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In this paper, we take a step towards the systematic investigation of amplitudes in five dimensions, and present a five-dimensional version of the spinor-helicity formalism, applicable to massless, massive and supersymmetric states. We give explicit representations for on-shell spinor and polarization variables such that the little-group symmetry and gauge redundancy are manifest. Massive self-dual tensor states are discussed in some detail, as well as all the on-shell supermultiplets that can appear in matter-coupled gauge and supergravity theories. As a byproduct of considering supersymmetry in the presence of central charge, we obtain massless ten-dimensional Majorana-Weyl spinors as products of five-dimensional massive spinors.
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## 1 Introduction

Over the past decades, four-dimensional spinor-helicity variables have been crucial for streamlining scattering amplitude calculations, uncovering novel structures in gauge theories and gravity. They are a fundamental ingredient of the Parke-Taylor formula for tree-level MHV amplitudes [1], are closely related to the twistor-space description of amplitudes [2-5], and provide a simple presentation for modern on-shell recursion relations $[4,6]$.

The built-in advantage of the spinor-helicity formalism consists in providing a covariant and dimension-specific solution for the on-shell conditions, leading to simple expressions for momenta and physical polarization vectors and tensors. In turn, this leads to the observation that scattering amplitudes become simple once they are written in terms of physical (i.e. on-shell) quantities.

Spinor-helicity methods have been used most successfully for amplitudes involving fourdimensional massless states. Detailed extensions of the formalism have been introduced in three, six and ten dimensions [7-12], as well as for massive fields in four dimensions [13] (see also refs. [14-22]). While helicity cannot be defined in higher dimensions and for massive fields, the term higher-dimensional spinor-helicity is commonly used (with a slight abuse of notation) to refer to the higher-dimensional extension of the 4 D spinor-helicity formalism. The invariant content of the higher-dimensional approach is to properly classify all variables by both their little-group and Lorentz group representations, and as such spinor/little-group formalism can be used as a synonym.

Five-dimensional theories are interesting because they populate a special corner of the parameter space of known theories. On the one hand, all theories in five dimensions can be dimensionally reduced to four dimensions. This reduction, however, obscures properties that are indigenous to five dimensions, such as supergravity U-duality symmetries being symmetries of the action rather than of the equations of motion. On the other hand, not all five-dimensional theories can be lifted to six dimensions, either because of their field content, or because of their interactions. Thus, studying effects that depend strongly on the dimensional regulator, such as rational terms in the amplitudes, may be subtle. It may be more appropriate to make all variables explicitly five dimensional. In this work, we tackle the problem of defining natural variables for scattering amplitudes in five spacetime dimensions, and initiate the study of the 5D spinor-helicity formalism.

Large classes of $\mathcal{N}=2$ Maxwell-Einstein and Yang-Mills-Einstein supergravities are naturally formulated in five dimensions [23-30]. Their Lagrangians are considerably simpler than those of their four-dimensional relatives, and yet present a far richer structure than theories that uplift to higher dimension. While the study of scattering amplitudes in these theories has been yielding interesting results [31-40] (see also ref. [41] for a comprehensive review), until now the analysis relied on using four-dimensional spinor-helicity methods after dimensional reduction. To streamline and advance the study of amplitudes in five-dimensional theories, it is therefore desirable to develop tools that are designed to work directly in five dimensions, bypassing the need for dimensional reduction. Additionally, various gaugings of maximal supergravity have been explicitly studied in five dimensions [42-45], including some very recent results [46-48]. While the application of amplitude methods to the study of gauged maximal supergravity is still in its infancy [49], the five-dimensional spinor-helicity methods developed here should provide a valuable tool for advanced calculations [50].

A central aspect of $D=5$ is that it is the lowest dimension where massive antisymmetric tensor states and fields can arise, which cannot be dualized to other types of interacting fields [26-29]. Studying theories with massive tensors in five dimensions may prove to be crucial for understanding six-dimensional theories with tensors, possibly
including the famed $(2,0)$ theory. The study of amplitudes in the $(2,0)$ theory has so far presented notable difficulties, for example, due to the lack of suitable three-point amplitudes that can be used as building blocks [51] (see also refs. [52, 53]). ${ }^{1}$ In many cases, the study of amplitudes in five dimensions has required adapting tools and methods developed in six dimensions. This includes dimensional reduction of the spinor-helicity formalism from six dimensions [51], techniques based on scattering equations [63, 64], as well as ambitwistor strings $[65,66]$.

Venturing away from Minkowski backgrounds, five-dimensional theories are of great interest from the perspective of the AdS/CFT correspondence [67-69]. In its simplest formulation it relates correlation functions in $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang-Mills theory to boundary correlation functions in 5D gauged supergravity given by the reduction of type IIB supergravity on a five-sphere [70, 71]. Supergravity fields are then naturally organized with a split notation in which spacetime indices along the compact directions are related to Rsymmetry indices. The massive 5D spinors with central charge that we will study have a similar organization, and it is likely that they can be suitably adapted to describe states in $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times S_{5}$ background.

### 1.1 Summary of results

In section 2, we provide the basic elements of the 5D formalism we are constructing, and introduce the relevant notation. The starting point are spinors $\left|p^{a}\right\rangle_{A}$ obeying the massless Dirac equation and carrying an index $a$ for the $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ little group. Five-dimensional spinor indices denoted as $A, B$ transform under $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ and can be raised and lowered with symplectic matrices $\Omega^{A B}$ and $\Omega_{A B}$, which can also be used to define the $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$-invariant inner product $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$. Massless momenta $p$ contracted with a five-dimensional gamma matrix are then expressed in terms of these spinor as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{A B}=\left|p_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|p^{a}\right\rangle_{B} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a massless spinor $\left|k^{a}\right\rangle_{A}$, one can define a reference spinor $\left|q^{a}\right\rangle_{A}$ obeying the massless Dirac equation, as well as $\left\langle k^{a} \mid q^{b}\right\rangle=\epsilon^{a b}$. Polarizations for massless vectors are written in terms of the spinors introduced above as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu}(k, q)=\frac{\left\langle k_{(a}\right| \gamma^{\mu}\left|q_{b)}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and carry two symmetric $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group indices.
For massive states, the little group is $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$. Given a massless spinor $\left|k^{a}\right\rangle_{A}$ and the corresponding reference spinor $\left|q^{a}\right\rangle_{A}$, we define two distinct massive spinors (which throughout the paper will be denoted with boldface font) transforming under the different $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ factors, $\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{A}=\left|k^{a}\right\rangle_{A}+m\left|q^{a}\right\rangle_{A}$ and $\left.\mid \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}}\right]_{A}=\left|k^{\dot{a}}\right\rangle_{A}-m\left|q^{\dot{a}}\right\rangle_{A}$. A massive on-shell momentum in five-dimensions (contracted with the five-dimensional gamma matrices) can then be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.p_{A B}=\frac{1}{2}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{B}+\frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\, \boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{a}}\right]_{A} \mid \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}}\right]_{B}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]| Supersymmetry | $\mathcal{N}=2$ | $(1,0)$ | $\mathcal{N}=4$ | $(1,1)$ | $(2,0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vector superfield | $\mathcal{V}_{a}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(3.38)$ | $\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{(1,0)}(3.50)$ | $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}=4}(3.44)$ | $\mathcal{V}^{(1,1)}(3.59)$ |  |
| Tensor superfield |  | $\mathcal{T}_{a}^{(1,0)}(3.52)$ |  |  | $\mathcal{T}^{(2,0)}(3.60)$ |
| Hyper superfiel d | $\Phi_{\mathcal{N}=2}^{\alpha}(3.39)$ | $\Phi_{(1,0)}^{\dot{\alpha}}(3.51)$ |  |  |  |
| Graviton superfield | $\mathcal{H}_{a b c}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(3.41)$ | $\mathcal{H}_{a \dot{a} \dot{b}}^{(1,0)}(3.53)$ | $\mathcal{H}_{a b}^{\mathcal{N}=4}(3.46)$ | $\mathcal{H}_{b \dot{b}}^{(1,1)}(3.62)$ | $\mathcal{H}_{a \dot{a} \dot{b}}^{(2,0)}(3.63)$ |

Table 1. On-shell superfields discussed in the paper for various representation of the supersymmetry algebra. $\left(r_{+}, r_{-}\right)$denotes the number of chiral and anti-chiral little-group components of the supercharges, where $\mathcal{N}=2\left(r_{+}+r_{-}\right)$[72]. Entries of the table refer to the relevant equations in section 3.
in close similarity with the massless case. Massive spinors can be used to construct massivevector and massive-tensor polarizations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{a \dot{a}}^{\mu}(p)=-\frac{\left.\left\langle\boldsymbol{p}_{a}\right| \gamma^{\mu} \mid \boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{a}}\right]}{2 \sqrt{2} m}, \quad \varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu \nu}(p)=\frac{\left\langle\boldsymbol{p}_{a}\right| \gamma^{\mu \nu}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{b}\right\rangle}{4 \sqrt{2} m}, \quad \varepsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}^{\mu \nu}(p)=\frac{\left[\boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{a}}\left|\gamma^{\mu \nu}\right| \boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{b}}\right]}{4 \sqrt{2} m} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Massive anti-symmetric tensors appear first in five dimensions. Massive self-dual and anti-self-dual tensor polarizations transform in the $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})$ or $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})$ representations of the little group, respectively, while massive-vector polarizations transform in the (2,2) representation. In section 2 we show that the above polarizations obey appropriate completeness relations in little-group indices and in Minkowski space. We also introduce explicit parametrizations for the massive and massless spinors, which are useful in concrete calculations.

In section 3, we discuss supersymmetry and study explicitly on-shell superfields, as summarized in table 1. Massless superfields correspond to massless representation of the supersymmetry algebra; massive superfields correspond to short massive $1 / 2$-BPS representations with central charge. In case of $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry, superfields carry free little group indices. Individual fields can be extracted by looking at the different terms of the expansion in the Grassmann variables $\eta$, using well-established techniques. In this paper, we study explicitly vector, hypermultiplet, tensor and graviton superfields with $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry and vector, tensor and graviton superfields with $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry. Double-copy relations between different superfields are discussed. These can also be used to construct superfields with $\mathcal{N}=6,8$ supersymmetry. We furthermore briefly outline the relation between our 5 D superfields and 4 D and 6 D superfields in section 4.3.

In section 4, we discuss superamplitudes at three and four points for interacting multiplets, and present some novel expressions. Among our main results, we have formulae for three-point superamplitudes between $\mathcal{N}=2$ massless vector multiplets,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V})=-i\left\langle Q_{1}\right| p_{2}\left|\underline{q_{1}}\right\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{2}} \mid Q\right\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3}} \mid Q\right\rangle+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|Q_{i}\right\rangle$ are the one-particle supersymmetry generators, $|Q\rangle=\sum_{i}\left|Q_{i}\right\rangle$, and the underlined spinors are obtained by dressing free $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ little-group indices with auxiliary
variables, e.g. $|\underline{i}\rangle \equiv\left|k_{i}^{a}\right\rangle z_{i a}$. Amplitudes between two hypermultiplets and one vector multiplet have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}=2}\left(1 \Phi^{\dot{\alpha}}, 2 \Phi^{\dot{\beta}}, 3 \mathcal{V}\right)=\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\left\langle\underline{q_{3}} \mid Q\right\rangle\langle Q \mid Q\rangle . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These amplitudes have their massive counterparts in the three-vector amplitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V})=\frac{i}{24} \frac{\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)[\mathbf{1 2}][\underline{\mathbf{3}} Q\rangle}{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}\langle Q \mid Q\rangle+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the hyper-hyper-vector amplitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}\left(1 \Phi^{\dot{\alpha}}, 2 \Phi^{\dot{\beta}}, 3 \mathcal{V}\right)=i \frac{\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}}{4 m_{3}}[\underline{\mathbf{3}} Q\rangle\langle Q \mid Q\rangle, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the above are supported by the mass conservation condition $m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}=0$. We find that $\mathcal{N}=2$ massive and massless superamplitudes are distinct from the ones obtained from dimensional reduction from six-dimensions in ref. [51]. In addition to these results, in section 4, we discuss the following results as applications of our formalism:

- $\mathcal{N}=4$ superamplitudes between three massless vector multiplets are given in eqs. (4.30) and (4.35).
- $\mathcal{N}=4,(1,1)$ superamplitudes between three massive vectors are given in eq. (4.45).
- We discuss three-point amplitudes between $(2,0)$ tensors and do not find covariant formulas, consistent with previous results in the literature [51].
- Superamplitudes between three KK-graviton supermultiplets are given for $(2,0)$, $(1,1)$ and $(2,2)$ supersymmetry in eqs. (4.50), (4.54) and (4.53), respectively.
- Tensor-tensor-graviton superamplitudes in $(2,0)$ supergravity are given in eq. (4.50).
- Superamplitudes at four points are given in section 4.2.

Finally, in section 5, we discuss theories of gravitationally interacting fields, including massive tensors, from the point of view of the double-copy construction [73-75]. Among other things, we obtain compact expressions for amplitudes for Maxwell-Einstein and Yang-Mills-Einstein theories, recovering previous results in a considerably simpler form. We then conclude with a discussion of open problems and direction for future investigation.

## 2 Five-dimensional spinor-helicity formalism

To make the 5D spinor-helicity notation accessible, in this section we spell out the necessary details, including the explicit parametrization for the frequently-encountered spinors and polarization vectors and tensors.

### 2.1 Five-dimensional on-shell spinors: massless and massive

Working in mostly-minus signature $(+----)$, we consider the $\mathrm{SO}(1,4) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(1,3)$ decomposition of a 5D momentum and gamma matrices, $p_{\mu}=\left(p_{\bar{\mu}}, p_{4}\right), \gamma^{\mu}=\left(\gamma^{\bar{\mu}}, \gamma^{4}\right)$, respectively. Here 4D indices are barred for clarity, $\bar{\mu}=0, \ldots, 3$, and what we call $\gamma^{4}$ is the usual $\gamma^{5}$ matrix in 4D. Thus, in the Weyl basis, we have

$$
\not p \equiv p_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i p_{4} & p \cdot \sigma  \tag{2.1}\\
p \cdot \bar{\sigma} & i p_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\sigma^{\bar{\mu}}=\left(1, \sigma_{i}\right)$ and $\bar{\sigma}^{\bar{\mu}}=\left(1,-\sigma_{i}\right)$ are the 4 D sigma matrices. Because of the isomorphism $\mathrm{SO}(1,4) \cong \mathrm{USp}(2,2)$, we can lower the upper index on $\not p$ and write the 5 D momentum as an antisymmetric $4 \times 4$ matrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{A B}=p_{\mu} \gamma_{A B}^{\mu} \equiv-p_{\mu}\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)_{A}^{C} \Omega_{C B}=-(\not p \Omega)_{A B} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose the symplectic metric $\Omega$ of $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ to be block diagonal,

$$
\Omega_{A B}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon_{\alpha \beta} & 0  \tag{2.3}\\
0 & -\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}
\end{array}\right)=\Omega^{B A}
$$

where $A, B$ are fundamental $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ indices decomposed as $A=\alpha \oplus \dot{\alpha}$ in terms of the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ indices of the Levi-Civita symbols and sigma matrices $\sigma_{\alpha \dot{\beta}}^{\bar{\mu}}, \bar{\sigma}_{\bar{\mu}}^{\dot{\beta} \alpha}$. We use $\Omega$ to lower and raise indices, according to the left-multiplication convention, e.g.

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{A}=\Omega^{A B} X_{B}, \quad X_{A}=\Omega_{A B} X^{B} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol is normalized as $\epsilon^{12}=\epsilon_{21}=1$, implying that $\Omega_{A C} \Omega^{C B}=\delta_{A}^{B}$.

The gamma matrices with lowered indices, $\gamma_{A B}^{\mu}=\Omega_{B C}\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)_{A}^{C}$, are antisymmetric and $\Omega$-traceless, $\gamma_{A B}^{\mu} \Omega^{B A}=0$. Furthermore, in addition to the Clifford algebra $\left\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right\}=2 \eta^{\mu \nu}$, they obey the special 5 D identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)_{A}^{B}\left(\gamma_{\mu}\right)_{C}^{D}=-2 \Omega_{A C} \Omega^{B D}+2 \delta_{A}^{D} \delta_{C}^{B}-\delta_{A}^{B} \delta_{C}^{D} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

See appendix A for further details on the gamma matrices.
The determinant of $p_{A B}$ evaluates to $\operatorname{Det}\left(p_{A B}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{4} p_{A B} p^{A B}\right)^{2}=\left(p^{2}\right)^{2}$. Thus, for massless 5D momentum, $p^{2}=0$, the matrix $p_{A B}$ has rank two. It can therefore be factorized over the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little group using on-shell $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ spinors,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{A B}=\left|p_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|p^{a}\right\rangle_{B} \quad \text { or } \quad \not p_{A}^{B} \equiv p_{A}^{B}=\left|p_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left\langle\left. p^{a}\right|^{B},\right. \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, \ldots$ are $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group indices and the "bra" is defined as $\left\langle\left. p^{a}\right|^{B}=\Omega^{B A} \mid p^{a}\right\rangle_{A}$. Little-group indices can be lowered and raised through left-multiplication, similarly to eq. (2.4), by the Levi-Civita symbol $\epsilon^{a b}=\epsilon_{b a}$. An explicit parametrization of the massless $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ spinor is

$$
\left|p^{a}\right\rangle_{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{0}+p_{3} & 0  \tag{2.7}\\
p_{1}+i p_{2} & -\frac{i p_{4}}{p_{0}+p_{3}} \\
i p_{4} & -\frac{p_{1}-i p_{2}}{p_{0}+p_{3}} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where we have scaled the little-group components to make the spinor entries free of square roots. Using spinors that are rational functions of the momentum components will greatly simplify practical calculations. The parametrization (2.7) is such that the contraction of two $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ spinors of the same massless momentum gives a vanishing result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle p^{a} \mid p^{b}\right\rangle \equiv \Omega^{B A}\left|p^{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|p^{b}\right\rangle_{B}=0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (2.8) implies that the spinor satisfies the massless Dirac equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{A B}\left|p_{a}\right\rangle_{B}=0, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence it is an on-shell spinor. ${ }^{2}$ Here $p^{A B}$ is obtained by raising the indices in eqs. (2.1)-(2.2).

We proceed to extend the construction above to massive 5D momenta obeying $p^{2}=m^{2}$. The matrix $p_{A B}$ now has rank 4 , so it can be factorized over the massive little group $\mathrm{SO}(4) \sim \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$. To construct this factorization, we note that we can always split $p$ into two massless momenta $k$ and $q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\mu}=k^{\mu}+m^{2} q^{\mu}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q^{\mu}$ is a reference null-vector that satisfies $2 p \cdot q=2 k \cdot q=1$, and $k^{\mu}$ is defined by the above relation. Since both $k$ and $q$ are massless, we can reuse for each of them the parametrization from eq. (2.7). After contracting eq. (2.10) with the gamma matrices, we get an expression that can be factorized over the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ little group,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.p_{A B}=\left|k_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|k^{a}\right\rangle_{B}+m^{2}\left|q_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|q^{a}\right\rangle_{B}=\frac{1}{2}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{B}+\frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\, \boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{a}}\right]_{A} \mid \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{\boldsymbol{a}}}\right]_{B}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, alternatively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p_{A}^{B}=\frac{1}{2}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left\langle\left.\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right|^{B}+\frac{1}{2}\right| \boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{a}}\right]_{A}\left[\left.\boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}}\right|^{B},\right. \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined massive spinors

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{A} & =\left|k^{a}\right\rangle_{A}+m\left|q^{a}\right\rangle_{A}, \\
\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\hat{a}}\right|_{A} & =\left|\dot{k}^{\dot{a}}\right\rangle_{A}-m\left|q^{\dot{a}}\right\rangle_{A} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs. (2.11) and (2.12) are the massive counterpart to eq. (2.6), and express a 5D momentum as a bilinear in the spinor-helicity variables with a sum over the little-group indices. This is a structure common to spinor-helicity formalisms in various dimensions; indeed eq. (2.12) could have been postulated as the starting point of the massive formalism; here we justified it through its relation to the familiar decomposition (2.10) of a massive momentum in terms of two null vectors.

[^1]In eq. (2.13) we assign the indices $a$ and $\dot{a}$ to run over the left and right factors of the massive little group $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$, respectively. For this expression to be consistent, the $a, b$ indices for the massless spinors need to be taken to belong to the diagonal $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$, so that left and right $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ transformations act on the massless spinors in the same way, and the massless spinors can be written with dotted or undotted indices.

Let us further spell out the properties of the reference spinor $\left|q^{a}\right\rangle_{A}$. We will demand that it is normalized relative to the $\left|k^{a}\right\rangle_{A}$, to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle k^{a} \mid q^{b}\right\rangle=\epsilon^{a b} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, this relation can be solved as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q^{a}\right\rangle=\left|\tilde{q}^{b}\right\rangle\langle\tilde{q} \mid k\rangle_{b c}^{-1} \epsilon^{a c}, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\tilde{q}^{b}\right\rangle$ is an arbitrary massless $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ spinor, and $\langle\tilde{q} \mid k\rangle_{b c}^{-1}$ is the matrix inverse of $\left\langle k^{c} \mid \tilde{q}^{b}\right\rangle$. It then follows that $q^{\mu}=\frac{1}{4}\left\langle q_{a}\right| \gamma^{\mu}\left|q^{a}\right\rangle=\tilde{q}^{\mu} /(2 \tilde{q} \cdot p)$. In particular, it implies that $2 p \cdot q=2 k \cdot q=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle k^{a} \mid q^{b}\right\rangle\left\langle q_{b} \mid k_{a}\right\rangle=1$ as desired. The constraint (2.14) is stronger than the momentum constraint $2 k \cdot q=1$, as it also imposes an alignment of the little groups of the $k, q$ vectors. We will assume that this stronger constraint holds throughout the paper.

The constraint in eq. (2.14) implies that the contractions of the two spinors corresponding to a massive momentum are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle\boldsymbol{p}^{a} \mid \boldsymbol{p}^{b}\right\rangle=2 m \epsilon^{a b}, \quad\left[\boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}} \mid \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{b}}\right]=-2 m \epsilon^{\dot{a} \dot{b}}, \quad\left\langle\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right| \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{b}}\right]=0 . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It therefore follows that the massive spinors obey the massive Dirac equation,

$$
\begin{align*}
p^{A B}\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{B} & =-m\left\langle\left.\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right|^{A},\right. \\
\left.p^{A B} \mid \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}}\right]_{B} & =m\left[\left.\boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}}\right|^{A}\right. \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

While it is tempting to identify the above spinors with the standard particle $u$ and antiparticle $v$ solutions to the Dirac equation, this is not quite accurate. As shown in the appendix A, the reality properties of the square and angle spinors are compatible with them being symplectic-Majorana spinors.

In terms of the pair of massless spinors that satisfy eq. (2.14), the $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ identity operator can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left\langle\left. k^{a}\right|^{B}+\mid k_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left\langle\left. q^{a}\right|^{B}=\delta_{A}^{B},\right. \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the completeness relations of the massive spinors follow,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left\langle\left.\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right|^{B}\right. & =p_{A}^{B}+m \delta_{A}^{B} \\
\left.\mid \boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{a}}\right]_{A}\left[\left.\boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}}\right|^{B}\right. & \left.=p_{A}^{B}-m \mathcal{P}^{+}\right)_{A}^{B},  \tag{2.19}\\
A & \equiv 2 m\left(\mathcal{P}^{-}\right)_{A}^{B} .
\end{align*}
$$

The projectors satisfy $\mathcal{P}^{ \pm} \mathcal{P}^{ \pm}= \pm \mathcal{P}^{ \pm}$and $\mathcal{P}^{ \pm} \mathcal{P}^{\mp}=0$. The above relations are analogous to the completeness relations of the standard $u$ and $v$ spinors.

Before ending this section, we note that there exists a reference spinor that is particularly natural to work with. For massless momenta $k_{\mu}$, a convenient parametrization of the reference spinor is

$$
\left|q^{a}\right\rangle_{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0  \tag{2.20}\\
0 & \frac{1}{k_{0}+k_{3}} \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which corresponds to the null vector $q_{\mu}=\frac{1}{2\left(k_{0}+k_{3}\right)}(1,0,0,-1,0)$, and the global null vector $\tilde{q}_{\mu}=(1,0,0,-1,0)$. However, this spinor cannot be obtained by plugging the momentum $q_{\mu}$ into the parametrization in eq. (2.7), since this gives a singular expression. Indeed, the above reference spinor is located at infinity in the parametrization (2.7). This choice has the benefit that inner products are simple,

$$
\left\langle q_{i}^{a} \mid q_{j}^{b}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle k_{i}^{a} \mid q_{j}^{b}\right\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{2.21}\\
-\frac{k_{0 i}+k_{3 i}}{k_{0 j}+k_{3 j}} & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $i, j$ are the particle labels.
Furthermore, with the choice (2.20), the massive-spinor parametrizations simplify considerably. From eq. (2.13) and the identity $k_{0}+k_{3}=p_{0}+p_{3}$, we obtain the massive spinor

$$
\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{A}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{0}+p_{3} & 0  \tag{2.22}\\
p_{1}+i p_{2} & \frac{m-i p_{4}}{p_{0}+p_{3}} \\
m+i p_{4} & -\frac{p_{1}-i p_{2}}{p_{0}+p_{3}} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The spinor $\left.\mid \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{\dot{a}}}\right]_{A}$ can be obtained by flipping the sign of the mass as $\left.\mid \boldsymbol{p}\right]=\left.|\boldsymbol{p}\rangle\right|_{m \rightarrow-m}$. We will use these massive spinors in the remainder of the paper.

When displaying amplitudes in the following sections, we will find convenient to dress the free little-group indices with bosonic auxiliary variables $z_{a}$ and $\tilde{z}_{\dot{a}}$. In formulae in which we do not wish to explicitly display these variables, we will adopt the following short-hand notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|\underline{i}\rangle \equiv\left|k_{i}^{a}\right\rangle z_{i a}, \quad|\underline{i}\rangle \equiv\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{a}\right\rangle z_{i a}, \quad \mid \underline{i}\right] \equiv \mid \boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{\dot{a}}\right] \tilde{z}_{i a} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the index $i$ is the particle label. However, for the reader's convenience, we will keep the little-group indices and momentum explicit for the remainder of this section.

### 2.2 Massless and massive polarization vectors

An advantage of spinor-helicity variables is that they provide convenient parametrizations for general asymptotic states. Here we construct 5D polarization vectors, using the previously-introduced spinor variables. We start with the polarizations of massless vectors. The only natural choice is ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu}(k, q)=\frac{\left\langle k_{(a}\right| \gamma^{\mu}\left|q_{b)}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}=-\frac{\left\langle q_{(a}\right| \gamma^{\mu}\left|k_{b)}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]where $a, b$ are the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group indices and the symmetrization is normalized as $A_{(a} B_{b)}=\frac{1}{2} A_{a} B_{b}+\frac{1}{2} A_{b} B_{a}$. The reference spinor $\left|q^{b}\right\rangle$ must satisfy eq. (2.14) or, equivalently, eq. (2.15). The massless polarization vector constructed in this way is transverse, $k \cdot \varepsilon_{a b}(k, q)=q \cdot \varepsilon_{a b}(k, q)=0$, because $|k\rangle$ and $|q\rangle$ obey (by construction) the massless Dirac equation. It also satisfies the following completeness relations:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu}(k, q) \varepsilon_{\mu, c d}(k, q) & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{a c} \epsilon_{b d}+\epsilon_{a d} \epsilon_{b c}\right) \\
\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu}(k, q) \varepsilon^{\nu, a b}(k, q) & =-\eta^{\mu \nu}+2 k^{(\mu} q^{\nu)} \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Any massless polarization can be mapped to one written in terms of the reference spinor given in eq. (2.20) with a gauge transformation. ${ }^{4}$

Using the massive on-shell spinors we have constructed in the previous section, we can also construct polarization vectors for massive vectors ( $W$ bosons),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{a \dot{a}}^{\mu}(p)=-\frac{\left.\left\langle\boldsymbol{p}_{a}\right| \gamma^{\mu} \mid \boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{a}}\right]}{2 \sqrt{2} m} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction, these are transverse as before, $p \cdot \varepsilon_{a \dot{a}}(p)=0$, and span the $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ little group,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{a \dot{a}}^{\mu}(p) \varepsilon_{\mu, b \dot{b}}(p)=-\epsilon_{a b} \epsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, they obey the Minkowski-space completeness relation appropriate to vectors transverse to a massive on-shell momentum,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\varepsilon_{a \dot{a}}^{\mu}(p) \varepsilon^{\nu, a \dot{a}}(p)=\eta^{\mu \nu}-\frac{p^{\mu} p^{\nu}}{m^{2}} \equiv \tilde{\eta}^{\mu \nu}, \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also the massive-vector physical-state projector. We may also construct a linearized field strength for the massive vector using the spinor. It takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a \dot{a}}^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{\left.\left\langle\boldsymbol{p}_{a}\right| \gamma^{\mu \nu} \mid \boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{a}}\right]}{2 \sqrt{2}}=2 p^{[\mu} \varepsilon_{a \dot{a}}^{\nu]} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}-\gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}\right)$ are the rank- 2 elements of the Clifford algebra as usual, and the antisymmetrization includes a factor of $1 / 2$.

In the massless limit, the four on-shell vector states in eq. (2.26) split into three symmetric and one antisymmetric one with respect to the diagonal little group. The latter mode is divergent in the massless limit,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{m}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{a \dot{b}}^{\mu}(p)-\varepsilon_{b \dot{a}}^{\mu}(p)\right)\right|_{m \rightarrow 0, \dot{a} \rightarrow a, \dot{b} \rightarrow b}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} p^{\mu} \epsilon_{a b} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symmetric states reduce to the massless polarization vector in eq. (2.24),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{a \dot{b}}^{\mu}(p)+\varepsilon_{b \dot{a}}^{\mu}(p)\right)\right|_{m \rightarrow 0, \dot{a} \rightarrow a, \dot{b} \rightarrow b}=\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu}(k, q) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]The linearized field strength for the massive vector has no mass factor in the denominator, so it has a smooth massless limit.

In giving explicit representations for amplitudes in 5 D , it will be convenient to dress the little-group indices with (Grassmann-even) auxiliary variables $z^{a}$, $\tilde{z}^{\dot{a}}$, so that external states carry dressed polarization vectors in the massless and massive cases,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i}^{\mu}=\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu}\left(k_{i}, q_{i}\right) z_{i}^{a} z_{i}^{b}, \quad \quad \varepsilon_{i}^{\mu}=\varepsilon_{a \dot{b}}^{\mu}\left(p_{i}\right) z_{i}^{a} \tilde{z}_{i}^{\dot{b}}, \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we also introduced particle labels $i$. An added benefit of this notation is that the auxiliary variables take care of the symmetrization of the little-group indices for the massless polarization.

### 2.3 Tensor polarizations

Five dimensions is the lowest dimension in which theories can exhibit asymptotic states corresponding to massive (anti)self-dual tensor fields [77],

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mu \nu}= \pm \frac{i}{3!m} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} H^{\rho \sigma \lambda} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{\mu \nu \rho}=\partial_{[\mu} B_{\nu \rho]}$ is the field strength of the anti-symmetric tensor $B_{\mu \nu}$ and the 5D Levi-Civita symbol is normalized as $\epsilon_{01234}=\epsilon^{01234}=1$. For an on-shell tensor with $p^{2}=m^{2}$ and $\partial^{\mu} B_{\mu \nu}=0$, the massive (anti)self-duality relation can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\rho} H_{\rho \mu \nu}=\mp \frac{i m}{3!} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} H^{\rho \sigma \lambda} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variables introduced in previous sections allow us to construct polarization tensors obeying all the required physical constraints. For a massive self-dual and anti-self-dual tensors they are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu \nu}(p)=\frac{\left\langle\boldsymbol{p}_{a}\right| \gamma^{\mu \nu}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{b}\right\rangle}{4 \sqrt{2} m}, \quad \quad \varepsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}^{\mu \nu}(p)=\frac{\left[\boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{a}}\left|\gamma^{\mu \nu}\right| \boldsymbol{p}_{\dot{b}}\right]}{4 \sqrt{2} m} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. These polarization tensors are transverse,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mu} \varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu \nu}(p)=0, \quad p_{\mu} \varepsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}^{\mu \nu}(p)=0 \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

They also satisfy the little-group completeness relation for each $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ factor of the little group,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}^{\mu \nu}(p) \varepsilon_{\mu \nu, \dot{c} \dot{d}}(p) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{c}} \epsilon_{\dot{b} \dot{d}}+\epsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{d}} \epsilon_{\dot{b} \dot{c}}\right), \\
\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu \nu}(p) \varepsilon_{\mu \nu, c d}(p) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{a c} \epsilon_{b d}+\epsilon_{a d} \epsilon_{b c}\right), \tag{2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

as well as the Minkowski-space completeness relation in the space of transverse two-tensors,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu \nu}(p) \varepsilon^{\rho \sigma, a b}(p) & =\frac{1}{4}\left(\tilde{\eta}^{\mu \rho} \tilde{\eta}^{\sigma \nu}-\tilde{\eta}^{\mu \sigma} \tilde{\eta}^{\rho \nu}\right)-\frac{\epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} p_{\lambda}}{4 m} \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SD}} \\
\varepsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}^{\mu \nu}(p) \varepsilon^{\rho \sigma, \dot{a} \dot{b}}(p) & =\frac{1}{4}\left(\tilde{\eta}^{\mu \rho} \tilde{\eta}^{\sigma \nu}-\tilde{\eta}^{\mu \sigma} \tilde{\eta}^{\rho \nu}\right)+\frac{\epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} p_{\lambda}}{4 m} \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ASD}} \tag{2.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\tilde{\eta}^{\mu \nu}$ is the massive-vector physical-state projector defined in eq. (2.28), and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SD}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ASD}}$ are the (anti-)self-dual tensor projectors. The latter satisfy $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SD}}\right)^{2}=\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SD}},\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ASD}}\right)^{2}=$ $\mathcal{P}_{\text {ASD }}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SD}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{ASD}}=0$.

The polarization tensors (2.35) satisfy the momentum-space form of the (anti)selfduality relations in eq. (2.33),

$$
\begin{align*}
& p^{\rho} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} \varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu \nu}(p)=-2 m \varepsilon_{\sigma \lambda, a b}(p), \\
& p^{\rho} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} \varepsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}^{\mu \nu}(p)=2 m \varepsilon_{\sigma \lambda, \dot{a} \dot{b}}(p) . \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

The polarization tensors in eq. (2.35) can also be obtained from the massive polarization vectors we have constructed through the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu \nu}(p)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varepsilon_{a \dot{a}}^{[\mu}(p) \varepsilon_{\dot{b}}^{\nu]}(p) \epsilon^{\dot{a} \dot{b}}, \\
& \varepsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}^{\mu \nu}(p)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varepsilon_{a \dot{a}}^{[\mu}(p) \varepsilon_{b \dot{b}}^{\nu]}(p) \epsilon^{a b} . \tag{2.40}
\end{align*}
$$

This provides one way of realizing massive tensors in a gravitational theory using the double copy of massive vectors from a gauge theory.

The massless limit of the polarization tensors (2.35) is singular because of the manifest factors of $\mathrm{m}^{-1}$; one can however dualize them to polarization vectors which are finite in the massless limit. For example, the self-dual tensor becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu^{\prime}}(k, q)=\left.2 \varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu \nu}(p) k^{\rho} q^{\sigma} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} \eta^{\lambda \mu^{\prime}}\right|_{m \rightarrow 0}, \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{\mu}=k^{\mu}+m^{2} q^{\mu}$ as before, and the polarization vector is identical to the one introduced in eq. (2.24). For the anti-self-dual tensor $\varepsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}^{\mu \nu}(p)$, the same limit holds up to an overall minus sign. In fact, the self-dual and anti-self-dual tensor can be combined into a tensor that is finite in the massless limit,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu \nu}(k, q)=\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu \nu}(p)-\left.\varepsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}^{\mu \nu}(p)\right|_{\dot{a}, \dot{b} \rightarrow a, b ; m \rightarrow 0} . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This corresponds to the physical state of a massless vector, albeit written as tensor.
Combining the above relations we have the following (non-linear) relation for the massless polarization vector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{a b}^{\mu^{\prime}}(k, q)=-\sqrt{2} \varepsilon_{a c}^{\mu}(k, q) \varepsilon_{b d}^{\nu}(k, q) \epsilon^{c d} k^{\rho} q^{\sigma} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda} \eta^{\lambda \mu^{\prime}} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the statement that, in 5 D , the double copy of two massless vectors contains a massless vector in its antisymmetric part.

### 2.4 Conformal generators

In this subsection, we briefly comment on conformal symmetry in five and six dimensions, and their possible supersymmetric extensions. It is convenient to start in 6D, and infer details of the 5D case via dimensional reduction.

The unitary representations of the 6 D conformal group $\mathrm{SO}(6,2)=\mathrm{SO}^{*}(8)$ and their extensions to superconformal algebras $\operatorname{OSp}\left(8^{*} \mid \mathcal{N}\right)$, with even $\mathcal{N}$, using twistorial oscillators were studied in refs. [78-80]. The generators of $\mathrm{SO}(6,2)$ were realized as bilinears of twistorial oscillators and one finds that the group admits infinitely many representations, referred to as doubletons, that describe massless conformal fields of ever increasing spins. These massless conformal fields correspond to symmetric tensors of the spinor representation of the 6D Lorentz group $\mathrm{SU}^{*}(4)$. For the doubletons, the Poincaré mass operator vanishes identically. Similarly, the conformal superalgebras $\operatorname{OSp}\left(8^{*} \mid \mathcal{N}\right)$, with even subalgebras $\operatorname{SO}^{*}(8) \oplus \operatorname{USp}(\mathcal{N})$, exist for any even $\mathcal{N}$ and admit infinitely-many conformally-massless unitary supermultiplets of ever increasing spins. In six dimensions, coordinates and momenta can be described by anti-symmetric tensors of twistorial variables in coordinate-space or momentum-space pictures. The twistorial oscillators formulated in ref. [80] satisfy the commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\xi_{\hat{A} a}, \lambda^{\hat{B} b}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\hat{A}}^{\hat{B}} \delta_{a}^{b}, \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{A}, \hat{B}=1,2,3,4$ are the spinor representation indices of $\mathrm{SU}^{*}(4)$ and $a, b=1,2$. Then, the translation $P_{\hat{\mu}}$ and special-conformal generators $K_{\hat{\mu}}$ in six dimensions can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\hat{A} \hat{B}}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum^{\hat{\mu}}\right)^{\hat{A} \hat{B}} P_{\hat{\mu}}=\lambda^{\hat{A} a} \lambda^{\hat{B} b} \epsilon_{b a}, \quad K_{\hat{A} \hat{B}}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum^{\hat{\mu}}\right)_{\hat{A} \hat{B}} K_{\hat{\mu}}=\xi_{\hat{A} a} \xi_{\hat{B} b} \epsilon^{b a}, \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma^{\hat{\mu}}$ are the anti-symmetric 6 D sigma matrices and $\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu}, \ldots=0,1, \ldots, 5$. Under commutation, they close into the Lorentz group $\mathrm{SU}^{*}(4)$ generators $M_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}$ and dilatation generator $\mathcal{D}$.

Under the 5D Lorentz group $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$, the 6D translation and special conformal generator decompose as $5+1$ which corresponds to taking the symplectic traces in the antisymmetric tensor representation of $\mathrm{SU}^{*}(4)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\hat{A} \hat{B}}=P^{A B}+\frac{1}{4} \Omega^{A B} P, \quad K_{\hat{A} \hat{B}}=K_{A B}+\frac{1}{4} \Omega_{A B} K, \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where as before $\Omega_{A B}$ is the $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ invariant symplectic metric, and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P^{A B}=P^{\hat{A} \hat{B}}-\frac{1}{4} \Omega^{A B} P, & P=\frac{1}{4} \Omega_{A B} P^{\hat{A} \hat{B}}=P_{5}, \\
K_{A B}=K_{\hat{A} \hat{B}}-\frac{1}{4} \Omega_{A B} K, & K=\frac{1}{4} \Omega^{A B} K_{\hat{A} \hat{B}} . \tag{2.48}
\end{array}
$$

The generators $P^{A B}$ and $K_{A B}$ close into the $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ generators $M_{B}^{A}$ and dilatation generator. Together, they generate the 5D conformal group $\mathrm{SO}(5,2)$. The conformallymassless representations of $\mathrm{SO}(6,2)$ lead to massive representations of $\mathrm{SO}(5,2)$ under the above reduction since

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\hat{\mu}} P_{\hat{\mu}}=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad P^{\mu} P_{\mu}=\left(P_{5}\right)^{2}=M^{2}, \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the mass. Restricting to the massless $\mathrm{SO}(5,2)$ representations is not possible without modifying the oscillator construction. It follows from general results that conformal groups $\mathrm{SO}(D, 2)$ in even dimensions $D$ admit infinitely many unitary representations
describing massless conformal fields of ever increasing spins, while, in odd dimensions, one finds only two unitary representations that describe massless conformal fields, namely scalar and spinor fields [81]. At a deeper level, this follows from the following fact. Massless conformal fields in any dimension [82] are described by the so-called minimal unitary representations of the conformal group $\mathrm{SO}(D, 2)$ and their deformations. A true minimal unitary representation of $\mathrm{SO}(D, 2)$ describes a massless conformal scalar in $D$ dimensions. This minimal unitary representation admits infinitely many deformations labeled by the quadratic Casimir of the little group $\mathrm{SO}(D-2)$ of massless particles in even dimensions. They describe massless conformal fields of ever increasing spins. On the other hand, in odd dimensions, the minimal unitary representation of the conformal group admits a single deformation that describes a massless spinor field [82]. Therefore, in five dimensions, only the scalar and spinor fields can be conformally massless [83]. The so-called two remarkable representations of the 3 D conformal group $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{R})=\mathrm{SO}(3,2)$ that were first studied by Dirac and labeled as singletons correspond simply to the minimal unitary representation and its spinorial deformation.

The minimal unitary representation of the 5 D conformal group $\mathrm{SO}(5,2)$ and its deformation as well as their supersymmetric extension were studied in refs. [83, 84]. There exists a unique simple superconformal algebra in five dimensions, namely the exceptional superalgebra $F(4)$ with the even subalgebra $\mathrm{SO}(5,2) \oplus \mathrm{SU}(2)$ [85]. The minimal unitary supermultiplet of $F(4)$ consists of two complex scalar fields in the doublet of R-symmetry group $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{R}}$ and a symplectic-Majorana spinor, which are both conformally massless [83]. The superalgebra $F(4)$ is not a subalgebra of any of the 6 D superconformal algebras $\operatorname{OSp}\left(8^{*} \mid \mathcal{N}\right)$.

In this paper we will not study the $F(4)$ superalgebra, however we will study the massive representations that come from 6 D superconformal algebra. In particular, the Poincaré subalgebra of 6 D superconformal algebra $\operatorname{OSp}\left(8^{*} \mid \mathcal{N}\right)$ descends directly to $\mathcal{N}$ extended Poincaré superalgebra in 5D with the momentum generator in the fifth spatial dimension acting as a singlet central charge under the R-symmetry group $\operatorname{USp}(\mathcal{N})$.

## 3 Supersymmetry

We now consider the Poincaré superalgebras in five dimensions. $\mathcal{N}$-extended Poincaré superalgebras with central charges have the general form [72]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{A}^{I}, Q_{B}^{J}\right\}=\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{I J}\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)_{A B} P_{\mu}+\Omega_{A B}\left(Z^{I J}+Z \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{I J}\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supercharge $Q_{A}^{I}$ carries a lower $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ Lorentz index and an upper $\operatorname{USp}(\mathcal{N})$ R-symmetry index. The $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{I J}$ is the symplectic metric of $\operatorname{USp}(\mathcal{N})$, and the central charge is here decomposed into a singlet $Z$ and non-singlet $Z^{I J}$ of this group. The non-singlet central charge $Z^{I J}$ is antisymmetric and $\Omega$-traceless. Massless representations of the Poincaré superalgebras have vanishing central charges.

In this section, we discuss in detail the realization of both the massless and massive cases for (minimal) $\mathcal{N}=2$ and $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry. ${ }^{5}$ This is sufficient for describing

[^4]super-Yang-Mills amplitudes and theories that have tensor multiplets. For gravitational theories, which may have up to $\mathcal{N}=8$ supersymmetry, we will infer the details via the double copy. All relevant $1 / 2$-BPS on-shell superfields will be given, and their double-copy relations will be exhibited.

## 3.1 $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry without central charge

The $\mathcal{N}=2$ Poincaré superalgebra in five dimensions without central charges is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{A}^{\alpha}, Q_{B}^{\beta}\right\}=\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \gamma_{A B}^{\mu} P_{\mu}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ are $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ R-symmetry indices and $A$ and $B$ are as before the fundamental $\mathrm{USp}(2,2)$ indices. The supercharge for a one-particle state of null momentum $p_{\mu}$ can be written as a product of an on-shell spinor, $\left|p^{a}\right\rangle_{A}$, and Grassmann-odd variables $\theta_{a}^{\alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{A}^{\alpha}\right|_{1-\mathrm{pt}}=\left|p^{a}\right\rangle_{A} \theta_{a}^{\alpha} \equiv\left|\mathfrak{q}^{\alpha}\right\rangle_{A}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we defined the Grassmann-odd symplectic-Majorana spinor $\left|\mathfrak{q}^{\alpha}\right\rangle_{A}$. In term of this spinor, it follows that $\theta_{a}^{\alpha}=\left\langle q_{a} \mid \mathfrak{q}^{\alpha}\right\rangle$, where $\left\langle q_{a}\right|$ is the usual reference spinor satisfying $\left\langle q_{a} \mid p_{b}\right\rangle=\epsilon_{a b}$.

As a consequence of (3.2), $\theta_{a}^{\alpha}$ must satisfy the supersymmetry algebra projected on the little group,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\theta_{a}^{\alpha}, \theta_{b}^{\beta}\right\}=-\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \epsilon_{a b} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The possible solutions of eq. (3.4) are related by $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ transformations, ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{a}^{\prime \alpha}=E_{a}^{b} \theta_{b}^{\beta} \widetilde{E}_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Det} E=\operatorname{Det} \tilde{E}=1$. For our purpose, it is convenient to choose a solution that maintains little-group covariance, at the expense of manifest R -symmetry. An explicit parametrization of $\theta_{a}^{\alpha}$ that satisfies this algebra is given by the oscillator representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{a}^{\alpha}=\left(\theta_{a}\right)^{\alpha}=\binom{\eta_{a}}{-\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{a}}}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{a}$ are two unconstrained Grassmann-odd auxiliary parameters, which together transform as a spinor in the little group and a scalar in the Lorentz group. We may also assign a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ charge of $1 / 2$ to $\eta_{a}$, and charge $-1 / 2$ to $\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{a}}$, which corresponds to the Cartan generator of the broken $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. Thus, the $\eta_{a}$ are complex variables.

Having defined the one-particle supercharge, it follows that the multi-particle supercharge is the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{A}^{\alpha}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\mathfrak{q}_{i}^{\alpha}\right\rangle_{A}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]where $i$ is the particle label. It is easy to check the full supersymmetry algebra,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{A}^{\alpha}, Q_{B}^{\beta}\right\}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\{\left|\mathfrak{q}_{i}^{\alpha}\right\rangle_{A},\left|\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{\beta}\right\rangle_{B}\right\}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|p_{i}^{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|p_{j}^{b}\right\rangle_{B}\left\{\theta_{a i}^{\alpha}, \theta_{b j}^{\beta}\right\}=\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \gamma_{A B}^{\mu} P_{\mu}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where we used that $\left\{\theta_{a i}^{\alpha}, \theta_{a j}^{\beta}\right\}=-\delta_{i j} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \epsilon_{a b}$, since the little group of each particle is independent, and the total momentum is defined as $P^{\mu}=\sum_{i} p_{i}^{\mu}$.

## 3.2 $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry with central charge

The $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry algebra in 5D admits a singlet central charge,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{A}^{\alpha}, Q_{B}^{\beta}\right\}=\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \gamma_{A B}^{\mu} P_{\mu}+Z \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \Omega_{A B} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On dimensional grounds, it is possible to identify the central charge with mass, hence we rewrite it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=M . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can repeat the construction of the single-particle supercharge as in the massless case above, while replacing the massless spinor with a massive one. For a one-particle state of momentum $p_{\mu}$ and mass $m$, we now use a massive spinor to extract the little-group dependence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{A}^{\alpha}\right|_{1-\mathrm{pt}}=\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{A} \theta_{a}^{\alpha} \equiv\left|\boldsymbol{q}^{\alpha}\right\rangle_{A} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the supercharges can now be chiral in the sense that they only involve one of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ factors of the little group $\mathrm{SO}(4) \sim \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$. The fermionic oscillator algebra is now chiral in the same sense,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\theta_{a}^{\alpha}, \theta_{b}^{\beta}\right\}=-\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \epsilon_{a b} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, this relation has a family of solutions parameterized by $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$; a representative, written in terms of an unconstrained Grassmann variable and the corresponding derivative, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{a}^{\alpha}=\left(\theta_{a}\right)^{\alpha}=\binom{\eta_{a}}{-\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{a}}}, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as in the massless case, we have chosen to break the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{R}$-symmetry down to a manifest $\mathrm{U}(1)$, while maintaining the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group symmetry. The multi-particle supersymmetry algebra, whose generators are sums of single-particle supersymmetry generators, follows straightforwardly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{A}^{\alpha}, Q_{B}^{\beta}\right\}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\{\left|\mathfrak{q}_{i}^{\alpha}\right\rangle_{A},\left|\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{\beta}\right\rangle_{B}\right\}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{j}^{b}\right\rangle_{B}\left\{\theta_{a i}^{\alpha}, \theta_{b j}^{\beta}\right\}=\epsilon^{\alpha \beta}\left(\gamma_{A B}^{\mu} P_{\mu}+\Omega_{A B} M\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equality follows from eq. (2.19), $P^{\mu}=\sum_{i} p_{i}^{\mu}$ and $M=\sum_{i} m_{i}$.

## $3.3 \mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry without central charge

The massless $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry algebra in 5 D is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{A}^{\dot{A}}, Q_{B}^{\dot{B}}\right\}=\Omega^{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \gamma_{A B}^{\mu} P_{\mu} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{A}, \dot{B}$ are USp(4) R-symmetry indices. ${ }^{7}$ As before, the supercharge for a one-particle state of null momentum $p_{\mu}$ can be written by factoring out an on-shell spinor carrying the Lorentz index,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{A}^{\dot{A}}\right|_{1-\mathrm{pt}}=\left|p^{a}\right\rangle_{A} \theta_{a}^{\dot{A}} \equiv\left|\mathfrak{q}^{\dot{A}}\right\rangle_{A}, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{a}^{\alpha}$ are Grassmann-odd and satisfy the supersymmetry algebra projected on the little group,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\theta_{a}^{\dot{A}}, \theta_{b}^{\dot{B}}\right\}=-\Omega^{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \epsilon_{a b} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

An explicit parametrization of $\theta_{a}^{\alpha}$ that satisfies the algebra is given by the oscillator representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{a}^{\dot{A}}=\left(\theta_{a}\right)^{\dot{A}}=\binom{\theta_{a}^{1 \alpha}}{\theta_{a}^{2 \alpha}} \quad \text { with } \quad \theta_{a}^{\hat{i} \alpha}=\binom{\hat{\eta}_{a}^{\hat{a}}}{-\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\eta}_{i}^{a}}}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the $\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ by writing the four-component $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ index as a product of two fundamental indices, $\dot{A}=\hat{\imath} \otimes \alpha$. Alternatively, we can write a solution that does not break the diagonal $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ factor, ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{a}^{\dot{A}}=\left(\theta_{a}\right)^{\dot{A}}=\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\binom{\eta_{a}^{\alpha}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{\alpha}^{a}}}{\eta_{a}^{\alpha}-\frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial \eta_{\alpha}^{\alpha}}} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solution gives the maximal R symmetry that can be realized in amplitudes without breaking little-group symmetry. The unconstrained Grassmann-odd auxiliary parameters $\eta_{a}^{\alpha}$ have four complex components, making the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little group and $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \subset$ $\mathrm{USp}(4)$ R-symmetry subgroup manifest.

Similarly to previous cases, the multi-particle supersymmetry algebra follows by summing over particle labels $i, j$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{A}^{\dot{A}}, Q_{B}^{\dot{B}}\right\}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\{\left|\mathfrak{q}_{i}^{\dot{A}}\right\rangle_{A},\left|\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{\dot{B}}\right\rangle_{B}\right\}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{j}^{b}\right\rangle_{B}\left\{\theta_{a i}^{\dot{A}}, \theta_{b j}^{\dot{B}}\right\}=\Omega^{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \gamma_{A B}^{\mu} P_{\mu} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3.4 $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry with central charge

The massive $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry algebra in 5D includes an antisymmetric central charge $Z^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{A}^{\dot{A}}, Q_{B}^{\dot{B}}\right\}=\Omega^{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \gamma_{A B}^{\mu} P_{\mu}+Z^{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \Omega_{A B} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^6]We will first consider the case in which the central charge is a singlet of R -symmetry group $\mathrm{USp}(4)$. Thus, it is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}=M \Omega^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a direct extension of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ case in section 3.2. We will construct a supercharge which only depend on the massive angle spinor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{A}^{\dot{A}}\right|_{1-\mathrm{pt}}=\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{A} \theta_{a}^{\dot{A}} \equiv\left|\mathfrak{q}^{\dot{A}}\right\rangle_{A} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in this case, the supersymmetry is chiral, which, in 6D language, corresponds to $(2,0)$ supersymmetry.

The Grassmann-odd parameters satisfy the same little-group superalgebra as in the massless case (3.17),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\theta_{a}^{\dot{A}}, \theta_{b}^{\dot{B}}\right\}=-\Omega^{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \epsilon_{a b} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit solution for this algebra is then identical to eq. (3.19). This is not surprising, since the little-group and $R$ symmetries are identical to the massless case. The full algebra is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{A}^{\dot{A}}, Q_{B}^{\dot{B}}\right\}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\{\left|\mathfrak{q}_{i}^{\dot{A}}\right\rangle_{A},\left|\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{\dot{B}}\right\rangle_{B}\right\}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{j}^{b}\right\rangle_{B}\left\{\theta_{a i}^{\dot{A}}, \theta_{b j}^{\dot{B}}\right\}=\Omega^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}\left(\gamma_{A B}^{\mu} P_{\mu}+M \Omega_{A B}\right), \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the mass term $M=\sum_{i} m_{i}$ comes from the completeness relation in eq. (2.19).
Next, consider the case in which $Z^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}$ is not a singlet and, thus, breaks USp(4). We start with the simplifying assumption that the central charge is proportional to a $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ gamma matrix. For example, taking $i \Gamma^{9}$ gives the block-diagonal form

$$
Z^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}=i M\left(\Gamma^{9}\right)^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}=M\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} & 0  \tag{3.26}\\
0 & -\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here we take the $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ index to run from 5 to 9 , and the internal $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ gamma matrices are simply related to the spacetime ones by a Wick rotation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}^{n}=\left.\left(-i \gamma_{A B}^{0}, \gamma_{A B}^{\mu>0}\right)\right|_{A \rightarrow \dot{A}, B \rightarrow \dot{B}, n=\mu+5} . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the central charge pointing in a fixed direction, the R-symmetry is broken to $\mathrm{SO}(4) \sim \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$, and the supercharges separate into those that transform in each copy of $\operatorname{SU}(2)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{A}^{\dot{A}} \rightarrow\binom{Q_{A}^{\alpha}}{\widetilde{Q}_{A}^{\dot{\alpha}}}, \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as before, the Greek indices are R-symmetry and the dot distinguishes the left and right $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ factors. For a one-particle state of momentum $p_{\mu}$ and mass $m$, we use the massive spinors to extract the little-group dependence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.Q_{A}^{\alpha}\right|_{1-\mathrm{pt}}=\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{A} \theta_{a}^{\alpha} \equiv\left|\mathfrak{q}^{\alpha}\right\rangle_{A}, \\
& \left.\left.\left.\tilde{Q}_{A}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right|_{1-\mathrm{pt}}=\mid \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}}\right]_{A} \tilde{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \equiv \mid \mathfrak{q}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right]_{A} . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

We are led to two independent oscillator algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\theta_{a}^{\alpha}, \theta_{b}^{\beta}\right\}=-\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \epsilon_{a b}, \quad\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \tilde{\theta}_{\dot{b}}^{\dot{\beta}}\right\}=-\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \epsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}, \quad\left\{\theta_{a}^{\alpha}, \tilde{\theta}_{\dot{b}}^{\dot{\beta}}\right\}=0, \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{a}^{\alpha}=\left(\theta_{a}\right)^{\alpha}=\binom{\eta_{a}}{-\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{a}}}, \quad \tilde{\theta}_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}}=\left(\tilde{\theta}_{\dot{a}}\right)^{\dot{\alpha}}=\binom{\tilde{\eta}_{\dot{a}}}{-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\eta}^{a}}}, \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now we have two sets of complex unconstrained auxiliary Grassmann parameters $\eta^{a}$, $\tilde{\eta}^{\dot{a}}$. They make the little group $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ manifest and break the R-symmetry down to $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \subset \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$. Note that this is isomorphic to the solution we wrote down in eq. (3.18).

Now consider the more general case where the central charge is a generic $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ vector, ${ }^{9}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}=i \Gamma_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}^{n} M_{n} . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On general grounds, we expect that we need 10D Majorana-Weyl spinors to construct single-particle supercharges, and that we should think of a ten-dimensional momentum $p^{[10]}$ as decomposed as $p_{N}^{[10]}=\left(p_{\nu}, \mu_{n}\right)$, where $p_{\nu}=\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right)$ is $\mathrm{SO}(1,4)$ momentum, and $\mu_{n}=\left(\mu_{5}, \mu_{6}, \mu_{7}, \mu_{8}, \mu_{9}\right)$ is $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ momentum. For $p^{[10]}$ to be massless, the 5 -vectors must satisfy the constraint $p^{2}-m^{2}=0$ and $\mu^{2}+m^{2}=0$ (with negative $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ signature such that $\mu^{2}<0$ ). For a generic multi-particle state the mass vector appearing in the central charge is given as $M^{n}=\sum_{j} \mu_{j}^{n}$, where $j$ labels the particles.

We can then obtain new spinors by tensoring the 5D massive spinors as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\lambda_{A \dot{A}}^{a \alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 m}}\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\alpha}\right\rangle_{\dot{A}} \quad \text { and } \left.\quad \tilde{\lambda}_{A \dot{A}}^{\dot{a} \dot{\alpha}} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 m}} \right\rvert\, \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}}\right]_{A} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right]_{A} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ spinors can be obtained from eq. (2.22) by an appropriate Wick rotation: ${ }^{10}$ $|\boldsymbol{\mu}\rangle=\left.|\boldsymbol{p}\rangle\right|_{p^{j>0} \rightarrow i \mu^{j+5}}$ and $\left.\mid \boldsymbol{\mu}\right]=\left.i|\boldsymbol{p}|\right|_{p^{j>0} \rightarrow i \mu^{j+5}}$. The resulting 10D Majorana-Weyl spinor is the direct sum of the above spinors, and it has $4+4=8$ degrees of freedom, as is manifest from inspecting the little-group indices.

The one-particle supercharge can now be written more covariantly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{A}^{\dot{A}}\right|_{1-\mathrm{pt}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 m}}\left(\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{A} \theta_{a}^{\alpha}\left\langle\left.\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\alpha}\right|^{\dot{A}}+\right| \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}}\right]_{A} \tilde{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}}\left[\left.\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\dot{\alpha}}\right|^{\dot{A}}\right) \equiv\left|\mathfrak{q}^{\dot{\mathcal{A}}}\right\rangle_{A}, \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^7]where we may now think of $\left|\mathfrak{q}^{A}\right\rangle_{A}$ as either a 5D symplectic-Majorana spinor or a 10D Majorana-Weyl spinor. The supersymmetry algebra now becomes
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{Q_{A}^{\dot{A}}, Q_{B}^{\dot{B}}\right\} & =\sum_{i, j=1}\left\{\left|\mathfrak{q}_{i}^{\dot{A}}\right\rangle_{A},\left|\mathfrak{q}_{j}^{\dot{B}}\right\rangle_{B}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1} \frac{1}{2 m_{i}}\left(\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{a}\right\rangle_{A}\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{j}^{b}\right\rangle_{B}\left\{\theta_{a i}^{\alpha}, \theta_{b j}^{B}\right\}\left\langle\left.\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\alpha i}\right|^{\dot{A}}\left\langle\left.\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\beta j}\right|^{\dot{B}}+\right| \boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{\dot{\dot{a}}}\right]_{A} \mid \boldsymbol{p}_{j}^{\dot{b}}\right]_{B}\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{\dot{a} i}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \tilde{\theta}_{\dot{b} j}^{\dot{B}}\right\}\left[\left.\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\dot{\alpha} i}\right|^{\dot{A}}\left[\left.\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\dot{\beta} j}\right|^{\dot{B}}\right)\right. \\
& =\Omega^{\dot{A} \dot{B}} \gamma_{A B}^{\mu} P_{\mu}+i \Omega_{A B}\left(\Gamma^{n}\right)^{\dot{A} \dot{B}} M_{n}, \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $\theta_{a i}^{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{\dot{a} i}^{\dot{\alpha}}$ obey the little-group supersymmetry algebras (3.30) with the same solution (3.31). Indeed, the two last cases we considered, namely the central charge proportional to $i \Gamma^{9}$ or to a general $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ vector, are related to each other by a $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ rotation, so it is not surprising that the little-group details are the same.

Let us briefly explain the appearance of the imaginary unit in front of the mass in the central charge. If follows from constructing the 10D gamma matrices, they are given by the following 32 -by- 32 matrices,

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{N}\right)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{B}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{N}\right)_{A}{ }^{B \dot{B}}  \tag{3.36}\\
\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{N}\right)_{A}{ }_{A}^{B \dot{A}} & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where the 16 -by- 16 sigma matrices are $\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{N}\right)_{A \dot{A}}{ }^{B \dot{B}}=\left(\left(\gamma^{\nu}\right)_{A}{ }^{B} \delta_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}}, i \delta_{A}^{B}\left(\Gamma^{n}\right)_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}}\right)$ and $\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{N}\right)_{A \dot{A}}{ }_{A \dot{B}}=\left(\left(\gamma^{\nu}\right)_{A}^{B} \delta_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}},-i \delta_{A}^{B}\left(\Gamma^{n}\right)_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}}\right)$. From this we see that $i \Gamma^{n}$ is the natural expression appearing in the sigma matrices, and it is $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{N}$ that appears on the final line of the anti-commutator eq. (3.35). Hence, the supersymmetry algebra can be written more compactly as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{Q_{\dot{A}}^{B}, Q_{A}^{\dot{B}}\right\}=\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{N}\right)_{A}{ }_{A}^{B \dot{B}} P_{N}^{[10]}, \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{N}^{[10]}=\left(P_{\nu}, M_{n}\right)$ is the 10D momentum generator.

### 3.5 On-shell superfields for massless 5D multiplets

The unconstrained Grassmann variables $\eta$ are the building blocks of the 5D on-shell multiplets. We list here the on-shell superfields describing massless $1 / 2$-BPS multiplets; the massive ones will be described in the next subsection. All displayed indices are either $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group indices (Latin), or $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ R-symmetry indices (Greek).

The on-shell vector supermultiplet with $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{a}^{\mathcal{N}=2}=\chi_{a}^{1}+\left(A_{a b}+\frac{\epsilon_{a b}}{\sqrt{2}} \phi\right) \eta^{b}+\chi_{a}^{2}(\eta)^{2}, \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined the square of the Grassmann variables as $(\eta)^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \eta_{a} \eta^{a}=\eta^{1} \eta^{2}$. This superfield contains four bosonic ${ }^{11}\left(A^{a b}=A^{b a}, \phi\right)$ and four fermionic $\chi_{a}^{\alpha}$ degrees of freedom, the latter are symplectic-Majorana spinors.

[^8]The superfield transforms as a covariant $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group spinor, while the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{R}$ symmetry of the gaugino $\chi_{a}^{\alpha}$ is not manifest. This is a consequence of the chosen oscillator representation of the supersymmetry algebra, which breaks manifest R-symmetry, and thus realizes linearly only half of the supercharges. ${ }^{12}$ Only a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ remnant of the R-symmetry remains manifest in the charge carried by the $\eta$ and the fermions.

The hypermultiplet is a little-group singlet and an R-symmetry doublet,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\mathcal{N}=2}^{\alpha}=\phi^{\alpha}+\chi_{a}^{\alpha} \eta^{a}+\bar{\phi}^{\alpha}(\eta)^{2}, \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and contains four bosonic and four fermionic degrees of freedom. The vector and hypermultiplets are related through the double copy involving a fermion $\chi_{a}^{\alpha}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{N}=2}^{a}=\Phi_{\mathcal{N}=2}^{\alpha} \otimes \chi_{\alpha}^{a}, \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the index contraction is needed to obtain the same number of degrees of freedom as in eq. (3.38).

The graviton multiplet with $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry transforms as a spin- $3 / 2$ representation of the little group,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{a b c}^{\mathcal{N}=2}=\psi_{a b c}^{1}+\left(h_{a b c d}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A_{(a b} \epsilon_{c) d}\right) \eta^{d}+\psi_{a b c}^{2}(\eta)^{2}, \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the tensors with little-group indices $a, b, c, \ldots$ are symmetric. The parenthesis denote total symmetrization of the little-group indices, which includes a $1 / n!$ factor. The multiplet has eight bosonic and eight fermionic degrees of freedom. $\psi_{a b c}^{\alpha}$ are the gravitini and the vector $A^{a b}$ represents the graviphoton.

The above graviton multiplet can be obtained as a double copy of the $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplet and a non-supersymmetric vector field,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{a b c}^{\mathcal{N}=2}=\mathcal{V}_{(a}^{\mathcal{N}=2} \otimes A_{b c)}, \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the little-group indices are symmetrized. If instead we antisymmetrize a pair of indices (contracting with $\epsilon_{a b}$ ), we get the axidilaton-vector multiplet

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{c}^{\mathcal{N}=2}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{a b} \mathcal{V}_{a}^{\mathcal{N}=2} \otimes A_{b c}=\chi_{c}^{1}+\left(A_{c b}^{\mathrm{axi}}+\frac{\epsilon_{c b}}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{\mathrm{dil}}\right) \eta^{b}+\chi_{c}^{2}(\eta)^{2} . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next considering $\mathcal{N}=4$, we find the maximally-supersymmetric vector multiplet

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}=4}=\phi+\chi_{a}^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}^{a}+A_{a b} \eta_{\alpha}^{a} \eta^{b \alpha}+\phi^{\alpha \beta} \eta_{a \alpha} \eta_{\beta}^{a}+\bar{\chi}_{a}^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}^{a}(\eta)^{2}+\bar{\phi}(\eta)^{4}, \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\eta)^{2}=\frac{1}{4} \eta_{\alpha}^{a} \eta_{a}^{\alpha}$. It contains eight bosonic and eight fermionic degrees of freedom, and it has manifest $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \subset \mathrm{USp}(4) \mathrm{R}$-symmetry. The vector multiplet can also be obtained from two different double copies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}=4}=\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{\mathcal{N}=2}^{\alpha} \otimes \Phi_{\mathcal{N}=2}^{\beta} \epsilon_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{\mathcal{N}=2} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\dot{b}}^{\mathcal{N}=2} \epsilon^{\dot{a} \dot{b}} \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^9]The $\mathcal{N}=4$ graviton multiplet transforms as a little-group vector,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{a b}^{\mathcal{N}=4}= & A_{a b}+\left(\psi_{a b c}^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_{(a}^{\alpha} \epsilon_{b) c}\right) \eta_{\alpha}^{c}+\left(h_{a b c d}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{A}_{(a(c} \epsilon_{b) d)}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{a(c} \epsilon_{d) b} \phi\right) \eta_{\alpha}^{c} \eta^{d \alpha} \\
& +\eta_{c \alpha} \eta_{\beta}^{c} A_{a b}^{\alpha \beta}+\left(\bar{\psi}_{a b c}^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_{(a}^{\alpha} \epsilon_{b) c}\right) \eta_{\alpha}^{c}(\eta)^{2}+\bar{A}_{a b}(\eta)^{4}, \tag{3.46}
\end{align*}
$$

where the six graviphotons are distributed as three singlets and a triplet of the manifest $\mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{R}$ symmetry. The multiplet has 24 bosonic and 24 fermionic degrees of freedom. It can be obtained as the double copy of an $\mathcal{N}=4$ vector and non-supersymmetric vector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{a b}^{\mathcal{N}=4}=\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}=4} \otimes A_{a b}, \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, alternatively, as the symmetric double copy of two $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector multiplets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{a b}^{\mathcal{N}=4}=\mathcal{V}_{(a}^{\mathcal{N}=2} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{b)}^{\mathcal{N}=2} . \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The little-group indices are symmetrized, and the single-copy Grassmann variables $\eta_{a}^{\alpha}=$ $\left(\eta_{a}, \tilde{\eta}_{a}\right)$ form a doublet of the expected manifest $\mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{R}$ symmetry of the double-copy multiplet.

Finally, the $\mathcal{N}=6$ and maximal $\mathcal{N}=8$ supersymmetry graviton multiplets can be obtained as the double copies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{a}^{\mathcal{N}=6}=\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}=4} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{a}^{\mathcal{N}=2}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{N}=8}=\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}=4} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{N}=4} \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. It is not difficult to carry out the multiplication and separate the various monomials in Grassmann variables to identify the component states. We will not write them out explicitly, but instead note that these multiplets contain $64+64$ and $128+128$ bosonic and fermionic states, respectively.

### 3.6 On-shell superfields for massive 5D multiplets

From the perspective of the little group, 5D massive multiplets and massless 6D multiplets are the same. We will therefore use the established notation for the latter to also denote the former.

The 5D massive vector multiplet can also be seen as a 6 D chiral $(1,0)$ multiplet, ${ }^{13}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{(1,0)}=\chi_{\dot{a}}^{1}+W_{a \dot{a}} \eta^{a}+\chi_{\dot{a}}^{2}(\eta)^{2}, \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the fermions $\chi_{\alpha}^{\dot{a}}$ are symplectic-Majorana spinors. Similarly, the hypermultiplet is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{(1,0)}^{\dot{\alpha}}=\varphi^{1 \dot{\alpha}}+\chi_{a}^{\dot{\alpha}} \eta^{a}+\varphi^{2 \dot{\alpha}}(\eta)^{2} . \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above fermions $\chi_{\dot{a}}^{\alpha}$ and scalars $\varphi^{\alpha \dot{\alpha}}$ are transforming in the $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ R-symmetry, but the realization of the supersymmetry algebra using $\eta^{a}$ partially breaks the R-symmetry to $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

[^10]An $(1,0)$ tensor multiplet can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{a}^{(1,0)}=\chi_{a}^{1}+\left(B_{a b}+\frac{\epsilon_{a b}}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi\right) \eta^{b}+\chi_{a}^{2}(\eta)^{2} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{a b}$ is a self-dual tensor, and the fermions $\chi_{a}^{\alpha}$ are symplectic-Majorana spinors of opposite $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ chirality compared to the spinors in the $(1,0)$ vector multiplet.

A 6D ( 1,0 ) graviton multiplet, and the corresponding massive 5D multiplet, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{a \dot{a} \dot{b}}^{(1,0)}=\psi_{a \dot{a} \dot{b}}^{1}+\left(h_{a b \dot{a} \dot{b}}+\frac{\epsilon_{a b}}{\sqrt{2}} B_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}\right) \eta^{b}+\psi_{a \dot{a} \dot{b}}^{2}(\eta)^{2} . \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has 12 bosonic and 12 fermionic states and it is symmetric in the dotted little-group indices. $B_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}$ is the anti-self-dual gravitensor and $\psi_{a \dot{a} \dot{b}}^{\alpha}$ are the gravitini. Through the double copy, we can also write the $(1,0)$ graviton multiplet as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{a \dot{a} \dot{b}}^{(1,0)}=\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{(1,0)} \otimes W_{a \dot{b}}-\epsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} \mathcal{T}_{a}^{(1,0)} \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we "subtract" the tensor multiplet following the ghost prescription outlined in ref. [87]. The subtracted tensor multiplet has its own double-copy decomposition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{a}^{(1,0)}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \Phi_{(1,0)}^{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes \chi_{a}^{\dot{\beta}} \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The construction (3.54) corresponds to the double-copy realization of pure $6 \mathrm{D}(1,0)$ supergravity via tensor ghosts from ref. [87]. It should be noted that we can also identify $\mathcal{T}_{a}^{(1,0)}=\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{(1,0)} \otimes W_{a \dot{b}} \epsilon^{\dot{a} \dot{b}}$.

It is interesting to contrast the double-copy form of some of the component fields in eq. (3.54). The gravitensor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}=\frac{1}{2} W_{a(\dot{a}} \otimes \tilde{W}_{b \dot{b})} \epsilon^{a b} \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the "axitensor" contained in the first product and subtracted by $\mathcal{T}_{a}^{(1,0)}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{B}_{a b}^{\mathrm{axi}}=-\frac{1}{2} W_{(a \dot{a}} \otimes \tilde{W}_{b) \dot{b}} \epsilon^{\dot{a} \dot{b}}=\frac{1}{2} \chi_{a}^{\alpha} \otimes \chi_{b \alpha} . \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second identification is necessary for the subtraction in eq. (3.54) to remove the "axitensor" together with its partners and yield the ( 1,0 ) graviton multiplet.

We turn next to maximal supersymmetry. The $(1,1)$ vector multiplet (or, equivalently, the massive $5 \mathrm{D} \mathcal{N}=4$ vector multiplet) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}^{(1,1)}= & \varphi_{1 \mathrm{i}}+\chi_{a \dot{1}} \eta^{a}+\chi_{1 \dot{a}} \tilde{\eta}^{\dot{a}}+\varphi_{1 \dot{2}}(\tilde{\eta})^{2}+\varphi_{2 \mathrm{i}}(\eta)^{2} \\
& +W_{a \dot{a}} \eta^{a} \tilde{\eta}^{\dot{a}}+\chi_{a \dot{2}} \eta^{a}(\tilde{\eta})^{2}+\chi_{2 \dot{a}} \tilde{\eta}^{\dot{a}}(\eta)^{2}+\varphi_{2 \dot{2}}(\eta)^{2}(\tilde{\eta})^{2} \tag{3.58}
\end{align*}
$$

Introducing the variables $\zeta^{\alpha}=\left(1,(\eta)^{2}\right)$ and $\tilde{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}}=\left(1,(\tilde{\eta})^{2}\right)$, it can also be written more compactly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}^{(1,1)}=\varphi_{\alpha \dot{\alpha}} \zeta^{\alpha} \tilde{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}}+\chi_{a \dot{\alpha}} \eta^{a} \tilde{\zeta}^{\dot{\alpha}}+\chi_{\alpha \dot{a}} \tilde{\eta}^{\dot{a}} \zeta^{\alpha}+W_{a \dot{a}} \eta^{a} \tilde{\eta}^{\dot{a}} . \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assign $\mathrm{SO}(4) \sim \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ transformations to the $\zeta, \tilde{\zeta}$ variables, the massive $\mathcal{N}=4$ vector multiplet exhibits the complete $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ R-symmetry unbroken by the central charge.

The $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}^{(2,0)}=\phi+\chi_{a}^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}^{a}+B_{a b} \eta_{\alpha}^{a} \eta^{b \alpha}+\phi^{\alpha \beta} \eta_{a \alpha} \eta_{\beta}^{a}+\bar{\chi}_{a}^{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}^{a}(\eta)^{2}+\bar{\phi}(\eta)^{4} \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where states exhibit a manifest $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(5)$ R-symmetry. This multiplet has exactly the same form as the massless $\mathcal{N}=4$ vector multiplet, except that the massless vector $A_{a b}$ is here replaced by a massive self-dual tensor $B_{a b}$. The $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet can be obtained as a double copy in two different ways,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}^{(2,0)}=\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{(1,0)}^{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes \Phi_{(1,0)}^{\dot{\beta}} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{(1,0)} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\dot{b}}^{(1,0)} \epsilon^{\dot{a} \dot{b}} \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of two $(1,0)$ hypermultiplets or two $(1,0)$ vector multiplets, respectively.
The $(1,1)$ graviton multiplet can be written as a double copy,
where $W_{\alpha \dot{\alpha} b \dot{b}}$ are four massive vectors, $\tilde{\psi}_{a \dot{\alpha} b \dot{b}}=\psi_{a \dot{\alpha} b \dot{b}}+\epsilon_{a b} \psi_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{b}} / \sqrt{2}$ consist of a massive gravitino and a fermion (similarly for $\tilde{\psi}_{\alpha \dot{a} b \dot{b}}$ ), and $\tilde{h}_{a \dot{a} b \dot{b}}=h_{a \dot{a} b \dot{b}}+\epsilon_{a b} B_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} / \sqrt{2}+\epsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} B_{a b} / \sqrt{2}+$ $\epsilon_{a b} \epsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} \phi / 2$ consists of the graviton together with a tensor and a scalar.

The $(2,0)$ graviton multiplet can also be obtained as a double copy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\dot{a} \dot{b}}^{(2,0)}=\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{(1,0)} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\dot{b}}^{(1,0)}-\epsilon_{\dot{a} \dot{b}} \mathcal{T}^{(2,0)} \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the little-group-traceless part of the product of two $(1,0)$ vector multiplets.
In a similar spirit, $(2,0)$ non-metric graviton multiplet is a double copy of a $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet and another tensor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{a b}^{(2,0)}=\mathcal{T}^{(2,0)} \otimes B_{a b} \tag{3.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The "graviton" $h_{a b c d}$ is non-metric in the sense that it only has chiral little-group indices and descends from the field strength of a mixed tensor gauge field and not that of a symmetric metric tensor in six dimensions. It contains five degrees of freedom (i.e. the same as the 5D massless graviton).

For 3/4-maximal and maximal supersymmetry, we can obtain massive graviton multiplets as various double copies. The most interesting are the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{H}_{\dot{a}}^{(2,1)}=\mathcal{V}^{(1,1)} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{(1,0)}, & \mathcal{H}^{(2,2)}=\mathcal{V}^{(1,1)} \otimes \mathcal{V}^{(1,1)} \\
\mathcal{H}_{a}^{(3,0)}=\mathcal{T}^{(2,0)} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{a}^{(1,0)}, & \mathcal{H}^{(4,0)}=\mathcal{T}^{(2,0)} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{(2,0)} \tag{3.65}
\end{array}
$$

We will not spell out here the details of the component fields, as they are simply a straightforward but tedious exercise of distributing the multiplets over the tensor product and identifying fields.

In the massive case, we can also consider gravitino multiplets of Poincaré supergravity. They appear when supersymmetry is spontaneously broken, and can be obtained from a double-copy construction. When maximal supersymmetry is partially broken down to 3/4-maximal supersymmetry, the massive 5 D gravitino multiplet is of $(2,1)$ type,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(2,1)}=\mathcal{V}^{(1,1)} \otimes \Phi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(1,0)} \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

For 3/4-maximal supersymmetry partially broken down to $1 / 2$-maximal supersymmetry, the gravitino multiplet is of $(1,1)$ type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\dot{a} \alpha}^{(1,1)}=\mathcal{V}^{(1,1)} \otimes \chi_{\dot{a} \alpha}=\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{(1,0)} \otimes \Phi_{\alpha}^{(0,1)} \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $(2,0)$ type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\dot{a} \dot{\alpha}}^{(2,0)}=\mathcal{V}_{\dot{a}}^{(1,0)} \otimes \Phi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(1,0)} \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, for partial breakings that preserve 1/4-maximal supersymmetry, the gravitino multiplets are of $(1,0)$ type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\dot{a} \dot{b} \alpha}^{(1,0)}=\mathcal{V}_{(\dot{a}}^{(1,0)} \otimes \chi_{\dot{b}) \alpha} \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Five-dimensional amplitudes with vectors and tensors

In our conventions, m-point color-dressed gauge-theory amplitudes are written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}_{m}=g^{m-2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m-2}} A_{m}(1, \sigma(2), \cdots, \sigma(m-1), m) \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{1} T^{\sigma(2)} \cdots T^{\sigma(m-1)} T^{m}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{m}(1, \ldots, m)$ are color-ordered partial amplitudes and representation matrices are chosen to obey $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a} T^{b}\right)=\delta^{a b}$ and $\left[T^{a}, T^{b}\right]=\tilde{f}^{a b c} T^{c}$. We use $\mathcal{A}_{m}(1, \ldots, m)$ to denote the corresponding partial superamplitudes. Similarly, we use $M_{m}$ to denote component gravitational amplitudes and $\mathcal{M}_{m}$ to denote gravitational superamplitudes.

### 4.1 Three-point amplitudes and superamplitudes

As illustrated in section 2 , we dress the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group indices with auxiliary bosonic variables $z_{a}, \tilde{z}_{\dot{a}}$. To avoid explicitly displaying little-group indices, we use the short-hand notation introduced in eq. (2.23),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|\underline{i}\rangle \equiv\left|k_{i}^{a}\right\rangle z_{i a}, \quad\left|\underline{q_{i}}\right\rangle \equiv\left|q_{i}^{a}\right\rangle z_{i a}, \quad|\underline{\boldsymbol{i}}\rangle \equiv\left|\boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{a}\right\rangle z_{i a}, \quad \mid \underline{\boldsymbol{i}}\right] \equiv \mid \boldsymbol{p}_{i}^{\dot{a}}\right] \tilde{z}_{i \dot{a}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, in this notation, the massless polarization vector corresponding to the $i$-th leg is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i}^{\mu}=\frac{\langle\underline{i}| \gamma^{\mu}\left|\underline{q_{i}}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{i}$ is a reference momentum obeying the condition (2.14), as well as $\left\langle q_{i} q_{j}\right\rangle=0$.
The three-gluon partial amplitude in 5D Yang-Mills theory is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}(1 A, 2 A, 3 A)=i\left(z_{2}^{a}\left\langle 2_{a} q_{3 b}\right\rangle z_{3}^{b}\right)\left(z_{3}^{c}\left\langle 3_{c} q_{2_{d}}\right\rangle z_{2}^{d}\right)\left(z_{1}^{e}\left\langle 1_{e} 2_{f}\right\rangle\left\langle 2^{f} q_{1_{g}}\right\rangle z_{1}^{g}\right)+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3), \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have chosen to display the little-group indices and to collect little-group singlets in parenthesis. Using the short-hand notation, this expression can be rewritten in the more compact form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}(1 A, 2 A, 3 A)=i\left\langle\underline{2 q_{3}}\right\rangle\left\langle\underline{3 q_{2}}\right\rangle\langle\underline{1}| k_{2}\left|\underline{q_{1}}\right\rangle+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Three-point partial amplitudes in 5D Yang-Mills theory with massless matter are

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{3}(1 \phi, 2 \phi, 3 A) & =i\left\langle\underline{31_{a}}\right\rangle\left\langle 1^{a} \underline{q_{3}}\right\rangle=i\langle\underline{\mid}| k_{1}\left|\underline{q_{3}}\right\rangle  \tag{4.6}\\
A_{3}\left(1 \chi^{2}, 2 \chi^{1}, 3 A\right) & =i\langle\underline{13}\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3}}\right\rangle-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right)  \tag{4.7}\\
A_{3}\left(1 \chi^{2}, 2 \chi^{1}, 3 \phi\right) & =-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\langle\underline{12}\rangle \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

These amplitudes can be obtained from the superamplitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V})=-i \eta_{1}^{a}\left\langle 1_{a}\right| p_{2}\left|\underline{q_{1}}\right\rangle\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \eta_{i}^{b}\left\langle i_{b} \underline{q_{2}}\right\rangle\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \eta_{j}^{c}\left\langle j_{c} \underline{q_{3}}\right\rangle\right)+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3), \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

by acting with the appropriate Grassmann derivatives corresponding to the desired external states. More explicitly, the component amplitudes in eqs. (4.5)-(4.8) are

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{3}(1 A, 2 A, 3 A) & =-\left(z_{1}^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{1}^{a}}\right)\left(z_{2}^{b} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{2}^{b}}\right)\left(z_{3}^{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{3}^{c}}\right) \mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}}=2(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}),  \tag{4.10}\\
A_{3}(1 \phi, 2 \phi, 3 A) & =-\left(\frac{\epsilon^{a b}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{1}^{a}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}^{b}}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon^{c d}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{2}^{c}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}^{d}}\right)\left(z_{3}^{e} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{3}^{e}}\right) \mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}),  \tag{4.11}\\
A_{3}\left(1 \chi^{2}, 2 \chi^{1}, 3 A\right) & =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{1}^{1}}\right)\left(z_{3}^{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{3}^{c}}\right) \mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}),  \tag{4.12}\\
A_{3}\left(1 \chi^{2}, 2 \chi^{1}, 3 \phi\right) & =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{1}^{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\epsilon^{c d}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{3}^{c}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{3}^{d}}\right) \mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}), \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the operators corresponding to each leg have been constructed so that they extract the components of the corresponding on-shell superfields with the correct normalization.

The superamplitude (4.9) can also be rewritten in terms of the supercharges $|Q\rangle=$ $\sum_{i}\left|Q_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{i}\left|i^{a}\right\rangle \eta_{i a}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V})=-i\left\langle Q_{1}\right| p_{2}\left|\underline{q_{1}}\right\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{2}} \mid Q\right\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3}} \mid Q\right\rangle+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3) . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this object is totally symmetric under permutations. This is appropriate given the fermionic nature of the superfields since the color factor (which has been stripped off) is totally antisymmetric. In a similar way, we can write down the superamplitude between two massless hypermultiplets and one vector multiplet in 5D $\mathcal{N}=2$ super-Yang-Mills theory. It has an even simpler expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}=2}\left(1 \Phi^{\dot{\alpha}}, 2 \Phi^{\dot{\beta}}, 3 \mathcal{V}\right)=\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}\left\langle\underline{q_{3}} \mid Q\right\rangle\langle Q \mid Q\rangle . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moving to the massive case, it is instructive to first consider three-point amplitudes between two massive spinors and a massless vector. With a chiral spinor $\chi$ and an antichiral spinor $\tilde{\chi}$, we have the following candidate amplitudes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A(1 \chi, 2 \chi, 3 A)=-i \sqrt{2}\langle\underline{\mathbf{1}}| \varepsilon_{3}|\underline{\mathbf{2}}\rangle=i\langle\underline{\mathbf{1}} 3\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3} \mathbf{2}}\right\rangle-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right),  \tag{4.16}\\
& \left.\left.A(1 \tilde{\chi}, 2 \tilde{\chi}, 3 A)=-i \sqrt{2} \underline{\mathbf{1}}\left|\varepsilon_{3}\right| \underline{\mathbf{2}}\right]=i \underline{\mathbf{1} 3}\right\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3} \mathbf{2}}\right]-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right),  \tag{4.17}\\
& \left.\left.A(1 \chi, 2 \tilde{\chi}, 3 A) \stackrel{?}{=}-i \sqrt{2}\langle\underline{\mathbf{1}}| \varepsilon_{3} \mid \underline{\mathbf{2}}\right]=i \underline{\mathbf{1} 3}\right\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3}} \mathbf{]}-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right) .\right. \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the two masses to have opposite sign, i.e. $m_{1}=m=-m_{2}$, one can check that the third candidate amplitude $A(1 \chi, 2 \tilde{\chi}, 3 A)$ is not gauge invariant. For $\varepsilon_{3} \rightarrow p_{3}$ this amplitude does not vanish, unlike the other two, and hence it must vanish identically. This implies that $\chi$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ are not related by CPT symmetry, and hence massive 5D theories have a notion of chirality that is preserved by the interactions, similar to 6 D massless theories.

We also give the component amplitude between two massive and one massless vectors, which has the following expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{3}(1 W, 2 W, 3 A)= & -\frac{i}{4 m^{2}}\left\{\left([\underline{\mathbf{2}}\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3} \mathbf{2}}\right\rangle-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right)\right)\left[\underline{\mathbf{1}}\left|k_{3}\right| \underline{\mathbf{1}}\right\rangle\right.  \tag{4.19}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}(\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]-\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}][\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle)\langle\underline{3}| p_{1}\left|\underline{q_{3}}\right\rangle\right\}-(1 \leftrightarrow 2) .
\end{align*}
$$

It corresponds to the partial amplitude in Yang-Mills theory with spontaneously-broken gauge symmetry (hence the $W$-boson label) or, alternatively, to a Kaluza-Klein Yang-Mills theory.

If we have three massive vector bosons, the spontaneously-broken 5D Yang-Mills amplitude can be cast in the following form, ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}(1 W, 2 W, 3 W)=\frac{i}{4 m_{2} m_{3}}[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2 3}}\rangle[\underline{\mathbf{3 1}}\rangle \pm \operatorname{perms}(1,2,3), \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the permutations run over the dihedral group $S_{3}$ with negative sign for odd permutations. The amplitude we give here is only supported on the mass conservation relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}=0 . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be derived from the superamplitude of 5D half-maximal super-Yang-Mills theory on the Coulomb branch,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V})=\frac{i}{24} \frac{\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)[\underline{\mathbf{1} 2}][\underline{\mathbf{3}} Q\rangle}{m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}}\langle Q \mid Q\rangle+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3), \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is invariant under chiral $(1,0)$ supersymmetry, provided that the mass conservation condition in eq. (4.21) is obeyed. The bilinears in the chiral and anti-chiral supercharges can be written more explicitly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle Q \mid Q\rangle=\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \eta_{i}^{a}\left\langle\boldsymbol{i}_{a} \boldsymbol{j}_{b}\right\rangle \eta_{j}^{b}, \quad[\tilde{Q} \mid \tilde{Q}]=\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \tilde{\eta}_{i}^{\dot{a}}\left[\boldsymbol{i}_{\dot{a}} \boldsymbol{j}_{b}\right] \tilde{\eta}_{j}^{\dot{b}} . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^11]The ( 1,0 ) superamplitude for two massive hypermultiplets and a massive Coulombbranch vector multiplet in 5D super-Yang-Mills theory is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}\left(1 \Phi^{\dot{\alpha}}, 2 \Phi^{\dot{\beta}}, 3 \mathcal{V}\right)=i \frac{\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}}{4 m_{3}}[\underline{\mathbf{3}} Q\rangle\langle Q \mid Q\rangle, \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have again assumed mass conservation.
Moving to the case of massless $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry, we find no straightforward generalization of the superamplitude (4.9). To explore other possible forms, let us turn to the known 6 D construction of three-point amplitudes $[7,8]$, and adapt it to 5 D . We begin by noticing that, with massless three-point kinematics, all the 2-by-2 matrices $\left\langle i^{a} j^{b}\right\rangle$ have rank one, and hence have no inverses. Instead one can decompose them into $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group spinors $u_{i}^{a}$ as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\langle 1^{a} 2^{b}\right\rangle=u_{1}^{a} u_{2}^{b}, & \left\langle 2^{a} 1^{b}\right\rangle=-u_{1}^{b} u_{2}^{a}, \\
\left\langle 2^{a} 3^{b}\right\rangle=u_{2}^{a} u_{3}^{b}, & \left\langle 3^{a} 2^{b}\right\rangle=-u_{2}^{b} u_{3}^{a}, \\
\left\langle 3^{a} 1^{b}\right\rangle=u_{3}^{a} u_{1}^{b}, & \left\langle 1^{a} 3^{b}\right\rangle=-u_{3}^{b} u_{1}^{a} . \tag{4.27}
\end{array}
$$

All $u_{i}^{a}$ variables are uniquely determined, up to an overall sign, by this system.
There exist corresponding reference spinors $w_{i}^{a}$ that satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{a} w_{i}^{b}-u_{i}^{b} w_{i}^{a}=\epsilon^{a b} \quad(\text { no sum over } i) \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that can be further constrained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|i_{a}\right\rangle w_{i}^{a}=0 . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these constraints, $w_{i}^{a}$ are not unique, but the remaining two degrees of freedom will cancel out once the amplitude is assembled [8]. Note that the $u_{i}^{a}$ and $w_{i}^{a}$ variables only exists for on-shell three-point kinematics, which has degenerate and complex momenta.

With the above variables, the massless three-point superamplitude in 5D $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang-Mills theory can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}=4}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V})=\frac{i}{4} \delta^{2}\left(\sum_{i} \eta_{i a}^{\alpha} w_{i}^{a}\right) \prod_{\alpha=1,2}\left\langle Q^{\alpha} \mid Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle, \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle=\sum_{i}\left|Q_{i}^{\alpha}\right\rangle=\sum_{i}\left|i^{a}\right\rangle \eta_{i a}^{\alpha}$ is the supercharge. Note that one cannot write down a delta function of all the eight supercharges as this object vanishes for three-point kinematics. ${ }^{15}$ Nevertheless, is possible to verify that the above superamplitude is invariant under all supersymmetry generators.

So far, we have only given implicit definitions for the $u_{i}^{a}$ and $w_{i}^{a}$ spinors, but we can do a bit better. Given that our spinor parametrization $\left\langle i^{a}\right\rangle$ is linear in momentum in the first little-group component $a=1$, we have via momentum conservation the convenient relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left|i^{1}\right\rangle=0 . \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^12]This implies that $\left\langle 1^{1} 2^{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle 2^{1} 3^{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle 3^{1} 1^{1}\right\rangle \equiv r^{2}$, which then gives the unique solution for the $u_{i}^{a}$ spinors,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}^{a}=\frac{1}{r}\left\langle 1^{a} 2^{1}\right\rangle, \quad u_{2}^{a}=\frac{1}{r}\left\langle 2^{a} 3^{1}\right\rangle, \quad u_{3}^{a}=\frac{1}{r}\left\langle 3^{a} 1^{1}\right\rangle . \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can easily confirm that $u_{i}^{a} u_{j}^{b}$ gives by construction three correct entries of the corresponding 2-by-2 matrix $\pm\left\langle i^{a} j^{b}\right\rangle$, and by the reduced rank it then follows that the forth entry $(a=b=2)$ is also correct. Our choice of parametrization also gives a simple solution for the $w_{i}^{a}$ spinors,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i}^{a}=w^{a}=\frac{1}{r}(0,1) . \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this choice, eq. (4.29) follows from eq. (4.31), while $u_{i}^{[a} w^{b]}=\epsilon^{a b}$ follows from the fact that all little-group spinors have the same first entry $u_{i}^{1}=r$.

We may further simplify the construction by noticing that, for any choice of the $w_{i}^{a}$ variables, one can find a corresponding global reference spinor $\langle\rho|$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i}^{a}=\left\langle\rho \mid i^{a}\right\rangle \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can write also the last delta function in eq. (4.30) in terms of the supercharge,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\mathcal{N}=4}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V})=\frac{i}{4} \prod_{\alpha=1,2}\left\langle\rho \mid Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle\left\langle Q^{\alpha} \mid Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle=\frac{i}{36} \prod_{\alpha=1,2} \operatorname{det}\left(\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,|\rho\rangle\right) . \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the last formula is a 4-by-4 determinant, there exists a three-fold family of $|\rho\rangle$ spinors that gives the same amplitude. For the choice of $w_{i}^{a}=\frac{1}{r}(0,1)$, we find that the global reference spinor can be chosen simply as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\rho|=\frac{1}{r}(0,0,0,1) . \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generalization to the massive case follows even more closely the 6 D case [8]. Three point kinematics implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left\langle\boldsymbol{i}^{a} \boldsymbol{j}^{b}\right\rangle=m_{i} m_{j}=\operatorname{det}\left[\boldsymbol{i}^{\dot{a}} \boldsymbol{j}^{\dot{b}}\right], \quad \operatorname{det}\left\langle\boldsymbol{i}^{a} \boldsymbol{j}^{\dot{a}}\right]=0 . \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can define the little-group spinors

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\langle\mathbf{1}^{a} \mathbf{2}^{\dot{b}}\right]=u_{1}^{a} \tilde{u}_{2}^{b}, & \left\langle\mathbf{2}^{a} \mathbf{1}^{\dot{b}}\right]=-u_{2}^{a} \tilde{u}_{1}^{\dot{b}}, \\
\left\langle\mathbf{2}^{a} \mathbf{3}^{\dot{b}}\right]=u_{2}^{a} \tilde{u}_{3,}^{b}, & \left\langle\mathbf{3}^{a} \mathbf{2}^{b}\right]=-u_{3}^{a} \tilde{u}_{2}^{b}, \\
\left\langle\mathbf{3}^{a} \mathbf{1}^{\dot{b}}\right]=u_{3}^{a} \tilde{u}_{1}^{b}, & \left\langle\mathbf{1}^{a} \mathbf{3}^{\dot{b}}\right]=-u_{1}^{a} \tilde{u}_{3}^{b}, \tag{4.40}
\end{array}
$$

with corresponding reference spinors $w_{i}^{a}$ and $\tilde{w}_{i}^{\dot{b}}$ that satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i}^{a} w_{i}^{b}-u_{i}^{b} w_{i}^{a} & =\epsilon^{a b} \quad(\text { no sum over } i),  \tag{4.41}\\
\tilde{u}_{i}^{a} \tilde{w}_{i}^{b}-\tilde{u}_{i}^{b} \tilde{w}_{i}^{a} & =\epsilon^{i \dot{b}} \quad(\text { no sum over } i), \tag{4.42}
\end{align*}
$$

with the additional constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{i}|i\rangle_{a} w_{i}^{a}=0, \quad \sum_{i} \mid i\right]_{\dot{b}} \tilde{w}_{i}^{\dot{b}}=0 . \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

With our parametrization of the massive spinors, an explicit solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{a}=\frac{1}{r}\left\langle\boldsymbol{i}^{a} \boldsymbol{j}^{1}\right], \quad \tilde{u}_{i}^{\dot{a}}=\frac{1}{r}\left[\boldsymbol{i}^{\dot{a}} \boldsymbol{j}^{1}\right\rangle, \quad w_{i}^{a}=\frac{1}{r}(0,1)=\tilde{w}_{i}^{\dot{a}}, \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{j}=\boldsymbol{i}+1 \operatorname{Mod} 3$, and $r^{2}=\left\langle\mathbf{1}^{1} \mathbf{2}^{1}\right]=\left\langle\mathbf{2}^{1} \mathbf{3}^{1}\right]=\left\langle\mathbf{3}^{1} \mathbf{1}^{1}\right]$.
The three-point massive ( 1,1 ) superamplitude in maximal 5D super-Yang-Mills on the Coulomb branch is then the direct generalization of eq. (4.30), ${ }^{16}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,1)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}) & =\frac{i}{4} \delta\left(\sum_{i} \eta_{i a} w_{i}^{a}\right) \delta\left(\sum_{i} \tilde{\eta}_{i \dot{a}} \tilde{w}_{i}^{\dot{a}}\right)\langle Q \mid Q\rangle[\tilde{Q} \mid \tilde{Q}] \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.=\frac{i}{36} \operatorname{det}(|Q\rangle,|Q\rangle,|Q\rangle,|\rho\rangle) \operatorname{det}(\mid \tilde{Q}], \mid \tilde{Q}\right], \mid \tilde{Q}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right), \tag{4.45}
\end{align*}
$$

where the global reference spinors satisfy $\left\langle\rho \mid i^{a}\right\rangle=w_{i}^{a}$ and $\left[\rho \mid i^{\dot{a}}\right]=\tilde{w}_{i}^{\dot{a}}$, which for our simple choice can be obtained by $\langle\rho|=\left[\rho \left\lvert\,=\frac{1}{r}(0,0,0,1)\right.\right.$. Again, we have imposed mass conservation.

It is interesting to note that, from the simple factorized form of the massive $(1,1)$ superamplitude, one may attempt to write down a massive $(2,0)$ superamplitude for nonabelian self-dual tensor multiplets (interpreted as a Kaluza-Klein reduction of a 6D (2,0) tensor theory). The naive guess is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2,0)}(1 \mathcal{T}, 2 \mathcal{T}, 3 \mathcal{T}) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{i}{4} \prod_{\alpha=1,2}\left\langle\rho \mid Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle\left\langle Q^{\alpha} \mid Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle=\frac{i}{36} \prod_{\alpha=1,2} \operatorname{det}\left(\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,|\rho\rangle\right), \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

which superficially looks indistinguishable from the massless $\mathcal{N}=4$ superamplitude. However, we do not find any covariant expressions (in terms of momenta and tensor polarizations) that match the corresponding candidate non-abelian three-tensor component amplitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}\left(1 B^{a b}, 2 B^{c d}, 3 B^{e f}\right) \stackrel{?}{=} i \operatorname{det}\left(\left|\mathbf{1}^{(a}\right\rangle,\left|\mathbf{2}^{(c}\right\rangle,\left|\mathbf{3}^{(e}\right\rangle,|\rho\rangle\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\left|\mathbf{1}^{b}\right\rangle,\left|\mathbf{2}^{d)}\right\rangle,\left|\mathbf{3}^{f)}\right\rangle,|\rho\rangle\right), \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

nor for the corresponding candidate scalar-tensor component amplitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}\left(1 \phi, 2 \phi, 3 B^{a b}\right) \stackrel{?}{=} i u_{3}^{a} u_{3}^{b}=i \sqrt{2} m_{3} \varepsilon_{3, \mu \nu}^{a b} \frac{\left[\mathbf{1}^{1}\left|\gamma^{\mu \nu}\right| \mathbf{2}^{1}\right]}{\left[\mathbf{1}^{1} \mathbf{2}^{1}\right]} . \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that we do not find covariant formulas is consistent with the three-point-amplitude analysis of ref. [51], and indicate that these are not well-behaved amplitudes. In the next subsection the corresponding four-tensor amplitude will be analyzed with the same conclusion.

[^13]The three-graviton superamplitude in massive 5D Kaluza-Klein (2,0) supergravity is given by the double copy

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{3}^{(2,0)}(1 \mathcal{H}, 2 \mathcal{H}, 3 \mathcal{H}) & =-i \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V})  \tag{4.49}\\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.=\frac{i}{36} \operatorname{det}(\mid \mathbf{1}], \mid \mathbf{2}\right], \mid \mathbf{3}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right)^{2} \prod_{\alpha=1,2} \operatorname{det}\left(\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,|\rho\rangle\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Likewise, there exist a well-behaved massive abelian tensor-graviton superamplitude in the Kaluza-Klein (2,0) supergravity theory

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{M}_{3}^{(2,0)}\left(1 \mathcal{T}, 2 \mathcal{T}, 3 \mathcal{H}^{\dot{a} \dot{b}}\right)=-i \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}\left(1 \mathcal{V}^{\dot{c}}, 2 \mathcal{V}^{\dot{d}}, 3 \mathcal{V}^{\dot{a}}\right) \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}\left(1 \mathcal{V}_{\dot{c}}, 2 \mathcal{V}_{\dot{d}}, 3 \mathcal{V}^{\dot{b}}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.=\frac{i}{36} \operatorname{det}\left(\mid \mathbf{1}^{\dot{c}}\right], \mid \mathbf{2}^{\dot{d}}\right], \mid \mathbf{3}^{\dot{a}}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\mid \mathbf{1}_{\dot{c}}\right], \mid \mathbf{2}_{d}\right], \mid \mathbf{3}^{\dot{b}}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right) \prod_{\alpha=1,2} \operatorname{det}\left(\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,|\rho\rangle\right) \\
& =-\frac{i}{18} \tilde{u}_{3}^{\dot{a}} \tilde{u}_{3}^{\dot{b}} \prod_{\alpha=1,2} \operatorname{det}\left(\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,|\rho\rangle\right) \tag{4.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Note the same tensor amplitude can be obtained as the double copy of $(1,0)$ super-Yang-Mills amplitudes with massive half-hyper multiplets and a Coulomb-branch vector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{3}^{(2,0)}\left(1 \mathcal{T}, 2 \mathcal{T}, 3 \mathcal{H}^{\dot{a} \dot{b}}\right)=-i \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}\left(1 \Phi, 2 \Phi, 3 \mathcal{V}^{\dot{a}}\right) \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}\left(1 \Phi, 2 \Phi, 3 \mathcal{V}^{\dot{b}}\right) \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equivalence of the two double copies follow from the kinematic identities

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{u}_{3}^{\dot{a}} \tilde{u}_{3}^{\dot{b}} & \left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left(\mid \mathbf{1}^{\dot{c}}\right], \mid \mathbf{2}^{\dot{d}}\right], \mid \mathbf{3}^{\dot{a}}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\mid \mathbf{1}_{\dot{c}}\right], \mid \mathbf{2}_{\dot{d}}\right], \mid \mathbf{3}^{\dot{b}}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.=-\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{det}\left(\mid \mathbf{1}_{\dot{c}}\right],\left[\mathbf{1}^{\dot{c}}\right], \mid \mathbf{3}^{\dot{a}}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\mid \mathbf{2}_{\dot{d}}\right], \mid \mathbf{2}^{\dot{d}}\right], \mid \mathbf{3}^{\dot{b}}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right) \\
\tilde{u}_{3}^{\dot{a}} & \left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left(\mid \mathbf{1}_{\dot{c}}\right], \mid \mathbf{1}^{\dot{c}}\right],\left[\mathbf{3}^{\dot{a}}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{det}\left(\mid \mathbf{2}_{\dot{d}}\right], \mid \mathbf{2}^{\dot{d}}\right], \mid \mathbf{3}^{\dot{a}}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right), \tag{4.52}
\end{align*}
$$

where, as before, mass conservation is assumed. The above equivalence agrees with the fact that the different tensors can be embedded into the $(2,2)$ gravitational theory where they are related by R-symmetry.

The three-graviton superamplitude in massive Kaluza-Klein $(2,2)$ supergravity is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{3}^{(2,2)}(1 \mathcal{H}, 2 \mathcal{H}, 3 \mathcal{H}) & =-i \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,1)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,1)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V})  \tag{4.53}\\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.=\frac{i}{36^{2}} \prod_{\alpha=1,2} \operatorname{det}\left(\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,\left|Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle,|\rho\rangle\right) \prod_{\dot{\alpha}=1,2} \operatorname{det}\left(\mid \tilde{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right], \mid \tilde{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right], \mid \tilde{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}}\right], \mid \rho\right]\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly in $(1,1)$ supergravity

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{3}^{(1,1)}(1 \mathcal{H}, 2 \mathcal{H}, 3 \mathcal{H})= & -i \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(1,0)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(0,1)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}) \\
= & \frac{i}{36} \operatorname{det}(|\mathbf{1}\rangle,|\mathbf{2}\rangle,|\mathbf{3}\rangle,|\rho\rangle) \operatorname{det}(|Q\rangle,|Q\rangle,|Q\rangle,|\rho\rangle)  \tag{4.54}\\
& \times \operatorname{det}(\mid \mathbf{1}], \mid \mathbf{2}], \mid \mathbf{3}], \mid \rho]) \operatorname{det}(\mid \tilde{Q}], \mid \tilde{Q}], \mid \tilde{Q}], \mid \rho]),
\end{align*}
$$

where we assumed that both massive amplitudes originate from massless 6 D kinematics via Kaluza-Klein compactification. All the massive amplitudes given above can equivalently describe 6D massless amplitudes.

### 4.2 Superamplitudes at four points

The most convenient expressions for superamplitudes are relevant for gauge theories with maximal $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry. As it is to be expected, the basic object appearing at four points is the Grassmann delta function, which takes the following expression with the 5 D spinor-helicity notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{8}(Q)=\frac{1}{4} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left(\sum_{i<j} \eta_{i}^{\alpha a}\left\langle i_{a} j_{b}\right\rangle \eta_{j}^{\alpha b}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{64} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left(\left\langle Q^{\alpha} \mid Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle\right)^{2} \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The color-ordered superamplitude between four vector multiplets is then readily written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{4}^{\mathcal{N}=4}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}, 4 \mathcal{V})=-4 i \frac{\delta^{8}(Q)}{s t} \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can directly verify that this superamplitude yields the appropriate component amplitudes. For example, the amplitude between four massless vectors is

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{4}(1 A, 2 A, 3 A, 4 A) & =-\frac{i}{s t}(\langle\underline{12}\rangle\langle\underline{34}\rangle+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3))^{2} \\
& =\frac{i}{s t}\left\{2\langle\underline{12}\rangle\langle\underline{23}\rangle\langle\underline{34}\rangle\langle\underline{41}\rangle-\langle\underline{12}\rangle^{2}\langle\underline{34}\rangle^{2}+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3)\right\} . \tag{4.57}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector superfields can then be embedded in the $\mathcal{N}=4$ superfields, which leads to the identification

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{4}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}, 4 \mathcal{V}) & =-4 i\left(z_{1}^{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{1}^{a 2}}\right) \cdots\left(z_{4}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{4}^{d 2}}\right) \frac{\delta^{8}(Q)}{s t} \\
& =-2 i \frac{\delta^{4}(Q)}{s t}(\langle\underline{12}\rangle\langle\underline{34}\rangle+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3)) \tag{4.58}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the complement of an $\mathcal{N}=2$ vector superfield in an $\mathcal{N}=4$ vector superfield is a hypermultiplet, from the amplitude above one can extrapolate that the amplitudes with hypermultiplets take a similar form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{4}^{\mathcal{N}=2}\left(1 \Phi^{\dot{\alpha}}, 2 \Phi^{\dot{\beta}}, 3 \mathcal{V}, 4 \mathcal{V}\right)=-2 i \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \frac{\delta^{4}(Q)}{s t}\langle\underline{3}| p_{1}|\underline{4}\rangle, \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{4}^{\mathcal{N}=2}\left(1 \Phi^{\dot{\alpha}}, 2 \Phi^{\dot{\beta}}, 3 \Phi^{\dot{\gamma}}, 4 \Phi^{\dot{\delta}}\right)=-2 i \delta^{4}(Q)\left(\frac{\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \epsilon^{\dot{\gamma} \dot{\delta}}}{s}+\frac{\epsilon^{\dot{\beta} \dot{\gamma}} \epsilon^{\dot{\delta} \dot{\alpha}}}{t}\right) \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to promote the massless four-point amplitudes to massive amplitudes by replacing the expressions for the massless supercharges with the supercharges in the massive case. As before, we have several distinct representations of the supersymmetry algebra that differ based on the chirality of the supercharges under the $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ little group. Let us start by introducing the needed Grassmann delta functions for massive supercharges. For standard non-chiral $(1,1)$ supersymmetry, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{4}(Q) \delta^{4}(\tilde{Q})=\frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_{i<j} \eta_{i}^{a}\left\langle\boldsymbol{i}_{a} \boldsymbol{j}_{b}\right\rangle \eta_{j}^{b}\right)^{2}\left(\sum_{i<j} \tilde{\eta}_{i}^{\dot{a}}\left[\boldsymbol{i}_{a} \boldsymbol{j}_{\dot{b}}\right] \tilde{\eta}_{j}^{\dot{b}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{64}(\langle Q \mid Q\rangle[\tilde{Q} \mid \tilde{Q}])^{2}, \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas for chiral $(2,0)$ and $(0,2)$ supersymmetry one has the following Grassmann delta functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta^{8}(Q)=\frac{1}{4} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left(\sum_{i<j} \eta_{i}^{\alpha a}\left\langle\boldsymbol{i}_{a} \boldsymbol{j}_{b}\right\rangle \eta_{j}^{\alpha b}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{64} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left(\left\langle Q^{\alpha} \mid Q^{\alpha}\right\rangle\right)^{2}  \tag{4.62}\\
& \delta^{8}(\tilde{Q})=\frac{1}{4} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left(\sum_{i, j} \tilde{\eta}_{i}^{\alpha \dot{a}}\left[\boldsymbol{i}_{\dot{a}} \boldsymbol{j}_{\dot{b}}\right] \tilde{\eta}_{j}^{\alpha \dot{b}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{64} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left(\left[\tilde{Q}^{\alpha} \mid \tilde{Q}^{\alpha}\right]\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on these expressions, we can obtain massive superamplitudes between four massive vector multiplets,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{4}^{(1,1)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}, 4 \mathcal{V})=-4 i \frac{\delta^{4}(Q) \delta^{4}(\tilde{Q})}{\left(s-m_{s}^{2}\right)\left(t-m_{t}^{2}\right)} \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{s}, m_{t}$ denote the masses for the $s$ - and $t$-channel poles. They are given by the mass-conservation condition: $m_{s}=m_{1}+m_{2}, m_{t}=m_{2}+m_{3}$. It is useful to explicitly write out the component amplitude between four massive vector fields, it is

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{4}(1 W, 2 W, 3 W, 4 W)= & -i \underline{(\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle\langle\underline{\mathbf{3 4}}\rangle+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3))([\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}][\underline{\mathbf{3 4}}]+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3))}\left(s-m_{s}^{2}\right)\left(t-m_{t}^{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{i}{\left(s-m_{s}^{2}\right)\left(t-m_{t}^{2}\right)}\{\underline{\langle\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle[\underline{\mathbf{2 3}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{3 4}}\rangle[\underline{\mathbf{4 1}}]+[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2 3}}\rangle[\underline{\mathbf{3 4}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{4 1}}\rangle- \\
& {[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2 1}}\rangle[\underline{\mathbf{3 4}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{4 3}}\rangle+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3)\} . } \tag{4.64}
\end{align*}
$$

Having obtained the $(1,1)$ vector amplitude, one may guess that a naive candidate for the color-ordered superamplitude between four massive tensor $(2,0)$ multiplets is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{4}^{(2,0)}(1 \mathcal{T}, 2 \mathcal{T}, 3 \mathcal{T}, 4 \mathcal{T}) \stackrel{?}{=}-4 i \frac{\delta^{8}(Q)}{\left(s-m_{s}^{2}\right)\left(t-m_{t}^{2}\right)} \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

To check if this is a well-behaved amplitude we want to check if it factorizes properly. In terms of the already guessed three-point amplitudes, we can work out the needed $s$-channel factorization,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d^{4} \eta_{5} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2,0)}(1 \mathcal{T}, 2 \mathcal{T}, 5 \mathcal{T}) \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(2,0)}(-5 \mathcal{T}, 3 \mathcal{T}, 4 \mathcal{T})=-4 i \delta^{8}(Q) \frac{\tau^{2}}{\left(t-m_{t}^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau=\frac{1}{r_{34}}\left(\left[\mathbf{1}^{1} \mathbf{2}^{1}\right]\left[\mathbf{3}^{1} \rho\right]+\left[\mathbf{2}^{1} \mathbf{3}^{1}\right]\left[\mathbf{1}^{1} \rho\right]+\left[\mathbf{3}^{1} \mathbf{1}^{1}\right]\left[\mathbf{2}^{1} \rho\right]\right)$ and $\rho=\frac{1}{r_{12}}(0,0,0,1)$, with $r_{i j}^{2}=$ $\left\langle\boldsymbol{i}^{1} \boldsymbol{j}^{1}\right]$. In order for the factorization to work, we need the double pole to cancel out. However, the relation between $\tau$ and the pole is $\tau \tilde{\tau}=t-m_{t}^{2}$, where $\tilde{\tau}$ is given by swapping square and angle spinors in $\tau$. Hence, the double pole only cancels out in the massless limit or, alternatively, if we had done the same calculation for $(1,1)$ super-Yang-Mills theory. One may wonder if the three-point amplitudes can be modified such that they absorb the unwanted $\tau^{2} /\left(t-m_{t}^{2}\right)$ factor. However, given that it depends non-trivially on momenta belonging to different three-point amplitudes, this is unlikely to work out. Our conclusion agree with the analysis of ref. [63], where the non-abelian $(2,0)$ candidate amplitude was shown to have irreconcilable factorization properties.

In contrast to the problematic non-abelian tensor case, there is interesting and wellbehaved gravitational amplitude that involves abelian tensor multiplets. The four-tensor superamplitude in $(2,0)$ supergravity is given by the double copy

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{4}^{(2,0)}(1 \mathcal{T}, 2 \mathcal{T}, 3 \mathcal{T}, 4 \mathcal{T}) & =-i\left(s-m_{s}^{2}\right) \mathcal{A}_{4}^{(1,0)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}, 4 \mathcal{V}) \mathcal{A}_{4}^{(1,0)}(1 \mathcal{V}, 2 \mathcal{V}, 4 \mathcal{V}, 3 \mathcal{V}) \\
& =i \delta^{8}(Q)\left(\frac{1}{s-m_{s}^{2}}+\frac{1}{t-m_{t}^{2}}+\frac{1}{u-m_{u}^{2}}\right), \tag{4.67}
\end{align*}
$$

where the poles corresponds to (massive) graviton exchange. This agrees with refs. [88, 89] which use the 6 D scattering-equation formalism to obtain the same tensor amplitude.

### 4.3 Comparison with superamplitudes in other dimensions

Massive multiplets in five dimensions are closely related with massless multiplets in six dimensions since the little group is the same. This relation was used in [51] to dimensionallyreduce the 6 D spinor-helicity formalism to 5 D and discuss certain three-point amplitudes potentially related to those of the $(2,0) 6 \mathrm{D}$ theory.

Following the standard 6 D notation, a massless momentum is written as $[7,8,79]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{[6]}^{A B}=\lambda^{A a} \lambda_{a}^{B}, \quad p_{A B}^{[6]}=\tilde{\lambda}_{A \dot{a}} \tilde{\lambda}_{B}^{\dot{a}}, \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{a}^{A}$ are 6 D chiral spinors, $A, B=1, \ldots, 4$, and $a, b$ are $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ indices, and similarly for the anti-chiral spinors $\tilde{\lambda}_{\dot{a}}^{A}$. In our massive formalism, we have the natural identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lambda_{a}^{A} \equiv\left\langle\left.\boldsymbol{p}_{a}\right|^{A}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{A}^{\dot{a}} \equiv\right| \boldsymbol{p}^{\dot{a}}\right]_{A} . \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sixth component of the 6 D momentum is interpreted as the mass in five dimensions (leading to a natural interpretation for the mass-conservation condition $\sum_{i} m_{i}=0$ ). Formulas for the polarization vectors and supercharges follow straightforwardly from their 6D analogues. It should also be noted that the $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$-invariant matrix $\Omega$ can be seen as the sixth entry to the $\Sigma$ matrices in 6D, where the remaining five entries are given by the 5D gamma matrices.

Moving to the massless case, it can be obtained from the 6 D spinor-helicity formalism through the identification of the chiral and anti-chiral spinors $\lambda$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$. In a sense, massless superfields and amplitudes in five dimensions present a closer analogy with 4D superamplitudes in a non-chiral representation [86]. Starting from the conventional chiral representation of four-point amplitudes, one can act with a Grassmann Fourier transform with respect to half of the Grassmann coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\int d \eta^{3} d \eta^{4} e^{\eta^{3} \tilde{\eta}^{3}+\eta^{4} \tilde{\eta}^{4}} \Phi_{\text {chiral }} . \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, the maximally supersymmetric on-shell vector superfield in four dimensions now have the expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{V}_{4 \mathrm{D}}^{\mathcal{N}}=4= & \varphi^{34}+\bar{\chi}_{\alpha 34} \eta^{\alpha}+\chi_{\tilde{\alpha}} \tilde{\eta}^{\tilde{\alpha}}+A^{-} \eta^{2}+A^{+} \tilde{\eta}^{2}+\varphi_{\alpha \tilde{\alpha}} \eta^{\alpha} \tilde{\eta}^{\tilde{\alpha}}+ \\
& \chi_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha}(\tilde{\eta})^{2}+\bar{\chi}_{12 \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\eta^{\alpha}}(\eta)^{2}+\varphi^{12}(\eta)^{2}(\tilde{\eta})^{2},} \tag{4.71}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\alpha=1,2$ and $\tilde{\alpha}=3,4$. This closely reflects our 5D superfields. The corresponding superamplitude at four points in 4D spinor-helicity notation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{4 \mathrm{D}}^{\mathcal{N}=4}(1,2,3,4)=-i \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{2}\left(\sum_{i<j} \eta_{i}^{\alpha}\langle i j\rangle \eta_{j}^{\alpha}\right) \prod_{\tilde{\alpha}=3}^{4}\left(\sum_{i<j} \tilde{\eta}_{i}^{\tilde{\alpha}}[i j] \tilde{\eta}_{j}^{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)}{s t}, \tag{4.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between 4D and 5D variables is then simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\eta_{i a}^{\alpha}\left\langle i^{a} j_{b}\right\rangle \eta_{j}^{b \alpha}\right|_{4 \mathrm{D} \text { kin }}=\eta_{i}^{\alpha}\langle i j\rangle \eta_{j}^{\alpha}+\tilde{\eta}_{i}^{\tilde{\alpha}}[i j] \tilde{\eta}_{j}^{\tilde{\alpha}} . \tag{4.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also immediate to verify that, with this relation, eq. (4.57) reproduces the familiar expression for the 4 D amplitudes upon dimensional reduction with the correct normalization.

## 5 More on double-copy amplitudes

In this section, we revisit the double-copy construction of Maxwell-Einstein and Yang-Mills-Einstein theories with the new formalism.

### 5.1 Maxwell-Einstein and Yang-Mills-Einstein supergravities revisited

The main advantage of the 5D formalism is that it does not require reducing the supergravity Lagrangian to four dimensions to match amplitudes from the double copy, as it was done in the earlier work $[32,34]$. Being able to formulate the construction directly in five dimensions streamlines and simplifies the derivation. One of the remarkable properties of Maxwell-Einstein theories with $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry in five dimensions is that they exhibit the simple cubic vector couplings [23, 90]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{6 \sqrt{6}} \int d^{5} x C_{I J K} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \tau} F_{\mu \nu}^{I} F_{\rho \sigma}^{J} A_{\tau}^{K}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $C_{I J K}$ tensor is symmetric in the $I, J, K$ indices running over the number of vector fields present in the theory. The full Lagrangian of the theory is fixed once the $C_{I J K}$ tensor in determined, as done in refs. [23-25]. In turn, this tensor can be read off the three-vector amplitude, which has the following simple expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.M_{3}(1 A, 2 A, 3 A)=-i \sqrt{\frac{8}{3}} C_{I J K} \epsilon\left(k_{1}, \varepsilon_{1}, k_{2}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}\right)=-i \frac{2 C_{I J K}}{\sqrt{3}}\langle\underline{12}\rangle\left(\langle\underline{13}\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3}}\right\rangle\right\rangle-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right)\right), \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon(a, b, c, d, f)=a^{\mu} b^{\nu} c^{\rho} d^{\sigma} f^{\lambda} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \lambda}$. In short, these theories are completely specified by their three-point amplitudes.

The simplest double-copy realization of a family of such theories involves, as one of the gauge theory factors, $\mathcal{N}=2$ super Yang-Mills theory and, as the other factor, a Yang-Mills theory with additional adjoint scalars $\phi^{i}$. At three points, all $\mathcal{N}=2$ amplitudes can be packaged in a single superamplitude. The two non-zero amplitudes on the non-supersymmetric side are $A_{3}(1 A, 2 A, 3 A)$ and $A_{3}\left(1 A, 2 \phi^{i}, 3 \phi^{j}\right)$. With the intent of
identifying the relevant $C_{I J K}$ tensors, we focus on amplitudes between vectors in the supergravity theory. In five dimensions, we have three possible double-copy origins for vector states:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{a b}^{i}=\left.\left.A_{a b}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=2} \otimes \phi^{i}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=0},  \tag{5.3}\\
& A_{a b}^{1}=\left.\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{N}==} \otimes A_{a b}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=0},  \tag{5.4}\\
& A_{a b}^{0}=\left.\left.A_{c(a}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=2} \otimes A_{b)}^{c}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=0}, \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the gauge-theory scalars carry an index $i=2, \ldots, n_{V}$ and we label the vector states with their little-group indices. It is not difficult to see that there are only two non-zero amplitude between three vectors: $M_{3}\left(1 A^{0}, 2 A^{i}, 3 A^{j}\right)$ and $M_{3}\left(1 A^{0}, 2 A^{1}, 3 A^{1}\right)$. The former is given by ${ }^{17}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{3}\left(1 A^{0}, 2 A^{i}, 3 A^{j}\right)=-\frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{a b} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}^{a}} A_{3}(1 A, 2 A, 3 A) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}^{b}} A_{3}\left(1 A, 2 \phi^{i}, 3 \phi^{j}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the differential operators in the antisymmetric indices extract the correct little-group representation. After some additional work, it is possible to cast the double-copy amplitude in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{3}\left(1 A^{0}, 2 A^{i}, 3 A^{j}\right)=i \delta^{i j}\langle\underline{12}\rangle\left(\langle\underline{13}\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3}} 2\right\rangle-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right)\right) . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second nonzero amplitude is

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{3}\left(1 A^{0}, 2 A^{1}, 3 A^{1}\right) & =-i \frac{\epsilon^{a b}}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}^{a}} A_{3}(1 A, 2 \phi, 3 \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}^{b}} A_{3}(1 A, 2 A, 3 A) \\
& =-i\langle\underline{12}\rangle\left(\langle\underline{13}\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3}} 2\right\rangle-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right)\right), \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

which despite its appearance is invariant under the full $S_{3}$ permutation symmetry. The difference in sign compared to eq. (5.7) can be traced to the fact that in the two amplitudes the scalars entering the double-copy construction for the supergravity vectors come from different gauge-theory factors.

We can now read off the $C_{I J K}$ for this theory directly by comparing the double-copy amplitudes in eqs. (5.8) and (5.7) with the supergravity expression eq. (5.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{011}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad C_{0 i j}=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \delta^{i j} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the well-known generic Jordan family of Maxwell-Einstein supergravities in five dimensions [23-25]. It should be noted that analyzing amplitudes in five dimensions gives us the option to avoid explicitly expanding around a base point. ${ }^{18}$ The earlier formulation of the double-copy construction for these theories requires matching of amplitudes in four dimensions, so the 5D spinor-helicity formalism considerably streamlines the derivation.

[^14]We can extend the supersymmetric gauge theory by including hypermultiplets and the non-supersymmetric theory by including fermions. This yields extra vectors in the double-copy theory as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{a b}^{\alpha}=\left.\chi_{\left(\left.a\right|_{\mathcal{N}=2}\right.} \otimes \chi_{b)}^{\alpha}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=0}, \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is an extra flavor index carried by the gauge-theory fermions. Introducing these fields turns on additional three-vector amplitudes, which are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{3}\left(1 A^{i}, 2 A^{\alpha}, 3 A^{\beta}\right)=-i A_{3}(1 A, 2 \chi, 3 \chi) A_{3}\left(1 \phi^{i}, 2 \chi^{\alpha}, 3 \chi^{\beta}\right) . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The amplitude between two fermions and one scalar in the non-supersymmetric theory is taken to be proportional to a matrix in the global indices that appears in the Yukawa couplings,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{3}\left(1 \phi^{i}, 2 \chi^{\alpha}, 3 \chi^{\beta}\right)=-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{i}\langle\underline{23}\rangle . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting supergravity amplitude is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{3}\left(1 A^{i}, 2 A^{\alpha}, 3 A^{\beta}\right)=-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{i}\langle\underline{23}\rangle\left(\langle\underline{21}\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{1}} 3\right\rangle-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right)\right), \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the additional non-zero entries of the $C_{I J K}$ tensor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i \alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{i} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have recovered the construction for homogeneous supergravities first given in ref. [34]. Here we do not discuss the constraints imposed by color/kinematics duality on the matrix $\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{i}$, i.e. we just assume that we have gauge theories obeying color/kinematics duality from which we can take the amplitudes entering the double copy. Color/kinematics duality can be conveniently studied at the level of the gauge theories, and in this particular case requires that the $\Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{i}$ matrices obey Clifford-algebra relations, as shown in ref. [34]. The net result is that we recover the classification of homogeneous Maxwell-Einstein supergravities from the supergravity literature [30].

For completeness, we also give the double-copy map for the other bosonic supergravity fields, ${ }^{19}$

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{a b c d} & =\left.\left.A_{(a b}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=2} \otimes A_{c d)}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=0},  \tag{5.15}\\
\varphi^{1} & =\left.\left.A_{a b}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=2} \otimes A^{a b}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=0},  \tag{5.16}\\
\varphi^{i} & =\left.\left.\phi\right|_{\mathcal{N}=2} \otimes \phi^{i}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=0},  \tag{5.17}\\
\varphi^{\alpha} & =\left.\left.\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \chi_{a}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=2} \otimes \chi^{a \alpha}\right|_{\mathcal{N}=0} . \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, it is completely straightforward to turn on trilinear scalar couplings in the nonsupersymmetric gauge theory entering the construction while preserving the duality between color and kinematics. This yields non-Abelian gauge interactions in the gravitational

[^15]double-copy theory, which becomes a Yang-Mills-Einstein theory with gauge symmetry given by the flavor symmetry of the trilinear couplings in the non-supersymmetric gauge theory Lagrangian, as shown in ref. [32].

The component amplitudes discussed in this section can be supersymmetrized using the superamplitudes introduced in section 4 . The amplitude between three supergravity massless vector multiplets can be written as ${ }^{20}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{3}\left(1 \mathcal{V}^{i}, 2 \mathcal{V}^{j}, 3 \mathcal{V}^{k}\right)=-i \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} C_{i j k}\langle\underline{12}\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3}} \mid Q\right\rangle\langle Q \mid Q\rangle, \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used eq. (4.15) and this expression is invariant under cyclic symmetry due to nontrivial properties of three-point kinematics.

### 5.2 Amplitudes with massive vectors and tensors

In this section, we study double-copy amplitudes with massive external fields. Their simplest realization is in a gravitational theory containing massive vectors. We begin by studying amplitudes with gravitons and massive vector fields, and then proceed to include other massless and massive fields.

Similarly to the massless vectors discussed in the previous section, massive vectors can originate either as the double copies of a massive vector and a massive scalar, or as the double copies of two massive fermions. We start by considering amplitudes between two massive vector fields and a graviton. The first type of massive vectors leads to the double-copy amplitude

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{3}(1 W, 2 W, 3 h) & =-i A_{3}(1 \phi, 2 \phi, 3 A) A_{3}(1 W, 2 W, 3 A) \\
& =-i\left(\varepsilon_{3} \cdot p_{1}\right)\left(2\left(\varepsilon_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{3}\right)+\left(\varepsilon_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{3} \cdot p_{1}\right)-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right) . \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The second type of double copy leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{3}(1 W, 2 W, 3 h) & =-i A_{3}(1 \chi, 2 \chi, 3 A) A_{3}(1 \tilde{\chi}, 2 \tilde{\chi}, 3 A) \\
& =i\left(\langle\underline{\mathbf{1} 3}\rangle\left\langle\underline{q_{3} \mathbf{2}}\right]-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right)\right)\left([\underline{\mathbf{1}}\rangle\left\langle\left\langle\underline{q_{3} \mathbf{2}}\right\rangle-\left(3 \leftrightarrow q_{3}\right)\right) .\right. \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Upon explicit evaluation, one can check that the two expressions are equivalent. This is a consequence of the graviton interacting universally with matter, and an important check that we obtained the correct amplitudes. A change of normalization in the double-copy map would result in these two amplitudes becoming different, i.e. this is a check of the correctness of the normalization of the double-copy fields.

At the level of amplitudes, we can write six gauge-invariant structures with the right little-group indices, ${ }^{21}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\left.\{\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2 1}}]\left(p_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{3}\right), \quad\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle[\underline{\mathbf{2 1}}]\left(p_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{3}\right), \quad\langle\underline{\mathbf{1}}| p_{2} \mid \underline{\mathbf{1}}\right]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2}}| \varepsilon_{3} \mid \underline{\mathbf{2}}\right]-(1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\
 \tag{5.22}\\
\left.m_{1}\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle\left[\underline{\mathbf{2}}\left|\varepsilon_{3}\right| \underline{\mathbf{1}}\right], m_{1}[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2}}| \varepsilon_{3}|\underline{\mathbf{1}}\rangle, m_{1}[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle\left[\underline{\mathbf{2}}\left|\varepsilon_{3}\right| \underline{\mathbf{1}}\right\rangle-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

[^16]These structures are however not independent, and it is possible to verify the relations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\left.2(\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle[\underline{\mathbf{2 1}}]-\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}] \underline{\langle\mathbf{2}}]) \left.\left(p_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\langle\underline{\mathbf{1}}| p_{2} \mid \underline{\mathbf{1}}\right]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2}}| \varepsilon_{3} \right\rvert\, \underline{\mathbf{2}}\right]-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right) \\
+m_{1}\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle\left[\underline{\mathbf{2}}\left|\varepsilon_{3}\right| \underline{\mathbf{1}}\right]-m_{1}[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2}}| \varepsilon_{3}|\underline{\mathbf{1}}\rangle=0 \tag{5.23}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle\left[\underline{\mathbf{2}}\left|\varepsilon_{3}\right| \underline{1}\right]+[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2}}| \varepsilon_{3}|\underline{\mathbf{1}}\rangle-[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle\left[\underline{\mathbf{2}}\left|\varepsilon_{3}\right| \underline{1}\right\rangle-\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2}}| \varepsilon_{3} \mid \underline{\mathbf{1}}\right]=0 . \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use these relations in the remainder of this section and organize the three-point matrix elements from the supergravity Lagrangian and from the double copy in a basis of gauge-invariant structures drawn from eq. (5.22).

In the supergravity Lagrangian we can write three terms that are gauge invariant with respect to the massless vector. The first is a bilinear in the covariant derivative of the massive vector fields,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{1}=D_{[\mu} \bar{W}_{\nu]} D^{\mu} W^{\nu} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding three-point matrix element is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.g_{s} t^{a}\langle\bar{W} W A\rangle\right|_{|D W|^{2}} & =i g_{s} t^{a}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{2}\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{3}+\varepsilon_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{3} p_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{1}-\varepsilon_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{3} p_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{2}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left.=i \frac{g_{s} t^{a}}{2 m^{2}}\{(\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle \underline{\mathbf{2 1}}]-\langle\underline{\mathbf{2 1}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}])\left(p_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{3}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\langle\underline{\mathbf{1}}| p_{2} \mid \underline{\mathbf{1}}\right]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2}}| \varepsilon_{3} \right\rvert\, \underline{\mathbf{2}}\right]-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right)\right\}, \tag{5.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t^{a}$ is the representation matrix of the massive vectors with respect to the unbroken gauge group and $g_{s}$ is the coupling constant of the supergravity gauge interactions. Representation indices for the massive vectors are not explicitly displayed. The second gauge-invariant term in the supergravity Lagrangian has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{2}=F_{\mu \nu}^{a} \bar{W}^{\mu} t^{a} W^{\nu} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its contribution to the three-point amplitude is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.g_{s} t^{a}\langle\bar{W} W A\rangle\right|_{W \cdot F \cdot \bar{W}} & =i g_{s} t^{a}\left(\varepsilon_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{3} p_{3} \cdot \varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{3} p_{3} \cdot \varepsilon_{1}\right) \\
& \left.\left.\left.=i \frac{g_{s} t^{a}}{8 m^{2}}\left(\langle\underline{\mathbf{1}}| p_{2} \mid \underline{\mathbf{1}}\right]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2}}| \varepsilon_{3} \right\rvert\, \underline{\mathbf{2}}\right]-(1 \leftrightarrow 2)\right) . \tag{5.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that in the Yang-Mills Lagrangian with spontaneously-broken gauge symmetry both $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ are present with the same normalization, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\langle\bar{W} W A\rangle\right|_{\mathrm{YM}}=\left.\langle\bar{W} W A\rangle\right|_{|D W|^{2}}+\left.\langle\bar{W} W A\rangle\right|_{W \cdot F \cdot \bar{W}} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lastly, as we have seen in our analysis of the massless theories, an additional operator appears in the supergravity Lagrangian, ${ }^{22}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{3}=D \bar{W} \wedge A \wedge D W \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^17]The corresponding contribution to the three-vector amplitude is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.t^{a}\langle\bar{W} W A\rangle\right|_{D \bar{W} \wedge A \wedge D W}=4 i t^{a} \epsilon\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=i t^{a}\left(\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle\left[\underline{\mathbf{1}}\left|\varepsilon_{3}\right| \underline{\mathbf{2}}\right]+[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{1}}| \varepsilon_{3}|\underline{\mathbf{2}}\rangle\right) . \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider amplitudes obtained through the double-copy construction. Specifically, massive fields can have two distinct origins: they can arise as double copies of two massive fermions, or as double copies of one massive vector and one massive scalar. We will take the massive vector either as the vector arising from the double copy of two gluons or as a gluon times an adjoint scalar. Altogether, we have five distinct classes of three-point amplitudes that we need to discuss. We start from the bosonic double copies. The simplest one is ${ }^{23}$

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{3}(1 \bar{W}, 2 W, 3 A) & =-i A_{3}(1 \bar{W}, 2 W, 3 A) A_{3}(1 \bar{\varphi}, 2 \varphi, 3 \phi) \\
& =\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\left.\langle W \bar{W} A\rangle\right|_{|D W|^{2}}+\left.\langle W \bar{W} A\rangle\right|_{W \cdot F \cdot \bar{W}}\right) \tag{5.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the constant appearing in the three-scalar coupling, which is normalized as $\lambda \int \bar{\varphi} \phi \varphi$. This leads to the supergravity gauge coupling constant being given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{s}=\frac{\kappa \lambda}{4} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is consistent with the result in ref. [34] (we have restored $\kappa$ in the above equation). $A_{3}(1 \bar{W}, 2 W, 3 A)$ must be an amplitude from a spontaneously-broken gauge-theory amplitude in order to satisfy color/kinematics duality. Another bosonic double copy is

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{3}(1 \bar{W}, 2 W, 3 A) & =-i A_{3}(1 \bar{W}, 2 W, 3 \phi) A_{3}(1 \phi, 2 \phi, 3 A) \\
& =i m\left(\varepsilon_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{3} \cdot p_{1}-\varepsilon_{3} \cdot p_{2}\right) \\
& =m\langle W \bar{W} A\rangle_{|D W|^{2}}-m\langle W \bar{W} A\rangle_{W \cdot F \cdot \bar{W}} \tag{5.34}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to have an amplitude of this form, one needs to take $\phi$ to be the Higgs field on the left gauge theory. For this amplitude, the supergravity coupling constant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{s}=\frac{\kappa m}{2} \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is one last amplitude obtained from a bosonic double copy,

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{3}(1 \bar{W}, 2 W, 3 A) & =-i \frac{\epsilon^{a b}}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{3}^{a}} A_{3}(1 \bar{W}, 2 W, 3 A) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{3}^{b}} A_{3}(1 \bar{\varphi}, 2 \varphi, 3 A) \\
& =-\langle W \bar{W} A\rangle_{D \bar{W} \wedge A \wedge D W} . \tag{5.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Interestingly, the double copy does not give any term proportional to the mass, and therefore it is the same as in the massless case given in the previous section.

[^18]We now inspect amplitudes in which the massive vectors come from fermionic double copies. There are two such amplitude. The first one is

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{3}(1 \bar{W}, 2 W, 3 A) & =-i \frac{\epsilon^{a b}}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{3}^{a}} A_{3}(1 \chi, 2 \chi, 3 A) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{3}^{b}} A_{3}(1 \tilde{\chi}, 2 \tilde{\chi}, 3 A) \\
& =\sqrt{2} i m\left[\left(\varepsilon_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{3} p_{3} \cdot \varepsilon_{2}-\varepsilon_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{3} p_{3} \cdot \varepsilon_{1}\right)\right] \\
& =\sqrt{2} i m\langle W \bar{W} A\rangle_{W \cdot F \cdot \bar{W}} . \tag{5.37}
\end{align*}
$$

The second amplitude is

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{3}(1 \bar{W}, 2 W, 3 A) & =-i A(1 \chi, 2 \chi, 3 A) A(1 \tilde{\chi}, 2 \tilde{\chi}, 3 \phi) \\
& =\frac{i}{2}[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{1}}| \varepsilon_{3}|\underline{\mathbf{2}}\rangle=m\langle W \bar{W} A\rangle_{|D W|^{2}}+\frac{1}{4}\langle W \bar{W} A\rangle_{D \bar{W} \wedge A \wedge D W} . \tag{5.38}
\end{align*}
$$

The result gives both a term proportional to the $C_{I J K}$ tensor and a term that vanishes in the massless limit.

In formulating the above double-copy constructions, we have paired massive fermions with opposite little-group chiralities. However, we can also choose to have the double-copy map pair fermions with equal chiralities to give supergravity tensors,

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{3}(1 \bar{B}, 2 B, 3 A) & =-i A(1 \chi, 2 \chi, 3 A) A(1 \chi, 2 \chi, 3 \phi) \\
& =\frac{i}{2}\langle\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}\rangle\langle\underline{\mathbf{1}}| \varepsilon_{3}|\underline{\mathbf{2}}\rangle . \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Several comments on these results are now in order. First, we have seen that, in contrast to amplitudes between two vectors and a graviton, which are universal, massive and massless supergravity vectors admit several different kinds of couplings. In particular, vectors with different double-copy origin give rise to different operators in the supergravity Lagrangian. Some of these couplings disappear in the massless limit. This is an indication that the double-copy theory does not admit an unbroken gauge phase. In fact, these classes of amplitudes arise naturally in the double-copy construction for Yang-Mills-Einstein theories with non-compact gauge groups, which always need to have the gauge group be spontaneously broken to a compact subgroup to preserve unitarity. In some cases, one has the choice on how to pair gauge-theory states in the double-copy map. Different choices can result in massive vectors or massive tensors in the output of the double copy. However, the pairing of gauge-theory states depends on their respective gauge-group representations, so that a supergravity state is associated to a gauge-invariant bilinear with states from the two gauge-theory factors.

In this section, we have used three-point gauge amplitudes for the input of the double copy without studying in detail the theories from which they are originating. In particular, we have not analyzed the constraints coming from color/kinematics duality, which become important for ensuring the consistency of amplitudes at higher-points. Color/kinematics duality may require a delicate balance between the various gauge-group representations and impose constraints on the parameters of the theory. For example, fermions carrying both little-group chiralities can be present in a given representation, which implies that
both tensors and vectors will be generated through the double copy. The analysis of the double-copy construction for theories with non-compact gauge groups is beyond the scope of this article and will be carried out in a separate publication [91].

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have introduced a 5 D version of the spinor-helicity formalism, which provides convenient variables valid for 5D massive and massless kinematics, as well as extended on-shell supersymmetry. We have discussed two main applications: the study of amplitudes involving massless and massive Yang-Mills fields and the formulation of the previously-known double-copy construction for $\mathcal{N}=2$ Maxwell-Einstein and Yang-Mills-Einstein theories in a purely 5D language. Furthermore, we have elaborated on massive self-dual tensor fields. These appear naturally in some supergravity theories in five dimensions and, as such, these theories can be regarded as an important stepping stone towards understanding the mysterious 6 D theories of non-abelian tensor fields.

The 5D $\mathcal{N}=2$ Maxwell-Einstein and Yang-Mills-Einstein supergravities, which are uniquely determined by their trilinear couplings, are a prime testing ground for understanding whether all supergravity theories exhibit a double-copy structure [41, 75]. To systematically explore these research directions, efficient methods that make use of the special properties of 5D kinematics are necessary. Earlier discussions appeared in ref. [92] and especially ref. [51], in which a 5D formalism is obtained by dimensionally-reducing the 6 D spinor-helicity formalism of refs. [7, 8]. See also refs. [65, 66] for a discussion of fivedimensional amplitudes in the context of ambitwistor strings and refs. [63, 64] for methods based on scattering equations.

In this paper, we constructed manifestly-supersymmetric three-point superamplitudes whose components reproduce the amplitudes determined by the standard cubic terms of 5D $\mathcal{N}=2$ supergravity couplings around Minkowski vacua. The form of the superamplitudes and the structure of the superfields, with origins in 6 D massless theories, suggest a possible generalization to amplitudes of non-abelian $(2,0)$ self-dual tensor multiplets. However, our analysis, suggesting the absence of covariant expressions corresponding to the candidate spinor-helicity expressions, are consistent with the negative results obtained in ref. [51]. Furthermore, while the candidate four-point formulas for the non-abelian tensor amplitudes are suggestive, they do not pass standard factorization checks, in agreement with the analysis of ref. [63].

The superamplitudes for maximally supersymmetric gauge and gravity theories are similar to the ones obtained through dimensional reduction from 6D. This is a consequence of the fact that theories with $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry in five dimensions always uplift to higher dimensions. However, superamplitudes with only half-maximal supersymmetry do not always follow a similar pattern. The expressions constructed in section 4.1 are distinct from the truncations of the corresponding maximally-supersymmetric superamplitudes, and appear to be native to five dimensions. Indeed, the explicit mass dependence in the denominator implies that they cannot be straightforwardly uplifted to six dimensions. This is consistent with the fact that not all $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories in five dimensions possess a higher-
dimensional uplift, in close analogy with e.g. 4D $\mathcal{N}=2$ theories that do not have a 5 D uplift. Concretely, whether the superamplitude in eq. (5.19) uplifts to six dimensions depends on the particular form of the $C_{I J K}$ tensors. The simplest example of non-upliftable theories discussed in the literature are those in the so-called generic non-Jordan family (see ref. [23]).

In section 3.4 , we analyzed the $5 \mathrm{D} \mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetry algebra with a non-singlet central charge and interpreted the central charge as components of the momentum in 10 dimensions. We can expose the full 10D Lorentz symmetry by combining the two products of massive 5D spinors in eq. (3.33) into a covariant Majorana-Weyl 10D spinor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{I}=\binom{\sigma_{a \dot{\alpha}}^{i} \lambda_{A A \dot{A}}^{\dot{\alpha}}}{\sigma_{\dot{a} \alpha}^{j} \tilde{\lambda}_{A \dot{A}}^{\dot{a}}}, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I=i \oplus j$ is an $\mathrm{SO}(8)$ little-group vector index, $i \oplus j$ is its decomposition over the subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(4) \times \mathrm{SO}(4) \subset \mathrm{SO}(8)$, and $\sigma_{a \dot{\alpha}}^{i}, \sigma_{\dot{a} \alpha}^{j}$ are the respective $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ sigma matrices. Finally, the index $\mathcal{A}=A \otimes \dot{A}$ is a 16 -component Weyl spinor index. We thus obtain a spinor-helicity-like formalism in 10D, with manifest $\mathrm{SO}(1,4) \times \mathrm{SO}(5)$ symmetry, which is different from that of ref. [11]. It would be desirable to explore this formalism further and explicitly construct the low multiplicity superamplitudes of 10D super-Yang-Mills and type IIA/B supergravity.

Finally, one of the reasons for developing a systematic 5D formalism, and perhaps its main application, is to streamline the study of 5D supergravities in the double-copy realization of their amplitudes. In five dimensions, $\mathcal{N}=2$ Maxwell-Einstein and Yang-Mills-Einstein theories are uniquely specified $[23,25]$ by the $C_{I J K}$ tensor which enters the three-vector terms in the Lagrangian. We have shown how to extract these $C_{I J K}$ tensors from the double-copy form of the three-vector amplitudes and recovered it for all the homogeneous supergravities, classified in ref. [30] and first given in a double-copy form in ref. [34]. The formalism developed here can be further applied to the study of gauged supergravities and Yang-Mills-Einstein theories with non-compact gauge groups. We will return on the latter in forthcoming work [91].
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## A Gamma matrices and reality properties for on-shell spinors

The 5D gamma matrices with lowered $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ indices are antisymmetric, $\Omega$-traceless and satisfy the following quadratic relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{A B}^{(\mu} \Omega^{B C} \gamma_{C D}^{\nu)} & =2 \eta^{\mu \nu} \Omega_{A D}, \\
\gamma_{A B}^{\mu} \Omega^{B C} \gamma_{C D}^{\nu} \Omega^{D A} & =4 \eta^{\mu \nu}, \\
\gamma_{A B}^{\mu}\left(\gamma_{\mu}\right)_{C D} & =2\left(\Omega_{A C} \Omega_{B D}-\Omega_{A D} \Omega_{B C}\right)-\Omega_{A B} \Omega_{C D}, \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first identity is the Clifford algebra.
Under complex conjugation the gamma matrices transform into themselves up to a similarity transform through time-like matrix $\gamma^{0, A B}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma_{A B}^{\mu}\right)^{*} \equiv \widetilde{\gamma}^{\mu, A B}=\gamma^{0, A C} \gamma_{C D}^{\mu} \gamma^{0, B D} . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it is the time-like gamma matrix

$$
\gamma^{0, A B}=\gamma_{A B}^{0}=-\left(\gamma_{B A}^{0}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \sigma_{2}  \tag{A.3}\\
i \sigma_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

that effectively lowers and raises $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ indices under complex conjugation.
By inspection we see that the massive spinors obey the following reality property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{A}\right)^{*} \equiv\left|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{a}\right\rangle^{A}=\gamma^{0, A B}\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{b}\right\rangle_{B} E_{a b}, \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{a b}$ is a complex unit-determinant matrix ( $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ matrix) that depends on the momentum, the reference vector and the precise choice of spinors in eq. (2.7).

If we consider the spinor parametrization in eq. (2.7), and pick a reference vector $\tilde{q}_{\mu}=(1,0,0,1,0)$, then the matrix $E_{a b}$ takes the simple form

$$
E_{a b}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -x^{-1}  \tag{A.5}\\
x & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $x=p_{0}+p_{3}-\frac{m^{2}}{p_{0}-p_{3}}$.
Since it has unit determinant, the general $E_{a b}$ matrix satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a c} \epsilon^{c d} E_{b d}=-\epsilon_{a b} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence it acts as a complex conjugation on the little-group metric. Indeed, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\epsilon^{a b}\right)^{*}=-\epsilon_{a b} . \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the $\gamma^{0, A B}$ matrix satisfies the analogous relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{0, A C} \Omega_{C D} \gamma^{0, B D}=-\Omega^{A B}, \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which similarly implies that $\left(\Omega_{A B}\right)^{*}=-\Omega^{A B}$.
We can invert the conjugation in eq. (A.4), then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{A B}^{0}\left|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{p}}_{b}\right\rangle^{B} E^{b a}=-\left|\boldsymbol{p}^{a}\right\rangle_{A} . \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be interpreted as the statement that the complex conjugated spinor is equal to the original spinor, up to a similarity transform and a sign flip. This is consistent with the spinors being symplectic-Majorana spinors.

## B Amplitude decomposition in terms of total $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ weights

We now turn to the massless amplitudes in five dimensions, and ask what is the 5D equivalent of the 4D decomposition in helicity sectors. Since the 4D helicity follows the weight under little group rephrasing and the 4 D little group is a subgroup of the 5 D one, $\mathrm{U}(1) \subset \mathrm{SU}(2)$, it is natural to expect that the desired decomposition is that in irreducible representations of the 5 D little group.

With the particular choice of reference momenta from eq. (2.20), we have the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varepsilon_{i}^{11} \cdot \varepsilon_{j}^{11}\right)=0, \quad\left(\varepsilon_{i}^{11} \cdot \varepsilon_{j}^{12}\right)=0, \quad\left(\varepsilon_{i}^{22} \cdot \varepsilon_{j}^{12}\right)=0, \quad\left(\varepsilon_{i}^{22} \cdot \varepsilon_{j}^{22}\right)=0, \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i, j$. A three-point massless amplitude can be decomposed in terms of the total $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group representation carried by the external states. This is done by tensoring three three-dimensional representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3}=\mathbf{7} \oplus 2 \times \mathbf{5} \oplus 3 \times \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{1} . \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To generate all amplitudes within a given $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ sector, we can start from the lowest-weight state in which there is a maximal number of 1 in the little-group labels for the polarization, and act with raising operators. Eq. (B.1) has as a consequence that we need at least two 1 and two 2 labels to have a nonzero results, hence the $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ sectors are automatically zero for YM theory in 5D, since the corresponding lowest-weight states do not have this property. This therefore constrains the structure of the allowed polynomials in auxiliary variables that are dressing the amplitudes with free $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ indices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{a_{1} b_{1} \ldots a_{3} b_{3}}^{(3)} z_{1}^{a_{1}} z_{1}^{b_{1}} z_{2}^{a_{2}} z_{2}^{b_{2}} z_{3}^{a_{3}} z_{3}^{b_{3}}=A_{a_{1} b_{1} \ldots a_{3} b_{3}}^{(3)}\left\{\left.z_{1}^{a_{1}} z_{1}^{b_{1}} z_{2}^{a_{2}} z_{2}^{b_{2}} z_{3}^{a_{3}} z_{3}^{b_{3}}\right|_{3}+\left.z_{1}^{a_{1}} z_{1}^{b_{1}} z_{2}^{a_{2}} z_{2}^{b_{2}} z_{3}^{a_{3}} z_{3}^{b_{3}}\right|_{1}\right\}, \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined $\left.z_{1}^{a_{1}} z_{1}^{b_{1}} z_{2}^{a_{2}} z_{2}^{b_{2}} z_{3}^{a_{3}} z_{3}^{b_{3}}\right|_{3}$ and $\left.z_{1}^{a_{1}} z_{1}^{b_{1}} z_{2}^{a_{2}} z_{2}^{b_{2}} z_{3}^{a_{3}} z_{3}^{b_{3}}\right|_{\mathbf{1}}$ as the degree-six polynomials in the auxiliary variables that transform in the three-dimensional $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ representation and in the singlet representation.

At four points, the decomposition is carried out in a similar way except that now the starting point is the product of four three-dimensional representations of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3}=\mathbf{9} \oplus 3 \times \mathbf{7} \oplus 6 \times \mathbf{5} \oplus 6 \times \mathbf{3} \oplus 3 \times \mathbf{1} . \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, the amplitudes in the $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{7}$ sectors are equal to zero, mirroring the vanishing of all plus and one-minus amplitudes in four dimensions. As in four dimensions, these sectors become nonzero once a higher-dimensional operator is added to the Lagrangian of the theory.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a different approach for understanding perturbative six-dimensional self-dual tensor theories see refs. [54-62].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here we treat the on-shell spinor as a function of the momentum following the parametrization in eq. (2.7). However, if the spinor is used to define the null momentum, then eq. (2.8) becomes a oneparameter constraint. The presence of this constraint differentiates the 5 D spinor-helicity formalism from its 4 D and 6 D relatives.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Upon dimensional reduction, these expressions reproduce the ones from ref. [76] with the identifications $\varepsilon_{11}^{\mu} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{-}^{\mu}, \varepsilon_{22}^{\mu} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{+}^{\mu}$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note that enacting different gauge transformations for the different polarizations does not change amplitudes and hence does not break the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group symmetry for the asymptotic states.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ In our convention, the number of supercharges is $4 \mathcal{N}$. For massive $1 / 2$-BPS multiplets we use a label $\left(r_{+}, r_{-}\right)$to denote the number of chiral and anti-chiral little-group components of the supercharges, where $\mathcal{N}=2\left(r_{+}+r_{-}\right)[72]$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Naively, the right-hand side of this relation is invariant under two independent $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ transformations, one acting on the indices $a, b, \ldots$ and one acting on the R -symmetry group indices $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$.. However Rsymmetry group is always compact and the indices $a, b, \ldots$ refer to the little-group $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ indices inside $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ Since the R-symmetry group $\operatorname{USp}(4) \cong \mathrm{SO}(5)$ only differs from the Lorentz group $\operatorname{USp}(2,2) \cong \mathrm{SO}(1,4)$ by a signature change, it is convenient recycle the notation by putting dots on all indices. Specifically, we take $\Omega_{\dot{A} \dot{B}}$ to be given by eq. (2.3).
    ${ }^{8}$ Note that a simpler $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-preserving parametrization of $\theta_{a}^{\dot{A}}$ can be obtained after doing a similarity transform on $\Omega^{\dot{A} \dot{B}}$, but here we work with the block-diagonal symplectic metric (2.3).

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ Antisymmetry rules out higher-rank elements of the Clifford algebra in the decomposition of the central charge. Also, for $1 / 2-$ BPS multiplets in super-Yang-Mills theory, the singlet and $\mathrm{SO}(5)$-vector central charge are mutually exclusive [72].
    ${ }^{10}$ The insertion of $i$ 's are chosen such that the completeness relations for the spinors are $\left|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{a}\right\rangle_{\dot{A}}\left\langle\left.\boldsymbol{\mu}^{a}\right|^{\dot{B}}=\right.$ $i \mu_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}}+m \delta_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}}$ and $\left.\mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\dot{a}}\right]_{\dot{A}}\left[\left.\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\dot{a}}\right|^{\dot{B}}=-i \mu_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}}+m \delta_{\dot{A}}^{\dot{B}}\right.$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ Imposing proper normalization for the scalar gives the $\sqrt{2}$ factor, since $\epsilon^{a b} / \sqrt{2}$ has unit norm.

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ The choice here is analogous in spirit with the non-chiral 4D superspace of ref. [86].

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ We label the massive supermultiplets in terms of the $\left(r_{+}, r_{-}\right) \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ little-group components of the supercharge [72].

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ Note that the identity $\left(m_{1}-m_{3}\right)[\underline{\mathbf{1 2}}]\langle\underline{\mathbf{2 3}}\rangle[\underline{\mathbf{3 1}}\rangle \pm$ perms $=0$ can be used to rewrite the amplitude.

[^12]:    ${ }^{15}$ The spinors $\left|Q_{i}^{\alpha}\right\rangle$, with $i=1,2,3$, span a three-dimensional subspace of $\operatorname{USp}(2,2)$ and $\delta^{8}(Q)$ has the interpretation as the square of the corresponding four-volume, hence it vanishes.

[^13]:    ${ }^{16}$ Recall the vector amplitude from ref. [8], $\left(u_{1}^{a} u_{2}^{b} w_{3}^{c}+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3)\right)\left(\tilde{u}_{1}^{\dot{a}} \tilde{u}_{2}^{\dot{b}} \tilde{w}_{3}^{\dot{c}}+\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3)\right)$, may be obtained from eq. (4.45) using the identities $\left\langle\mathbf{1}^{a} \mathbf{2}^{b}\right\rangle=u_{1}^{a} u_{2}^{b}-2 m_{2} w_{1}^{a} u_{2}^{b}+2 m_{1} u_{1}^{a} w_{2}^{b}$ and $\left\langle\mathbf{1}^{a} \mathbf{2}^{b}\right\rangle w_{3}^{c}-u_{1}^{a} u_{2}^{b} w_{3}^{c}+$ $\operatorname{cyclic}(1,2,3)=0$.

[^14]:    ${ }^{17}$ Here the normalization of the double-copy follows the KLT formula with the gravitational coupling set to $\kappa=2$, i.e. $M_{3}=-i A(1,2,3) \tilde{A}(1,2,3)$.
    ${ }^{18}$ There is however the built in assumption that there exists a base point which leads to canonicallynormalized vector fields. It is this point that is chosen by the double copy.

[^15]:    ${ }^{19}$ Note that the field combinations appearing in the double-copy map are canonically normalized, with the exception of $\varphi^{1}$, which has $\operatorname{a} \frac{3}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \varphi^{1}\right)^{2}$ kinetic term in the supergravity Lagrangian expanded at the appropriate base-point.

[^16]:    ${ }^{20}$ Here, the indices $i, j, k$ run over the matter vector multiplets in the theory. This expression requires the $C_{I J K}$ tensors to be given in the canonical basis with $C_{000}=1, C_{0 i j}=-\frac{1}{2} \delta^{i j}, C_{00 i}=0$ and $C_{i j k}$ arbitrary.
    ${ }^{21}$ As in the previous section, we take the two masses to obey $m_{1}=-m_{2}=m$.

[^17]:    ${ }^{22} \mathrm{~A}$ fourth possible term in the supergravity Lagrangian has the form $D_{(\mu} \bar{W}_{\nu)} D^{\mu} W^{\nu}$ and will not be considered in this section. Together with the three we discuss they exhaust the basis of independent three-point gauge invariants.

[^18]:    ${ }^{23}$ For amplitudes between fields transforming in matter non-adjoint representations, instead of three-point color-ordered amplitudes, we use three-point amplitudes in which the color factor $T_{i j}^{a}$ has been stripped.

