A set of ~9 nm CoFe₂O₄ nanoparticles substituted with Zn²⁺ and Ni²⁺ was prepared by thermal decomposition of metallic acetylacetonate precursors to correlate the effects of replacement of Co²⁺ with the resulting magnetic properties. Due to the distinct selectivity of these cations for the spinel ferrite crystal sites, we show that it is possible to tailor the magnetic anisotropy, saturation magnetization, and interparticle interactions of the nanoparticles during the synthesis stage. This approach unlocks new possibilities for enhancing the performance of spinel ferrite nanoparticles in specific applications. Particularly, our study shows that the replacement of Co²⁺ by 48% of Zn²⁺ ions led to an increase in saturation magnetization of approximately 40% from ~103 A m⁻² kg⁻¹ to ~143 A m⁻² kg⁻¹, whereas the addition of Ni²⁺ at a similar percentage led to an ~30% decrease in saturation magnetization to 68–72 A m⁻² kg⁻¹. The results of calculations based on the two-sublattice Néel model of magnetization match the experimental findings, demonstrating the model’s effectiveness in the strategic design of spinel ferrite nanoparticles with targeted magnetic properties through doping/inversion degree engineering.

MRI contrast agents,¹⁻³ molecular detection and separation, drug delivery systems and hyperthermic therapy,⁴,⁵ and design of rare-earth-free permanent magnets.⁶⁻¹¹

The spinel ferrite structure consists of a cubic close-packed (ccp) arrangement of oxygen atoms with tetrahedral (Td) and octahedral (Oh) sites.¹²⁻¹⁴ The cationic distribution is often reported as (M₁₋ΔM_2Fe₅³⁺)₉₀[Fe₂⁺Fe₂₋ΔO₄]₃ where Δ is the inversion degree, with a value between 0 (in normal spinel and 1 (in inverse spinel). The magnetization of spinel ferrites can be described by Néel’s model for antiferro- and ferrimagnetic materials,¹²⁻¹⁵ where the total magnetic moment is the vectorial sum of the magnetic sublattice’s magnetizations, corresponding to Oh and Td sites in spinel ferrites: 

\[ M_{\text{tot}} = \sum M_{\text{Oh}} - \sum M_{\text{Td}}. \]

Since the metallic cations have different magnetic properties and site selectivity within the lattice, such spinel structures offer the possibility to easily modify the saturation magnetization (M_s), magneto-crystalline anisotropy, and interparticle magnetic interactions. Specifically, cobalt ferrite is a relatively highly anisotropic material with Δ values in the range of 0.66 to 0.8,¹¹⁻¹⁹ its large magneto-crystalline anisotropy (K₁ = 290 kJ m⁻³ at room temperature)²⁰ is due to the strong single-ion anisotropy of Co²⁺ in Oh-sites, arising from its non-quenched spin–orbit coupling.²¹ Ni²⁺ and Zn²⁺ exhibit lower
anisotropy in comparison to Co$^{2+}$, yet—owing to their different single-ion properties and site selectivity—they yield opposite effects on saturation magnetization, providing interesting perspectives. Ni$^{2+}$ tends to occupy the O$_6$ sites, while Zn$^{2+}$ prefers T$_d$ sites$^{11,22,23}$ The selectivity for different sites leads to a change in the inversion degree of the spinel structure.

When Ni$^{2+}$ substitutes Co$^{2+}$, the inversion degree increases (i.e., the stoichiometric NiFe$_2$O$_4$ is the totally inverted spinel with $\delta = 1$) while Zn$^{2+}$ substitution leads to a lower value of $\delta$. This ability to control the inversion degree by chemical engineering provides an additional degree of freedom in designing the magnetic properties of spinel ferrite NPs.

At the nanoscale, the magnetic structure of spinel ferrites is due to the complex interplay between cationic distribution and surface magnetic disorder (i.e., spin canting$^{25}$) as well as it is related to the particle size and synthesis method. For example, Mameli et al.$^{26}$ studied the magnetic properties of $\sim 7$ nm Zn-substituted CoFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs and reported a reduction of inversion degree to $\sim 0.4$ when the Zn$^{2+}$ content was $\sim 0.5$. This led to a significant enhancement in saturation magnetisation up to 157 ± 3 A m$^2$ kg$^{-1}$, which is higher than the value measured for pure CoFe$_2$O$_4$. Andersen et al.$^{27}$ found metastable cation distributions in hydrothermally prepared Zn-substituted CoFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs leading to increased $M_s$ in Zn-rich samples, which decreased after annealing to the thermodynamic distribution.

On the other hand, nanocrystals of NiFe$_2$O$_4$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$ may exhibit weak interdependence between particle size and inversion degree. For example, in their study, Carta et al.$^{16}$ demonstrated that 6–9 nm NPs (including cobalt and nickel ferrites) prepared by the sol–gel method show the same inversion degree values as bulk analogues (0.68 and 1.0, respectively). On the other hand, Muscas et al.$^{28}$ reported values of $\sim 0.74$, $\sim 0.78$, and $\sim 0.44$ for CoFe$_2$O$_4$, Ni$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$Fe$_2$O$_4$, and NiFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs of $\sim 4.5$ nm, respectively, significantly different from bulk.

In this framework, comparative studies of nanostructure spinel ferrites, which go beyond the effect of synthesis methods and morpho structural features, appear to be very important. To the best of our knowledge, no prior studies have directly compared Zn- and Ni-substituted CoFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs synthesized by the same method and with comparable morpho-structural features.

This study focuses on the comparative study of magnetic properties of $M_xCo_{1-x}Fe_2O_4$ ($M^{2+} = Ni^{2+}, Zn^{2+}$) NPs with increasing Ni$^{2+}$/Zn$^{2+}$ content. The thermal decomposition of metallic acetylacetonates in high-temperature boiling solvent (TD) synthesis$^{29}$ has been used to have a fine control on morpho-structural features of NPs and the high value of $M_s$ (see Fig. S1, ES1†). Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, a few studies of the low-temperature magnetic properties of $M_xCo_{1-x}Fe_2O_4$ are available in the literature$^{28,30,31}$ (see Fig. S1a, ES1†).28–34

We prepared two sets of samples (hereafter referred to as “Ni-series” and “Zn-series”) which have an increasing $M_s^*$ substitution with respect to the cobalt-ferrite reference sample. The NPs have comparable size and shape distributions, thus avoiding any possible size effects on the magnetic properties owing to changes in the inversion degree.$^{19}$ Therefore, this system can be considered as a model that allows us to establish direct correlations between the magnetic structure (i.e., cationic distribution) and magnetic properties. Herein, we present a thorough investigation, conducted at both low temperature and room temperature, on the magnetic anisotropy, saturation magnetization, and interparticle interactions. Inversion/doping content maps of the low temperature $M_s$ were plotted by considering a spin-only two-sublattice Néel model of magnetization. The usefulness of the maps is demonstrated by predicting $M_p$ for 2 samples for the Ni- and Zn-series with known composition and cationic distribution from in-field Mössbauer spectrometry. The potential interest of this study is related to the possibility of controlling the magnetic structure at the nanoscale, opening interesting perspectives to improve materials’ performance for targeted technological applications.

### Experimental methods

#### Synthesis

For the synthesis of $\sim 9$ nm CoFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs, Fe(acac)$_3$ (2 mmol), Co(acac)$_2$ (1 mmol), oleic acid (OLAC, 6 mmol), oleylamine (OLAM, 6 mmol), 1,2-hexadecanediol (HDD, 10 mmol) and dibenzylether (DBE, 20 mL) were employed according to the method described by Sun et al.$^{29}$ All chemicals were stirred under an inert nitrogen atmosphere at 200 °C for 2 h, and then at 300 °C for 1 h (heating rates 5 °C min$^{-1}$); at the end of the thermal program, the product was cooled at room temperature by removing the heating mantle. Eventually, the sample was washed in ethanol using a centrifuge (10 min, 4000 rpm) three times, and stored in a few mL of toluene. To synthesize $M_xCo_{1-x}Fe_2O_4$ ($M^{2+} = Ni^{2+}, Zn^{2+}$), Co(acac)$_2$ was partially substituted with Ni(acac)$_2$ or Zn(acac)$_2$, so that the sum of all Co$^{2+}$ and M$^{2+}$ acetylacetonates is kept to 1 mmol. The resulting stoichiometries in the final product were analysed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES), and each sample was named after its $M^{2+}$ stoichiometric coefficient, $x$ (e.g., Zn19 or Ni63). The samples were all compared with a reference no-substituted sample, CoRef. See the used acronyms of samples in Table 1.

#### Characterization techniques

X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) was performed on NP powders using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer (solid state LynxEye detector, Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation, Bragg Brentano geometry, DIFFRACT+). XRPD Sample acronyms, stoichiometry defined via ICP analysis, average XRPD ($d(nm)$) and TEM ($d(nm)$) diameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Stoichiometry</th>
<th>$d_{XRPD}$ nm</th>
<th>$d_{TEM}$ nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoRef</td>
<td>Co$<em>{0.85}$Fe$</em>{2.15}$O$_4$</td>
<td>8.3(4)</td>
<td>9.0(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni22</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.22}$Co$</em>{0.78}$Fe$_{2.07}$O$_4$</td>
<td>8.0(4)</td>
<td>8.1(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni39</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.39}$Co$</em>{0.45}$Fe$_{2.10}$O$_4$</td>
<td>8.3(5)</td>
<td>8.5(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni63</td>
<td>Ni$<em>{0.63}$Co$</em>{0.37}$Fe$_{2.14}$O$_4$</td>
<td>8.3(5)</td>
<td>10.0(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn19</td>
<td>Zn$<em>{0.19}$Co$</em>{0.81}$Fe$_{2.01}$O$_4$</td>
<td>9.3(4)</td>
<td>8.4(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn32</td>
<td>Zn$<em>{0.32}$Co$</em>{0.47}$Fe$_{2.12}$O$_4$</td>
<td>9.0(1)</td>
<td>8.7(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn48</td>
<td>Zn$<em>{0.48}$Co$</em>{0.42}$Fe$_{2.26}$O$_4$</td>
<td>8.6(5)</td>
<td>9.3(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and discussions

The XRPD patterns show the typical reflections of the spinel \( \text{Fd}3m \) cubic phase for all the samples, without any secondary phase (Fig. 1 and Fig. S2, ESI†). The crystal size obtained by the Scherrer equation and estimated from the analysis of the TEM pictures were found in agreement (Table 1), suggesting the high crystallinity of the samples. TEM images confirm the spherical morphology and the low polydispersity of the particles (ESI† Section S3; Table 1). It should be emphasized that the size is constant within experimental errors of different samples, allowing the investigation of magnetic properties beyond the effect of NPs’ size.40

TGA was performed to determine the organic content (ESI† Section S4) and the magnetization measurements were normalised considering only the inorganic content. The ICP analysis revealed an excess of iron with respect to the stoichiometric \( \text{Co}_0: \text{Fe}_{1+2} \) ratio (Table 1 and ESI† Section S5) expected for cobalt ferrite. This phenomenon was already observed for \( \text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4 \) particles by Gyergyek et al.34 made with the same approach, putting forward the lack of \( \text{Co}^{3+} \) as a consequence of the higher stability of \( \text{Co}^{3+} \)-oleate, compared to \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \)-oleate, and attributed the iron excess to the presence of \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \). This agrees with other studies, that do not exclude the formation of \( \text{Fe}^{2+/3+} \) excess formed oxide impurities, as we did not identify any, neither structurally nor magnetically, as also observed by Mössbauer spectrometry. Note that, based on the mean value of isomer shift, the recorded spectra on all studied samples do not provide evidence for the presence of \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) ions (Table S4 and Fig. S10, ESI†).

The temperature dependence of magnetization recorded by ZFC/FC protocols (Fig. S6, ESI†) shows a clear dependence: the average blocking temperature and temperature of \( M_{\text{ZFC}} \) maximum (i.e., \( T_B \) and \( T_{\text{max}} \)) decrease with an increase in \( \text{Ni}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) contents, suggesting a dominating effect of the magnetic anisotropy, even if an effect due to interparticle interactions can be present (ESI† Section S6 and Table 2).11

Magnetic anisotropy

The decrease in magnetic anisotropy is also confirmed by the shift in the maximum irreversible susceptibility obtained by the differentiated DCD curves at 5 K (\( \chi_{\text{irr}} = dM_{\text{DCD}}/dH \)) (Fig. 2), which is directly correlated with the distribution of coercive fields (i.e., switching fields distribution, SFD). All the SFDs have a single peak shape, indicating a homogeneous magnetization

\[
\mu_0 H = 0 \quad \text{T} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\text{DCD}} = 0
\]

DC magnetization measurements were performed using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS XL). The field dependence of magnetization loops was measured at 5 and 300 K in the range of \( \pm 5 \) T. The saturation magnetization \( M_s \) of each sample was obtained by fitting the high field values with the Law of Approach to Saturation (LAS) \( M(H) = M_s(1 - aH - b/H^2) \) where \( a \) and \( b \) are free-fitting parameters, and \( H \) is the applied field.36 The temperature dependence of magnetization has been investigated using Zero Field Cooled (ZFC) and Field Cooled (FC) protocols under 2.5 mT fields from 5 to 300 K, and \( T_{\text{max}} \) and \( T_B \) were estimated by following the procedure reported in ref. 37. Remanent magnetization was measured by means of Isothermal Remanent Magnetization (IRM) and Direct Current Demagnetization (DCD) protocols.38,39 IRM curves are obtained starting from a demagnetized sample at \( \mu_0 H = 0 \) T and measuring the remanence corresponding to increasing fields \( \mu_0 H > 0 \); DCD curves are obtained in the same manner, but the measurement starts from \( \mu_0 H = 0 \) with a sample previously being saturated at \( \mu_0 H = -5 \) T.57 Fe Mössbauer spectra were obtained at 12 K in an externally applied field of 8 T oriented parallel to the \( \gamma \)-beam using a \( ^{57}\text{Co/Rh} \) \( \gamma \)-ray source mounted on an electromagnetic transducer with a triangular velocity form. The hyperfine structure was modelled by a least-squares fitting procedure involving Zeeman sextets composed of Lorentzian lines using MOSSFIT (made-home software). The isomer shift values \( \delta \) are referred to those of \( \alpha \)-Fe at 300 K.

- TGA and magnetization measurements revealed an excess of iron with respect to the stoichiometric \( \text{Co}_0: \text{Fe}_{1+2} \) ratio.
- The temperature dependence of magnetization was investigated using ZFC/FC protocols.
- The isomer shift values of the Mössbauer spectra were determined using MOSSFIT software.

\[
\chi_{\text{irr}} = dM_{\text{DCD}}/dH
\]

\[
M(H) = M_s(1 - aH - b/H^2)
\]

\[
\mu_0 H = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\text{DCD}} = 0
\]

\[
M(H) = M_s(1 - aH - b/H^2)
\]
reversal. Both Ni\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) have the same qualitative effect on the SFD, lowering their maximum position (\(m_0 H_{sw}\)) as shown in Table 2. The effect is more marked for Zn\(^{2+}\), since twice more Ni\(^{2+}\) is needed to have \(m_0 H_{sw}\) dropping to 0.6 T; the same applies to the width of the SFDs, showing that the substitution with Zn\(^{2+}\) narrows down the SFD more significantly than that with Ni\(^{2+}\).

Further evidence can be found in hysteresis loops at 5 K (Fig. 4), where the coercivity, \(m_0 H_c\), monotonically decreases as the Zn\(^{2+}/Ni^{2+}\) content increases; the \(m_0 H_c\) values of both series are similar to the corresponding \(m_0 H_{sw}\). (Table 2). An estimation of the NPs’ magnetic anisotropy was obtained by:\(^{43}\)

\[
K_a = \frac{m_0 H_K M_s}{2}
\]

where \(H_K\) is the anisotropy field of the material. Kodama \textit{et al.}\(^^{44}\) pointed out that \(m_0 H_K \sim m_0 H_{irr}\), where \(m_0 H_{irr}\) is calculated as the field where the difference between the magnetizing and the demagnetizing branches of the hysteresis loop curve reaches 1%.\(^{45}\) The \(K_a\) values are reported in Table 2, and their magnitude is similar to those reported in the literature.\(^{28}\)

Spinel ferrites have a cubic magneto-crystalline anisotropy with an expected reduced remanent magnetization (\(M_r/M_s\)) of \(\sim 0.83–0.87\),\(^{46}\) but this feature is usually suppressed in small NPs by the surface and shape contributions, resulting in a uniaxial effective anisotropy; this is confirmed by the low \(M_r/M_s\) value of \(\sim 0.5\), characteristic for uniaxial anisotropy.\(^{40,42}\) In our case, the undoped cobalt ferrite sample CoRef possesses a \(M_r/M_s\) value of approximately 0.6, confirming the presence of competing uniaxial and cubic anisotropy contributions. Interestingly, the substitution with Ni\(^{2+}\) cations, with lower single-ion anisotropy, compared to Co\(^{2+}\) initially leads to an increase in \(M_r/M_s\), while one would expect a reduction due to the decrease in a cubic magneto-crystalline term. This can be attributed to the change in cationic distribution. In fact, the inversion degree for nickel ferrite is expected to be higher than

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(T_{b,K})</th>
<th>(T_{max,K})</th>
<th>(m_0 H_{sw}(5,K),,T)</th>
<th>(M_s(5,K),,A,m^2,kg^{-1})</th>
<th>(M_s(300,K),,A,m^2,kg^{-1})</th>
<th>(M_s/M_s(5,K))</th>
<th>(m_0 H_c(5,K),,T)</th>
<th>(K_a,10^{-5},J,m^{-3})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoRef</td>
<td>199(1)</td>
<td>289(1)</td>
<td>1.25(1)</td>
<td>103(2)</td>
<td>85(2)</td>
<td>0.60(3)</td>
<td>1.06(5)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni22</td>
<td>166(1)</td>
<td>253(1)</td>
<td>1.0(1)</td>
<td>73(1)</td>
<td>58(1)</td>
<td>0.67(1)</td>
<td>0.93(5)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni39</td>
<td>166(1)</td>
<td>228(1)</td>
<td>0.9(1)</td>
<td>68(1)</td>
<td>58(2)</td>
<td>0.68(4)</td>
<td>0.85(4)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni63</td>
<td>107(1)</td>
<td>157(1)</td>
<td>0.6(1)</td>
<td>72(2)</td>
<td>56(2)</td>
<td>0.64(2)</td>
<td>0.51(3)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn19</td>
<td>207(1)</td>
<td>283(1)</td>
<td>0.8(1)</td>
<td>104(3)</td>
<td>80(2)</td>
<td>0.56(3)</td>
<td>0.67(3)</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn32</td>
<td>166(1)</td>
<td>228(1)</td>
<td>0.6(1)</td>
<td>114(4)</td>
<td>80(3)</td>
<td>0.56(4)</td>
<td>0.47(2)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn48</td>
<td>132(1)</td>
<td>201(1)</td>
<td>0.3(1)</td>
<td>143(5)</td>
<td>93(3)</td>
<td>0.49(4)</td>
<td>0.27(1)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Blocking and maximum temperatures from ZFC/FC (\(T_b, T_{max}\)), 5 K switching field (\(m_0 H_{sw}\)), 5 and 300 K magnetic saturation (\(M_s\)), reduced remanence (\(M_s/M_s\)), coercivity (\(m_0 H_c\)), and anisotropy constant (\(K_a\)). Uncertainties on the last digit indicated in parentheses.
that for cobalt ferrite,\textsuperscript{13} that can result in an increase in cobalt occupying octahedral sites where it significantly contributes to anisotropy.\textsuperscript{47} Alternatively, Ni\textsuperscript{2+} cations may lower the surface anisotropy term, thereby reducing this source of uniaxial anisotropy. At the same time, as expected, the introduction of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} led to the formation of a pronounced uniaxial anisotropy, with $M_s/M_r$ tending to be 0.5.\textsuperscript{42}

### Magnetic Interparticle Interactions

To study NPs' magnetic interparticle interactions, normalized IRM and DCD magnetizations can be compared by means of the Kelly equation, providing the so-called $\delta m$-plot.\textsuperscript{48,49}

$$\delta m = m_{\text{DCD}}(H) - 1 + 2m_{\text{IRM}}(H)$$

The prevalence of either dipolar or superexchange interactions can be evaluated on the basis of the negative/positive dips, respectively,\textsuperscript{50} although some contribution of the intraparticle can be evaluated on the basis of the negative/positive dips, the prevalence of either dipolar or superexchange interactions can be obtained by calculating the dipolar energy.\textsuperscript{53} In general, a system of randomly distributed NPs with average magnetic moment $\mu$ and average separation $d$, the dipole–dipole interaction energy among particles can be expressed as follows:

$$\langle E_{\text{dip}}\rangle \cong \frac{\mu_0 \langle \mu^2 \rangle}{4\pi d^3} = \frac{\mu_0 \mu^2}{4\pi d^3} \langle V^2 \rangle$$

where $\rho$ is the volume density of the material (estimated to be 5290 kg m\textsuperscript{-3} for all samples), $\langle V^2 \rangle$ is the average volume calculated by considering all particles as spherical, and $d$ is the average center-to-center distance of two nanoparticles covered with a single layer of OLAC molecules 2 nm long.\textsuperscript{45,54,55} Fig. 3c and d show that $E_{\text{dip}}$ and $\delta m$ dip depth follow the same overall trend, confirming our interpretation. The small variation in $M_s$ due to the introduction of Ni\textsuperscript{2+} is expected to be reflected in small variations in the dipolar interactions, which are indeed observed. This is in agreement with literature comparing cobalt and nickel ferrites.\textsuperscript{52} Both trends of interactions measured in the case of the Zn-series are qualitatively matching. The accordance between the two methods lends robustness to the measure of the dip depth in $\delta m$ plots, offering reliable means of assessing magnetic interactions among nanoparticles.

### Saturation Magnetization

Finally, it is quite interesting to discuss the trend of $M_s$ with respect to the chemical composition of the samples (ESI,\textsuperscript{†} Section S7). In the case of the Ni-series, Ni\textsuperscript{2+} induces a steep decrease in $M_s$ followed by an almost constant trend, leaving little room for property tuning (Fig. 4 and Table 2). The Ni\textsuperscript{2+} spin-only magnetic moment of $2\mu_B$ is the lowest of all ions, and its selectivity drives it to $O_h$-sites, decreasing the $\sum \mu_{O_h}$ term and explaining our observations. The moderate entity of the effect is in accordance with the literature.\textsuperscript{28,32,56,57}

Increasing Zn\textsuperscript{2+}, instead, reveals a monotonic increase in $M_s$ at 5 K, inducing an increase in $M_s$ from $\sim 103$ A m\textsuperscript{2} kg\textsuperscript{-1} for $x = 0$ to $\sim 143$ A m\textsuperscript{2} kg\textsuperscript{-1} for $x = 0.48$ (Fig. 4 and Table 2). The Zn\textsuperscript{2+} diamagnetism and the selectivity for $T_d$-sites\textsuperscript{27,58} make it decrease the term $\sum \mu_{T_d}$, unbalancing the sum of the moments and increasing saturation. However, the increase in saturation

---

**Fig. 3** (a) and (b) interaction $\delta m$ plots of Ni-series and Zn-series, and (c) and (d) interaction dipolar energy values compared with $\delta m$ profile depth.
with Zn\(^{2+}\) content is limited to Zn\(^{2+}\) \(\sim 0.5\) due to its diamagnetic nature, it cannot participate in super-exchange interactions, interrupting the magnetic superexchange coupling and weakening the magnetic structure of the material. Above this threshold, the magnetic behaviour converges to that of ZnFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) \(T_N = 10\) K, and thus \(M_s\) decreases. Our results are in qualitative agreement with this explanation and with other results obtained by TD.

The \(M_s\) values can be estimated using the two-sublattice Néel model, considering the well-known ion site selectivity of Ni\(^{2+}\) for Oh-sites and of Zn\(^{2+}\) for Td-sites and the spin-only magnetic moment of our metal cations (Zn\(^{2+}\) = 0\(\mu_B\), Co\(^{2+}\) = 3\(\mu_B\), Ni\(^{2+}\) = 2\(\mu_B\), Fe\(^{3+}\) = 5\(\mu_B\)). For both series, an equation was written to express \(M_s\) as a function of the stoichiometric coefficient of M\(^{2+}\) (\(x\)) and the one of Co\(^{2+}\) in Oh-sites (\(y\), corresponding to \(\delta\)). For the Ni-series, the expression of the Bohr magneton magnetization per formula unit is (ESI,† Section S7)

\[
\mu_{Ni}(x,y) = 7 - 5x - 4y,
\]

while for the Zn-series, the expression is

\[
\mu_{Zn}(x,y) = 7 + 3x - 4y.
\]

Note that the stoichiometric coefficient of Fe is kept to 2. Assuming that all the M\(^{3+}\) cations occupy their preferential sites, the functions can be plotted as \(M_s(x,y)\) maps (Fig. 5), allowing us to easily predict \(M_s\) for different \(x\) and \(y\). Reciprocally, an estimate of the cationic distribution (i.e., \(y\)) can be obtained if \(M_s\) and \(x\) are known. The excluded grey areas are present because \(x + y\) cannot be greater than 1.

Considering CoRef, cobalt ferrite is a partially inverted spinel, meaning that a fraction of Co\(^{3+}\) is still in Td-sites; far from the intention of giving an exact value of the cation distribution, the position of the point in the graph suggests \(y \sim 0.66\), which is close to literature values.

The calculation explains a small change in magnetic saturation for the Ni-series after Ni22, with the points arranged between two iso-composition lines. The common Oh-site selectivity of Co\(^{3+}\) and Ni\(^{2+}\) implies that with increasing substitution, if Ni\(^{2+}\) simply replaces Co\(^{2+}\) at Oh sites, the magnetization will reduce slowly due to the small difference in spin-only magnetic moment between the two cations.

Turning now to the Zn series, Zn19 lies almost on the same iso-magnetization line of CoRef, thus suggesting an increase in the relative cobalt fraction in Oh-sites (\(y \sim 0.8\)). This is consistent with the almost identical saturation of CoRef and Zn19, and it is in accordance with the fact that Zn\(^{2+}\) being Td-site selective, should occupy the fraction of Td-sites which would be filled by Co\(^{3+}\) in CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\). Zn32 and Zn48 experimental values are lower than the value predicted for their composition, lying on the composition limit line. This discrepancy may reflect spin canting, size effects, and a small Zn\(^{2+}\) quantity in Oh-sites. Compared to other synthetic methods, TD gives nanoparticles among those with the highest \(M_s\) values. The differences are certainly related to the cationic distribution, size distribution, spin canting and surface layer.
To confirm the usefulness of the $M_s(x,y)$ maps, the cationic distribution of samples of similar composition (Ni$_{31}$ and Zn$_{38}$) has been determined by Mössbauer spectrometry at 12 K and under an intense magnetic field of 8 T (ESI,† Section S8), yielding $y = 0.54$ and 0.62, respectively. Combining $x$ and $y$ values yields $M_s$ which is found to be close to the expected values (see ESI†). Yet, the obtained values are smaller, as mentioned earlier. This stems from structural features (defects, surface contributions) and the possible effect of the ligand on the magnetic moments on the surface. 65 Furthermore, by examining Fig. S1 (ESI†), we note that only the samples obtained by this TD synthesis come close to the spin-only $M_s$, while other synthesis methods provide $M_s$ much lower than any values predicted by the map, regardless of the particle size. As shown in Fig. S1 (ESI†), $M_s$ depends greatly on the synthesis methods for a given $x$. $M_s(x,y)$ maps may be used to give a quick graphical estimate of whether these differences stem from different $\delta$ and thus providing a simple way to compare synthetic methods.

Conclusions

The magnetic properties of two sets of Ni$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ doped cobalt ferrite NPs with equal size of $\sim$ 9 nm were investigated to evaluate the influence of cation distribution over chemical composition on the saturation magnetization, magnetic anisotropy and interparticle interactions. Specifically, we observed up to 40% enhancement of saturation magnetization and decreased magnetic anisotropy in samples with greater Zn$^{2+}$ content. The highest value of saturation magnetisation of 143 ± 5 A m$^2$ kg$^{-1}$ at 5 K, which is 40% higher than in the CoRef sample, was found for a sample with the composition Zn$_{0.48}$Co$_{0.26}$Fe$_{2.26}$O$_4$. In contrast, Ni$^{2+}$ substitution resulted in a decrease in both magnetization by $\sim$30% and anisotropy by $\sim$70% for the higher concentration of nickel.

A strong correlation was found between the results from the $\delta m$ plot and the calculated dipolar energy. The obtained results suggest that Zn$^{2+}$ substitution strongly affects the dipolar interparticle interaction intensity due to the increasing saturation magnetization and decreasing magnetocrystalline anisotropy. Moreover, the inversion/doping content maps developed in this study provide a simple method to both visualize substitution impacts and predict $M_s$ values from knowledge of only cation distributions or compositions. Our demonstration of accurate modelling of experimental data highlights the utility of these maps for the rational design of spinel ferrite nanoparticles tailored to further application needs.
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