed some challenges in large scale persisting and analysis of numerical streaming logs. In order to investigate further these challenges, we propose to develop a benchmark that compares NoSQL stores with relational databases in storing and analyzing numerical logs. The benchmark is designed to serve as a base system for investigating query processing and indexing of large-scale numerical logs, in particular, how to reuse advanced indexing and query processing techniques in a scenario in which a main-memory is front-end while NoSQL stores data in the backend.

Contributions
I am a co-author of the paper.
Summary in Swedish

Primärminnesdatabaser (PDBSer) [12] [30] [46] används för ett växande antal applikationer som kräver snabb dataåtkomst, lagring och manipulation, exempelvis applikationer för finansiella analyser, realtidsoperativsystem, sensorsystem i industriella maskiner och mer allmänt i många tekniska och vetenskapliga tillämpningar. Framväxten av denna typ av databastillämpningar ställer nya krav på databashanteringssystemen för att stödja nya sorts data, såsom färghistogram, texturer, bildmönster, genskevanser, sensorsekvanser, eller tidsserier. För att effektivt komma åt och manipulera sådana domändata måste ett databashanteringsystem inkludera nya typer av domänorienterade indexstrukturer.

Trots att en hel del domänorienterad indexstrukturer utvecklats har mycket få av dem använts i praktiken, de flesta system [29] [32] använder bara B-träd och hash-index. Anledningen är att det är mycket svårt att utvidga ett databashanteringsystem med nya indexstrukturer vilket normalt kräver omfattande ändringar i dess kärna. Den manuella insatsen för att göra en sådan integration är mycket kostsam och tidkrävande eftersom det nya indexet måste samverka med de flesta andra delkomponenter i kärnan. Därför skulle det vara önskvärt att man kunde göra databashanterarens indexing utbyggbart så att man kan implementera och lägga till nya indexstrukturer utan att ändra i kärnan.

Befintliga ramverk för utbyggbar indexing [36] [51] [53] [91] har stöd för att lägga till nya index, men de kräver dock omkodning av index-algoritmerna där man strikt följer varje enskilt ramverks kodningskonventioner och programmeringsgränssnitt. Detta kan vara en svår uppgift då eventuellt bara binärkod är tillgänglig, det kan vara mycket komplicerat att förändra koden eller äganderätten till indexet kan vara beroende av tredje part. Det skulle således vara mycket önskvärt att kunna lägga till nya indeximplementerar utan kodändringar.

När man lägger till en ny indeximplementation i en databashanterare är det vidare viktigt att testa att alla indexfunktioner fungerar korrekt. Korrekthet innebär här att alla funktioner ska returnera exakt förväntade resultat och lämna databasen i ett konsistent tillstånd efter uppdateringar eller databasladdningar. Det är även önskvärt att kunna automatisera testförfarandet för varje tillagd indeximplementering.

Att lägga till ett nytt index i en databashanterare kräver vidare att dess frågehanterare har kunskap om egenskaperna för det nya indexet, såsom dess...

Denna avhandling behandlar ovanstående utmaningar av utbyggbar indexering, index testning och indexspecifika frågetransformationer i ett PDBS.

Följande frågeställningar undersöks:
1. Den övergripande forskningsfrågan är: Hur kan ett utbyggbart indexringssystem utformas för att möjliggöra transparent inkludering av olika indeximplementationer utan kodändringar i vare sig databassystemet kärna eller i indeximplementationen?
2. Hur kan frågeprocessorn förses med kunskap om ett nytt inkopplat index för att transparent kunna använda indexet i databasfrågor? I synnerhet:
   a. Hur kan frågeprocessorn göras utbyggbar med nya indexspecifika omskrivningsregler så att ett nytt inkopplat index transparent kan tillämpas i frågor?
3. Hur ska korrektheten av ett inkopplat index automatiskt valideras? I synnerhet, vilka är funktionerna att testa och hur kan testerna automatiseras?
4. När data lagrats i en extern databas, hur kan frågeprocessorn omvandla komplexa frågor så att indexen i den externa databasen kan utnyttjas och tillämpas där?

För att besvara dessa frågor har vi utvecklat ett utbyggbart PDBS som kallas Mexima (Main-memory Extern Index Manager).

För att besvara frågeställning 1 möjliggör Mexima inkoppling av olika typer av primärminnesindex utan att ändra deras ursprungliga källkoder (Paper I). En utvecklare tar en existerande implementation av ett index, skriver gränsnittkod och kompilerar hela modulen som ett dynamiskt bibliotek. Denna inkludering kräver lite utvecklingsarbete och ingen kunskap om databashanteringsystemets kärna.

databasfrågor för att identifiera mönster i frågorna där index-specifika sökfunktioner kan användas.

När databasfrågor innehåller komplexa uttryck kan de hindra frågeprocessorn från att utnyttja förekomsten av index. Detta orsakar dyra genomsökningar av hela tabeller snarare än direkta indexanrop. AQIT omvandlar komplexa numeriska frågor till motsvarande frågor som är mer effektiva genom att exponera dolda index (Paper II).

För att besvara frågeställning 3 genererar Mexima för varje indextyp ett antal testfrågor som automatiskt testar korrektheten av indexets implementering. Testmodulen drivs av datageneratorer och beskrivningar av indexets egenskaper (Paper I).

För att besvara forskningsfråga 4 tillåter Mexima att komplexa frågor till en relationsdatabas först transformeras så att dolda index exponeras (Paper II). Samma regler som används för att transformera databasfrågor mot ett primärminnepindex används också för att exponera index i externa databaser. En skalbar mekanism för att hantera omskrivna numeriska frågor som skickas till en extern relationsdatabas kräver vidare generering av SQL-frågor för att representera numeriska uttryck (Paper III).
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