Pre-pregnancy participation and performance in world's largest cross-country ski race as a proxy for physical exercise and fitness, and perinatal outcomes: Prospective registry-based cohort study
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Abstract
Objective: Investigate associations between pre-pregnancy participation and performance in a demanding cross-country ski race (proxy for exercise volume and fitness) and perinatal outcomes. Pre-registered protocol: osf.io/aywg2.

Design: Prospective cohort study.

Setting: Based on entire overlap between the Vasaloppet registry and the population-based Swedish Pregnancy Register.

Sample: All female Vasaloppet participants 1991–2017 with subsequent singleton delivery (skiers), and age- and county-matched non-skiers.

Methods: We calculated odds ratios (ORs) for non-skiers versus skiers (model 1) and, among skiers, by performance (model 2), in Bayesian logistic regressions adjusted for socio-demographics, lifestyle factors, and comorbidities. We repeated calculations adjusting for early pregnancy body mass index (potential mediator) and explored robustness (selection/exposure settings; multiple comparisons correction).

Main outcome measures: Twenty-nine important perinatal outcomes, predefined based on existing expert consensus.

Results: Non-skiers (n = 194,384) versus skiers (n = 15,377) (and slower versus faster performance, not shown) consistently had higher odds of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (OR 1.70, 95% highest density interval: 1.40–2.09), excessive gestational weight gain (GWG) (1.28, 1.22–1.38), psychiatric morbidity (1.60, 1.49–1.72), any caesarean section (CS) (1.34, 1.28–1.40), elective CS (1.39, 1.29–1.49), and large-for-gestational-age babies (>90th percentile, 1.11, 1.04–1.18); lower odds of inadequate GWG (0.83, 0.79–0.88); and no associations with fetal/neonatal complications (e.g. preterm birth [1.09, 0.98–1.20], small for gestational age [SGA] [1.23, 1.05–1.45]). Adjustment for body mass index attenuated associations with excessive (1.20, 1.14–1.30) and inadequate GWG (0.87, 0.83–0.92) and large for gestational age (1.07, 1.00–1.13).

Conclusion: Non-skiers compared with skiers, and slower versus faster performance, consistently displayed higher odds of GDM, excessive GWG, psychiatric morbidity, CS and large-for-gestational-age babies; and lower odds of inadequate GWG, after adjustment for socio-demographic and lifestyle factors and comorbidities. There were no associations with fetal/neonatal complications.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Declining general exercise and cardiovascular fitness levels are of global concern given their strong association with health and longevity.1–8 However, the phenomenon’s full importance for public health remains to be elucidated, especially associations between exercise and perinatal outcomes. During pregnancy, moderate-intensity exercise in randomised controlled trials (RCTs) impacts positively on a range of maternal and fetal outcomes.9–12 Still, exercise interventions initiated during pregnancy are necessarily short and their intensity typically does not exceed light jogging.13 There are important perinatal outcomes where interventions have shown no benefit,14 but may not capture the range of exercise habits in the population. The time before pregnancy is a neglected period for potential interventions and policies aiming to improve perinatal and next-generation health.15,16 Benefits are plausible, given that exercise habits before pregnancy strongly predict those during pregnancy,17,18 and for physiological effects after longer exposure to exercise.19

Current literature on pre-pregnancy exercise and perinatal outcomes is sparse, methodologically limited and has mixed results for both benefits and potential harms.12,20,21 Most studies are cross-sectional, use retrospectively recalled self-reported exercise, and do not pre-register analyses, which are sources of bias. Complementary evidence is needed to guide exercise recommendations and policy decisions to target the wide-ranging societal determinants of exercise.4,22

This prospective cohort study is based on the electronic registry of the world’s largest cross-country ski race (Vasaloppet), held annually in Sweden, with recreational and elite participants.23 Cross-country skiing is a demanding endurance sport. Vasaloppet participants engage in many types of exercise and high-performers report the largest exercise volumes.24 The Vasaloppet registry has been leveraged to study other health outcomes, using Swedish national registries to adjust for socio-demographic factors and comorbidity.24–26 Our aim was to investigate whether pre-pregnancy participation and performance in a Vasaloppet cross-country ski race, as proxies for higher exercise volumes and better fitness, are associated with important perinatal outcomes.

2 | METHODS

This cohort study constitutes the full overlap between the Vasaloppet registry and the population-based Pregnancy Register of births in Sweden, and is reported according to RECORD (Reporting of Studies Conducted Using Observational Routine Health Data).33 Data were prospectively collected in registries and the study protocol was prospectively registered before data access (Open Science Framework, www.osf.io/aywg2; amendments to protocol in Table S1). This study had no patient and public involvement, but outcomes were selected based on a prior study with patient involvement (see below).

2.1 | Study population

The annual competitive race Vasaloppet (90km) is preceded by the ‘Winter Week’ that hosts several ski races of 30–90km. We included all women registered for participation in at least one ski race during Vasaloppet Winter Week in 1991–2017 (Vasaloppet, Open Trail, Half Vasa, Short Vasa, Women’s Vasa, or Skate Vasa), who subsequently had a delivery recorded in the Pregnancy Register from inception to 31 December 2017 (skiers). Registration in the ski race was done using unique Swedish personal identification numbers (PINs). Twin and other multiple pregnancies were excluded, as were women without a Swedish PIN (e.g. non-Swedish citizens).

Deliveries were categorised by 5-year age bands, county of residence and calendar year. For each year between 2013 and 2017, a ten times larger group of deliveries (by women never registered for a ski race, non-skiers) was frequency-matched on the combined age-county categories. The Pregnancy Register holders were requested to perform data linkage (using unique Swedish PINs), matching and pseudonymisation. No delivery was drawn as a match twice. Among several deliveries of the same mother, only one was included: for skiers, the first delivery following their first ski race, and for non-skiers a randomly selected delivery. Additional exclusion criteria applied for data (Tables S2 and S3).

2.2 | Variables

The Pregnancy Register was used for outcome and covariable extraction. The inception year 2013 covers the Stockholm and Gotland regions and years 2014–2017 contain 17/21 Swedish regions (90% of national deliveries; 98–100% within included regions).34

We used two main exposure variables: ski race participation (non-skiers versus skiers with the latter as baseline, model 1) and ski race performance (continuous variable of relative finish times, skiers only, model 2). As weather and snow properties cause wide variation in absolute finishing times, performance was standardised as the percentage of the fastest female result for the same day and ski race (fastest time = 100%, e.g. if the fastest skier finished at 5 hours, 7.5 hours would be 150%). If skiers competed several times, we chose the fastest relative finish time ≤5 years before delivery, or most recent race, in that order.35

No core outcome set exists for the study question; however, we investigated ‘critically important’ and ‘important’ outcomes selected by a panel of obstetric, exercise, public health and methodological experts in collaboration with patients,13 which were also available in the Pregnancy Register (diagnostic codes in Table S2). We added perinatal venous thromboembolism (VTE) and psychiatric morbidity, two major causes of maternal mortality and morbidity.36,37 Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) screening was selective, risk-based, in most maternal health care centres during the study period, using the oral glucose tolerance test or fasting glucose.38 Recommended gestational weight gain (GWG) per
BMI category were coded as 12.5–18 kg (<18.5 kg/m²), 11.5–16 kg (18.5–24.9 kg/m²), 7–11.5 kg (15–25 kg/m²), 5–9 kg (>30 kg/m²), assuming 0.5–2 kg weight gain in the first trimester. Pre-eclampsia was defined as high blood pressure (>140/90 mmHg) with onset after 20 weeks of gestation together with proteinuria. Any pregnancy-induced hypertension included women with blood pressure >140/90 mmHg regardless of proteinuria. Participants with certain conditions were excluded for some outcomes (Table S2, e.g. excluding pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus for GDM analyses). Covariate selection was based on literature review and availability in the Pregnancy Register (Table S3).

2.3 | Statistical analyses

Bayesian logistic regressions as implemented in R package rstanarm were used to calculate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% highest density intervals (HDIs), a range that contains the 95% most probable OR values. Statistical inference criteria were based on how the 95% HDI placed itself relative to a prespecified ‘region of practical equivalence’ (Methods in Appendix S1). Our conclusions for each outcome considered statistical inference in both models 1 and 2 (i.e. only outcomes that met statistical inference criteria across both models were assumed to show an association). For choice of priors, see Methods in Appendix S1. Missing data originated predominantly from the Pregnancy Register’s lower coverage during 2013 (approximately 20% missing outcome information except labour duration, 48%) and were imputed using multiple imputations (chained random forests, five datasets). For labour duration, the only continuous outcome, we used Bayesian linear regression with log-transformed outcome after assessment of normality of residuals and homoscedasticity. Exponentiated regression coefficients are presented and can be interpreted as relative duration.

Ski race performance (model 2) has a continuous exposure variable. For interpretation, we present ORs per standard deviation increase in relative finish time (instead of per percentage unit). ORs are not directly comparable between models 1 and 2 (ORs for a binary exposure correspond approximately to a 2 standard deviation change in a continuous exposure). For all model 2 analyses, we tested the fit of nonlinear (spline) effects (Methods in Appendix S1), and for all outcomes, the linear model was either superior or non-inferior to the spline model.

We prespecified covariables for adjustment: parity, age, maternal country of birth, educational level, cohabitation status, smoking, alcohol consumption, delivery location, year and pre-pregnancy comorbidities (Table S3). Early pregnancy body mass index (BMI), a potential mediator, was introduced in an exploratory second step of adjustments. We also explored whether potential associations with ski race participation (model 1) were different in primiparous or multiparous women.

Prespecified sensitivity analyses were (1) only skiers ≤5 years before delivery; (2) only skiers in the longest (90-km) races; (3) only race finishers; (4) complete case analysis; and (5) other Bayesian model specification: less informative prior for coefficients, ‘normal (location = 0, scale = 5)’. Non-prespecified analyses were further added: (6) only women born in Scandinavia; (7) only primiparous women; (8) frequentist models (logistic regressions, except linear regression for labour duration) with Bonferroni correction for the number of main analyses (n = 58: 29 outcomes, two exposure variables); (9) selecting first available delivery among non-skiers with several deliveries per woman; and (10) only skiers ≤2 years before delivery. Finally, we performed exploratory analyses among skiers racing during pregnancy according to dates of ski race and delivery.

3 | RESULTS

The final cohort comprised 194,384 non-skiers and 15,377 skiers (14,937 with a finish time; flowchart in Figure S1). On average, 5 years elapsed between ski race and subsequent delivery (median 4 years, interquartile range 2–7 years). Compared with skiers, non-skiers were less often primiparous, born in Scandinavia, university-educated, and living with a partner; they more often smoked; and fewer had normal-range BMI (<18.5 kg/m² and 168 cm for skiers, and 24.9 kg/m² and 166 cm for non-skiers, respectively. Overall event rates are featured in Table S4.

3.1 | Ski race participation (model 1)

In the models comparing non-skiers with skiers (Figure 1, Tables 2 and S5), non-skiers had higher odds of pregnancy complications (GDM, excessive GWG, pelvic girdle pain and psychiatric morbidity) and delivery interventions or complications (any caesarean section [CS], emergency CS, elective CS, induction of labour, epidural pain relief and severe perineal lacerations). Babies of non-skiers had higher odds of small-for-gestational-age (SGA) <3rd percentile, SGA <10th percentile, large-for-gestational-age (LGA) >90th percentile, 5-minute Apgar score <7, and the composite outcome severe neonatal complications. Non-skiers had lower odds of inadequate GWG and perinatal VTE. Models were unstable for perinatal VTE, as the outcome is very rare (0.3%), shown by a large discrepancy between Bayesian and frequentist models that harmonised closely for other outcomes (Table S5). Our statistical approach allowed us to gather evidence for a null hypothesis if the 95% HDI was within 0.975–1.025, ruling out a meaningful effect size (Methods in Appendix S1). For labour duration, these conditions were met, meaning that we found evidence against an association with ski race participation. There was evidence of effect modification by parity on the associations between ski race participation and excessive and inadequate GWG (Table S6).

Observed OR point estimates were 1.1–1.7 for increased and 0.8 for decreased risk associations. Results were similar when adjusting for the potential mediator early pregnancy BMI (for excessive GWG and inadequate GWG, and LGA, associations were attenuated; Table S5).
### Table 1  Descriptive characteristics per ski race participation and performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Non-skiers (n = 194 384)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Skiers (n = 15 377)</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Performance: relative finish time (% of fastest)a, mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primiparous; Not primiparous</td>
<td>68 538 (36.3); 120 166 (63.7)</td>
<td>5680</td>
<td>8619 (57.6); 6347 (42.4)</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>211 (54); 209 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at delivery, mean (SD)</td>
<td>32.8 (4.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33.1 (4.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal country of birth outside Scandinavia; Within Scandinavia</td>
<td>44 781 (25.4); 131 855 (74.6)</td>
<td>17 748</td>
<td>240 (1.7); 140 24 (98.3)</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>218 (51); 210 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level ≤ 12 years; &gt;12 years</td>
<td>67 601 (42.3); 92 344 (57.7)</td>
<td>34 439</td>
<td>1774 (13.1); 11 764 (86.9)</td>
<td>1839</td>
<td>221 (54); 209 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not cohabiting with partner; Cohabiting</td>
<td>3159 (2.1); 14 442 (97.9)</td>
<td>46 801</td>
<td>108 (1.0); 11 154 (99.0)</td>
<td>4115</td>
<td>218 (50); 211 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking before pregnancy and/or at first antenatal visit; Not smoking</td>
<td>18014 (12.5); 126 541 (87.5)</td>
<td>49 829</td>
<td>220 (2.1); 10 465 (97.9)</td>
<td>4692</td>
<td>236 (58); 211 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At-risk alcohol consumption before pregnancy;b; Not at-risk alcohol consumption</td>
<td>6149 (4.1); 142 648 (95.9)</td>
<td>45 587</td>
<td>519 (4.5); 11 004 (95.6)</td>
<td>3854</td>
<td>216 (55); 211 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of delivery: Central; North; South</td>
<td>101 855 (52.4); 22 602 (11.6); 69 777 (35.9)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>8391 (54.6); 1831 (11.9); 5145 (33.5)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>209 (52); 199 (50); 217 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calendar year of delivery, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2015.0 (1.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2014.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy comorbiditiesc; no comorbidities</td>
<td>1687 (1.1); 146 720 (98.9)</td>
<td>45 977</td>
<td>137 (1.2); 11 216 (98.8)</td>
<td>4024</td>
<td>207 (47); 212 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early pregnancy body mass index:</td>
<td>3748 (2.2); 98 434 (58.7); 65 431 (39.0)</td>
<td>26 771</td>
<td>162 (1.2); 10 138 (73.1); 3578 (25.8)</td>
<td>1499</td>
<td>213 (53); 204 (49); 228 (56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: n (%) if not otherwise stated.

a Standardised (because of varying weather conditions) as percentage of fastest female result in the same ski race, with fastest result = 100 and slower results > 100; skiers only.

b ≥9 standard units per week or ≥4 on one occasion.

c Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, systemic lupus erythematosus, congenital heart disease, congenital paralytic syndrome, autism, congenital limb reduction defect, chromosomal abnormality, or immunosuppressive or cytotoxic therapy.
3.2 | Ski race performance (model 2)

Models that examined associations with performance, as measured by a slower relative finish time, largely followed the same pattern (Figure 2, Tables 2 and S7). Lower performance was associated with higher odds of pregnancy complications (GDM, pre-eclampsia/eclampsia, any pregnancy-induced hypertension, excessive GWG and

FIGURE 1  Risk of predefined important perinatal outcomes for model 1, non-skiers compared with skiers (exposure baseline); odds ratios and 95% highest density intervals, from Bayesian logistic regressions unless otherwise specified. Bayesian 95% highest density interval is a range with the 95% most probable values of the odds ratio. For labour duration, figure shows proportion increase/decrease (calculated from Bayesian linear regression with a log-transformed outcome). All models are adjusted for parity, age, maternal country of birth, educational level, cohabitation status, smoking, alcohol consumption, location of delivery, calendar year of delivery and pre-pregnancy comorbidities. Exclusions of participants with certain conditions were made for some outcomes: gestational diabetes mellitus (excluding those with pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus), pre-eclampsia or eclampsia; any pregnancy-induced hypertension (excluding those with pre-pregnancy hypertension), excessive gestational weight gain (GWG); inadequate GWG, 5-minute Apgar score < 7; severe neonatal complications (excluding those with any CS). Reference for all the outcomes is the inverse (absence of the outcome). LGA, large-for-gestational-age; SGA, small-for-gestational-age; VTE, venous thromboembolism (VTE).
psychiatric morbidity), delivery interventions (any CS, elective CS and instrumental vaginal delivery), and LGA (>90th and >97th percentiles). Slower skiers had lower odds of inadequate GWG and perinatal VTE. Like model 1, model 2 results were unstable for perinatal VTE and there was evidence against an association with labour duration. All associations were modelled as linear, as we found no evidence of non-linearity.

Observed OR point estimates were 1.1–1.4 for increased and 0.5–0.8 for decreased risk associations. Like model 1, results were similar when adjusting for early pregnancy BMI (attenuated for excessive GWG and inadequate GWG, and LGA; Table S7).

### 3.3 Sensitivity analyses and exploratory analyses

Frequentist regressions corresponded closely to Bayesian main analyses except regarding perinatal VTE, a rare outcome. With Bonferroni correction for multiple tests, associations passed the threshold except for (model 1) perinatal VTE, severe perineal lacerations, SGA <3, SGA <10, LGA >90, 5-minute Apgar score <7, and severe neonatal complications; and for (model 2) pre-eclampsia/eclampsia, any pregnancy-induced hypertension, perinatal VTE and psychiatric morbidity. Other sensitivity analyses resulted in effect sizes similar to or larger than in the main analysis.
FIGURE 2  Risk of predefined important perinatal outcomes by ski race performance (model 2): odds ratios and 95% highest density intervals per one standard deviation slower relative to finish time, from Bayesian logistic regressions unless otherwise specified. Analysis among skiers of 30–90 km races between 1991 and 2017. Standardised (because of varying weather conditions) as percentage of fastest female result in the same ski race, with fastest result = 100 and slower results >100; shown per 1 standard deviation increase. Bayesian 95% highest density interval is a range with the 95% most probable values of the odds ratio. For labour duration, figure shows proportion increase/decrease (calculated from Bayesian linear regression with a log-transformed outcome). All models are adjusted for parity, age, maternal country of birth, educational level, cohabitation status, smoking, alcohol consumption, location of delivery, calendar year of delivery and pre-pregnancy comorbidities. Exclusions of participants with certain conditions were made for some outcomes: gestational diabetes mellitus (excluding those with pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus), pre-eclampsia or eclampsia; any pregnancy-induced hypertension (excluding those with pre-pregnancy hypertension), excessive gestational weight gain; inadequate gestational weight gain, 5-minute Apgar score < 7; severe neonatal complications (excluding those with current preterm deliveries), induction of labour (excluding those with elective caesarean section [CS]), instrumental vaginal delivery; labour duration; severe perineal lacerations; shoulder dystocia or brachial plexus injury (excluding those with any CS). Reference for all the outcomes is the inverse (absence of the outcome). LGA, large-for-gestational-age; SGA, small-for-gestational-age; VTE, venous thromboembolism (VTE).
with few exceptions (Tables S5 and S7). Very few competed during pregnancy (n = 454) to inform exploratory analyses; there were no associations with increased risks for any outcomes investigated, including a composite of any adverse fetal/neonatal outcomes (Table S8).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Main findings

Non-skiers compared with skiers, and slower skiers compared with faster skiers, consistently had higher odds of GDM, excessive GWG, psychiatric morbidity, any CS, elective CS, and LGA >90th percentile; and lower odds of inadequate GWG, after adjustment for socio-demographic and lifestyle factors and comorbidities, in Bayesian main models. Among these, psychiatric morbidity and LGA >90 did not meet statistical inference criteria after Bonferroni correction. There were no associations with fetal/neonatal complications.

4.2 | Strengths and limitations

This large study combined a sports event registry with a comprehensive population-based birth registry to identify non-skier controls and extract detailed outcome and covariate information (with exact linkage methods and virtually no loss to follow-up). Prospectively registered data, with an objective measure of exercise (finish time), were used; in contrast, previous literature has relied on self-reports. We apply a kind of evidence triangulation with two analyses with different strengths/biases: skiers versus non-skiers (possibly lower type II error rate by large numbers, but with ski race self-selection), and ski race performance (expectedly less ‘confounding by indication’, run among skiers only). We prespecified our analysis plan in a detailed protocol and report full results on predefined outcomes, with sensitivity analyses adjusting for multiple comparisons. Outcomes constituted the full overlap between published expert consensus and Pregnancy Registry variables.

Important limitations exist. First, we use detailed registry data to adjust for important potential confounders, but the presence of remaining and unmeasured confounding cannot be excluded. Adoption of cross-country skiing is likely dependent on sociocultural and financial factors, and exercise habits may align with, for example, diet. Secondly, exercise lacks a standard measurement. Ours are unconventional and we cannot differentiate between implied high volumes of exercise preceding the ski race and immutable factors contributing to better performance (e.g. genetic predisposition for fitness). As non-skiers frequently may perform other types of exercise, and fit persons with little skiing experience can have slow finish times, associations could be underestimated or missed. Thirdly, some diagnoses can be under-reported, e.g. psychiatric disorders, which is why we combined several measures (Table S2) that include also pre-pregnancy psychiatric morbidity. Because registry information was gathered during visits to maternal healthcare, we believe that currently symptomatic disorders are better represented than lifetime disorders. Pelvic girdle pain, measured according to a doctor’s diagnosis, should be interpreted as a selected group of severe cases. Postpartum conditions (such as postpartum VTE) may not be fully captured, as the Pregnancy Register is composed of data from prenatal healthcare. However, we expect no discrepancy in sensitivity contingent on the exposure. Finally, multiplicity correction (Bonferroni) and stringent inference criteria may have led to type II errors.

4.3 | Interpretation (in light of other evidence)

Few observational studies have examined whether exercise volumes or fitness before a pregnancy has a bearing on perinatal outcomes, and these have provided mixed evidence, e.g. for GDM (lower risk; no association). RCTs exist only for the related but different question of whether exercise interventions during pregnancy decrease the risk of adverse perinatal outcomes. RCTs have not demonstrated effects on CS rates or LGA, but evidence, e.g. for GDM (lower risk; no association). Our findings on GDM, excessive GWG, inadequate GWG and psychiatric morbidity have scarce or no precedence in pre-pregnancy exercise studies, and contrast two studies with self-reported exercise; and align with moderate to strong RCT evidence on pregnancy exercise. Swedish GDM rates are generally low (1–3%), mainly because of differences in screening methods and diagnostic criteria. Still, this study found even lower risk in skiers. We found no studies on pre-pregnancy exercise and perinatal psychiatric morbidity. Exercise during pregnancy reduces the risk of antepartum depression, while effects on anxiety disorders and postpartum depression are less clear.

We also report small but consistent associations between pre-pregnancy exercise and lower risk of CS (consistent for elective CS; partly for emergency CS) and LGA >90th percentile. Pre-pregnancy data exist only from a very small cohort (97 US Marines with high fitness levels overall) with null findings on mode of delivery and birthweight. Pregnancy exercise RCTs have not demonstrated effects on CS rates or LGA, but have shown effects on macrosomia (birthweight >4000 g).

Perinatal VTE, an extremely rare but severe outcome, was more common among all skiers and faster skiers, but the models were not robust. We found no previous work on exercise and perinatal VTE. General population studies show associations between exercise and lower VTE risk, but there are reports of higher risk after vigorous exercise. Short-term physiological effects such as dehydration and vessel wall injury could theoretically increase the VTE risk. Although these results need further investigation, recommendations of pregnancy exercise should include compensating water loss.

Two outcomes reflected prolonged delivery (labour duration and dystocia). For the former we found evidence...
against an association and, for the latter, insufficient evidence, aligned with null findings from pregnancy exercise RCTs. The fetal outcomes besides LGA (e.g. preterm birth, SGA, malformations, mortality) showed no associations, in agreement with pregnancy exercise studies. See Discussion in Appendix S1 regarding other outcomes.

The literature implies broad beneficial metabolic and cardiovascular effects of exercise beyond maintenance of normal-range BMI. Our perinatal results were in accordance and were only partly attenuated by early pregnancy BMI. Associations are unlikely to be explained by a single endurance race, but rather by being physically fit. In previous surveys, 21% of Vasaloppet skiers versus 4% in the general population reported engaging in regular strenuous exercise and 58% versus 25% in strenuous exercise. Whereas 56% of female Vasaloppet skiers exercised ≥4 hours/week, only 18% in the general population reported ≥1.5 hours/week. Pre-pregnancy and pregnancy exercise habits are closely related, but whether the latter mediate any associations cannot be derived from this material.

Regarding the knowledge gap for elite athlete exercise before and during pregnancy and perinatal outcomes, our mixed cohort (with few elite-level performers) provides some indirect evidence. We found no evidence for any non-linear associations (i.e. no evidence for ‘U-shaped’ associations with higher risks at the highest exercise levels). In exploratory analyses restricting the sample to skiers during pregnancy, findings were in accordance with main models, although precision is very limited because of the sparse numbers. A previous systematic review found no associations between preconception elite-level training and, for example, caesarean sections and birthweight, but the number of studies was small (for caesarean section, n = 3 studies, 324 persons).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Ski race participation and performance before pregnancy, as proxies for higher volumes of exercise and better fitness, were associated with benefits for important perinatal metabolic and mental health outcomes and vaginal delivery, without adverse fetal/neonatal outcomes. Pregnancy is perhaps a window of opportunity for interventions, but a challenging time for ambitious lifestyle changes. This paper focuses on habits before pregnancy, being relevant for obstetricians meeting patients between pregnancies, any clinicians meeting women of fertile age, and policy makers in public health. Our results add reasons to further promote existing physical activity recommendations in the general population, adding new knowledge about perinatal disease burden that could potentially be alleviated with increased population-level exercise. Besides clinical interventions focused on risk groups, community-wide actions could target access to and affordability of exercise and everyday physical activity.

Questions that arise are whether, and at which intensity individual exercise interventions or community-level policies could diminish the risk of future pregnancy complications. Any previous large exercise RCTs with women participants could potentially be followed up using national birth registries or other comprehensive sources of routinely collected data. Also, prospective trials cluster-randomising communities to exercise-facilitating actions could be encouraged, as could quasi-experimental designs to evaluate community-wide policies regarding population-level perinatal statistics.
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