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Increased 1-year survival and discharge to independent living in overweight hip fracture patients
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Background and purpose — Hip fracture patients usually have low body mass index (BMI), and suffer further postoperative catabolism. How BMI relates to outcome in relatively healthy hip fracture patients is not well investigated. We investigated the association between BMI, survival, and independent living 1 year postoperatively.

Patients and methods — This prospective multicenter study involved 843 patients with a hip fracture (mean age 82 (SD 7) years, 73% women), without severe cognitive impairment and living independently before admission. We investigated the relationship between BMI and both 1-year mortality and ability to return to independent living.

Results — Patients with BMI > 26 had a lower mortality rate than those with BMI < 22 and those with BMI 22–26 (6%, 16%, and 18% respectively; p = 0.006). The odds ratio (OR) for 1-year survival in the group with BMI > 26 was 2.6 (95% CI: 1.2–5.5) after adjustment for age, sex, and physical status. Patients with BMI > 26 were also more likely to return to independent living after the hip fracture (OR = 2.6, 95% CI: 1.4–5.0). Patients with BMI < 22 had similar mortality and a similar likelihood of independent living to those with BMI 22–26.

Interpretation — In this selected group of patients with hip fracture, the overweight and obese patients (BMI > 26) had a higher survival rate at 1 year, and returned to independent living to a higher degree than those of normal (healthy) weight. The obesity paradox and the recommendations for optimal BMI need further consideration in patients with hip fracture.

Patients and methods

Patients with a hip fracture who were admitted to 4 university hospitals in Stockholm (Karolinska University Hospital, Huddinge; Karolinska University Hospital, Solna; Danderyd...
Hospital; and Stockholm South Hospital) were included consecutively over a 1-year period. Inclusion criteria were age > 65 years, living independently, and no diagnosis of dementia or severe cognitive impairment based on the Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire (SPMSQ, ≥ 3 correct answers) (Pfeiffer 1975). SPMSQ is a 10-item test that is used to detect the presence of cognitive dysfunction. It has been validated with similar rates of sensitivity and specificity to that of the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), which is the most widely used screening test for the assessment of cognitive dysfunction (Haglund and Schuckit 1976). In comparison to the MMSE, the SPMSQ is easy to give to bedridden patients, since it does not include writing exercises. In this particular study, patients with severe cognitive impairment and those admitted from nursing homes were excluded.

We classified hip fractures as: (1) fracture of the femoral neck (ICD 10; S72.0), undisplaced (Garden I–II) or displaced (Garden III–IV), (2) trochanteric fractures (Jensen-Michaelsen, ICD 10; S72.1), or (3) sub-trochanteric fractures (ICD 10; S72.2). Undisplaced fractures of the femoral neck were generally fixed internally with 2 cannulated screws. The treatment for displaced fractures of the femoral neck was a total hip replacement or hemiarthroplasty for patients > 70 years of age.Trochanteric and sub-trochanteric fractures were fixed internally with a sliding hip screw or an intramedullary nail. Each patient’s physical status was assessed before surgery by the attending anesthesiologist according to the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status classification. The number of medical diagnoses were recorded and included: cardiovascular disease, stroke, respiratory disease, renal disease, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, and Parkinson’s disease. Cognitive function was assessed using the SPMSQ (Pfeiffer 1975). Living conditions both before the hip fracture and 1 year postoperatively were recorded. Independent living was defined as living in an apartment or house, or in a block of service flats. Living conditions that were not defined as independent were nursing home, long-term medical care, rehabilitation center, or other institutional care. Living alone was defined as not having shared housing with a spouse, relative, or friend. The Katz Index of Activities of Daily Living (ADL) was used to assess patients’ requirements for help in everyday life (Katz et al. 1963).

Patients were divided into 3 groups depending on the need for walking aids. Weight was measured on a bed scale upon admission, or postoperatively on a wheelchair scale. Height was measured in supine position. We investigated how different BMI related to 1-year survival. According to the results (see below), the patients were categorized into 3 BMI groups: BMI < 22, 22–26, and > 26. A BMI value of 22 was used as a cutoff for underweight and risk of malnutrition, as suggested by the Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare. The upper limit of 26 was chosen after the investigation of how different BMI levels related to 1-year survival, and also since previous Swedish cohort studies have shown an average BMI of about 26 in community-dwelling men and women aged ≥ 70 years in Sweden (Dey et al. 1999, Eiben et al. 2005). Mortality during the first year after the fracture was determined from the hospital discharge register and the Swedish population records.

Statistics
Differences between groups regarding age were tested with 1-way ANOVA, and this included multiple comparisons between the 3 BMI groups. Contingency tables with the chi-square test were used in the analysis of differences between the 3 BMI groups, and they were also used to identify possible confounders. The associations between the 3 BMI groups and 1-year survival were evaluated using binary logistic regression analysis to calculate odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), both unadjusted and adjusted for age, sex, and ASA score. The association between the BMI groups and ability to live independently after the hip fracture were similarly analyzed and adjusted for age, sex, ASA score, and having shared housing upon admission. Any p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant. We used IBM SPSS statistics version 22 for Windows.

Ethics
The study was performed according to the Helsinki Declaration and the protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (entry no. 206-02). All the patients included gave their formal consent. They could choose to withdraw at any time, and integrity was maintained through an anonymous ID number in the data analysis.

Results (Figure)
2,213 patients were admitted for hip fracture over one year. 843 patients with a mean age of 82 (SD 7) years were eligible for the study; 73% were women. The mean BMI of the patients included was 22.7 (SD 3.8). The characteristics of the 3 BMI groups showed statistically significant differences only for age and sex. The proportion of women was higher, and the patients were older in the group with BMI < 22 compared to the 2 other groups, but the age difference was not significant between those with BMI 22–26 and those with BMI > 26 (Table 1). The 1-year mortality rate was 16% in patients with BMI < 22 and it was 18% in those with BMI 22–26. The corresponding figure for those with BMI > 26 was 6%. The unadjusted OR for 1-year survival in patients with BMI > 26 was 2.7 (95% CI: 1.3–5.6) compared to the group with BMI < 22 and it was 3.1 (95% CI: 1.5–6.5) compared to the group with BMI 22–26. During the first year, 128 of the 843 patients died (15%). There was a higher mortality rate in men than in women (19% and 14%; p = 0.06). BMI was independently associated with 1-year survival after adjustment for age, sex, and ASA score (Table 2).
Table 1. Patient characteristics according to the 3 BMI groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>&lt; 22</th>
<th>22–26</th>
<th>&gt; 26</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients, n (%)</td>
<td>364 (43)</td>
<td>341 (41)</td>
<td>138 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>83 (6)</td>
<td>81 (7)</td>
<td>80 (7)</td>
<td>see notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (range)</td>
<td>83 (66–99)</td>
<td>82 (66–100)</td>
<td>80 (66–97)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>290 (80)</td>
<td>229 (67)</td>
<td>98 (71)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture type, n (%)</td>
<td>176 (48)</td>
<td>181 (53)</td>
<td>78 (56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochanteric</td>
<td>163 (45)</td>
<td>138 (41)</td>
<td>44 (32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-trochanteric</td>
<td>25 (7)</td>
<td>22 (6)</td>
<td>16 (12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical procedure, n (%)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannulated screws</td>
<td>87 (24)</td>
<td>95 (28)</td>
<td>39 (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate or intramedullary nail</td>
<td>188 (52)</td>
<td>160 (47)</td>
<td>60 (44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total or hemiarthroplasty</td>
<td>89 (24)</td>
<td>86 (25)</td>
<td>39 (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA, n (%)</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>37 (10)</td>
<td>24 (7)</td>
<td>12 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>99 (28)</td>
<td>102 (30)</td>
<td>39 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>102 (28)</td>
<td>87 (26)</td>
<td>38 (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>124 (34)</td>
<td>125 (37)</td>
<td>49 (36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of comorbidities, n (%)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>330 (91)</td>
<td>318 (94)</td>
<td>129 (94)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>31 (9)</td>
<td>22 (6)</td>
<td>9 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katz ADL, n (%)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-B</td>
<td>248 (71)</td>
<td>206 (63)</td>
<td>90 (65)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-G</td>
<td>28 (8)</td>
<td>35 (10)</td>
<td>11 (8)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; ADL, activities of daily living.

1 year after the fracture, 165 of the surviving 665 patients (25%) had not returned to independent living conditions. The rate of being able to live independently was higher in those with a BMI > 26 than those with a lower BMI (Table 1). The unadjusted OR for independent living 1 year after the fracture in patients with BMI > 26 was 2.8 (95% CI: 1.5–5.2) compared to the group with BMI < 22, and it was 2.7 (95% CI: 1.4–5.0) compared to the group with BMI 22–26. BMI remained statistically significantly associated with independent living 1 year postoperatively, even after adjustment for age, sex, ASA score, and having shared housing upon admission (Table 3).

Discussion

In this prospective study of 843 old hip fracture patients living independently and without severe cognitive impairment, overweight or obesity were associated with lower risk of death and
a higher degree of independent living 1 year after the fracture. The mortality rate in geriatric patients in general has been considered to be higher in patients who are underweight, when defined, for example, as BMI ≤ 20 (Flodin et al. 2000, Weiss et al. 2008). We found increased 1-year morality not only in those with BMI < 22, but also in those with BMI 22–26, compared to patients with BMI > 26. This has not been shown previously in hip fracture patients, although patients with a BMI of ≤ 20 and those with a BMI value in the lowest quartile have previously been reported to be at risk of increased mortality (Meyer et al. 1995, Juliebo et al. 2010). Consistent with our findings, a recent study on fracture patients in general, aged ≥ 40 years, showed that they had a reduced risk of death when overweight (BMI 25–29.9) or obese (BMI ≥ 30) compared to those with normal weight (BMI 18.5 but < 25) (Prieto-Alhambra et al. 2014). A similarly increased mortality rate has been seen in patients with BMI ≤ 24 undergoing cardiac valve surgery (Thourani et al. 2011); there was also a lower risk of dying in obese patients after primary shoulder arthroplasty (Singh et al. 2011). These observations have been referred to as “the obesity paradox”, indicating that in elderly patients, obesity is paradoxically associated with a lower—not higher—risk of death (Flegal et al. 2013). Our findings may indicate that a larger energy reserve is needed to meet the increased metabolic demands associated with trauma and postoperative catabolism after hip fracture, as in surgery procedures in general (Hebuterne et al. 2001, Ljungqvist et al. 2007).

The mean age and sex distributions in our study corresponded well with previous reports on hip fracture patients, so they were representative (Ponzer et al. 1999, Bachrach-Lindstrom et al. 2000). A substantial number of patients had low BMI, indicating risk of malnutrition in accordance with earlier reports (Hommel et al. 2007, Batsis et al. 2009, Hung et al. 2014). The overall 1-year mortality of 15% in the present study is lower than earlier reports of 22–29% (Haleem et al. 2008, Hommel et al. 2008). This may be explained by our selection of patients, which excluded those with severe cognitive impairment and residents of nursing homes before admission; these factors are well known to be associated with increased mortality (Hommel et al. 2008, Neuman et al. 2014, Tarazona-Santabalbina et al. 2015).

Advanced age, male sex, having comorbidities prior to fracture, pre-fracture level of functioning, a history of dementia, and living situation (alone or not) have been reported to influence the ability to live independently after a hip fracture (Aharonoff et al. 2004). No previous studies have shown that overweight and obesity is associated with a higher probability of living independently 1 year after a hip fracture. On the other hand, earlier reports have shown that low BMI and underweight in geriatric patients are risk factors for functional decline (Stuck et al. 1999). A low BMI in non-disabled but medically ill patients on admission to hospital has also been shown to be predictive of impaired ADL functioning at the time of discharge (Volpato et al. 2007), so it very likely affects the ability to live independently.

Our study had some limitations. We found a statistically significant association between BMI and mortality, but since this was an observational study it is not possible to infer causality. Our inclusion criteria limit the generalizability of our results, because a large proportion of hip fracture patients suffer from dementia and come from nursing homes. However, since patients with hip fracture are highly heterogeneous, we chose to exclude those with severe cognitive impairment and those who were nursing home residents, to obtain a more homogeneous group of healthier patients, living independently. Earlier studies have already shown that survival and functional outcomes are poor after hip fracture in patients suffering from severe cognitive impairment and in those who are nursing home residents (Tarazona-Santabalbina et al. 2015, Neuman et al. 2014). Low BMI has already been reported to be more common in patients with hip fracture in general than in age-matched controls. Our purpose was to determine whether this also corresponded to a group of “healthier” hip fracture patients, and also to determine how BMI in this group related to outcome.

We used the SPMSQ to detect the presence of cognitive dysfunction, a symptom seen in both dementia and temporary impairment. Fluctuation in cognitive function upon admission for hip fracture has previously been shown to be more pronounced in patients with mild or moderate cognitive impairment than in patients with severe cognitive impairment or intact cognition (Strömberg et al. 1997). An SPMSQ score of ≥ 3 correct answers was one of the inclusion criteria of the study, which made exclusion of patients with temporary impairment less likely. The general physical status of the patients was assessed according to the ASA classification, which as a comorbidity index has been shown to predict mortality in hip fracture patients (Bjorgul et al. 2010). In addition to ASA score, we also recorded the number of comorbidities to describe the current health status of each patient. Also, one limitation of our study was that BMI does not give any detailed information on body composition such as the distribution or ratio of lean and fat mass; these are factors that may influence outcome. Furthermore, BMI was only registered at baseline, and therefore weight change over time was not followed. The strength of our study was the prospective design with a large number of consecutively included patients; the novelty was the focus on studying a group of relatively healthy elderly hip fracture patients.

Our findings highlight the importance of finding interventions to prevent further weight loss postoperatively in hip fracture patients, many of whom are in a catabolic situation up to 1 year after the fracture (Hedstrom et al. 2006). However, the benefit of nutritional supplementation following hip fracture has not been conclusively demonstrated, which may be due to inadequate sample size and methodological problems—as reported in a Cochrane Collaboration Review of 20
randomized trials (Avenell and Handoll 2010). That review concluded that nutritional supplementation may have beneficial effects, such as reducing general complications and length of stay. Only 1 trial that evaluated the use of dietetic assistants (food choice, supplements, and assistance with feeding at meal times) showed a trend of lower mortality at 4 months in the intervention group compared to the controls who received usual care (Duncan et al. 2006). Another study showed that muscle mass was protected from catabolism after hip fracture only when protein supplementation was combined with an anabolic drug (Tidermark et al. 2004).

In summary, overweight and obese patients had a higher 1-year survival rate and returned to independent living to a higher degree than those who were of normal or low weight. Thus, elderly patients with a hip fracture may benefit from being overweight, but the underlying mechanisms are unclear.
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